Tumorigenesis and Neoplastic Progression

Tumor Progression Stage and Anatomical Site Regulate Tumor-Associated Macrophage and Bone Marrow-Derived Monocyte Polarization

Elizabeth F. Redente,* Lori D. Dwyer-Nield,* Daniel T. Merrick,[†] Komal Raina,* Rajesh Agarwal,* William Pao,[‡] Pamela L. Rice,[§] Kenneth R. Shroyer,[¶] and Alvin M. Malkinson*

From the Departments of Pharmaceutical Sciences,* and Pathology,[†] University of Colorado, Aurora, Colorado; the Department of Medicine,[‡] Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York; the Division of Pulmonary Biology,[§] Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Denver, Colorado; and the Departments of Medicine and Pathology,[¶] Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York

Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) encourage and coordinate neoplastic growth. In late stage human lung adenocarcinoma, TAMs exhibited mixed M1 (classical; argl^{low}iNOS^{high}) and M2 (alternative; argl^{high}iNOS^{low}) polarization based on arginine metabolism. In several murine cancer models including chemically and genetically-induced primary lung tumors, prostate tumors, colon xenografts, and lung metastases, TAMs expressed argl^{high}iNOS^{low} early during tumor formation; argl^{low}iNOS^{high} polarization also occurred during malignancy in some models. In a chemically-induced lung tumor model, macrophages expressed argl^{high}iNOS^{low} within one week after carcinogen treatment, followed by similar polarization of bone marrow-derived monocytes (BDMCs) a few days later. TAMs surrounding murine prostate tumors also expressed argl^{high}iNOS^{low} early during tumorigenesis, indicating that this polarization is not unique to neoplastic lungs. In a human colon cancer xenograft model, the primary tumor was surrounded by argl^{high}iNOS^{low}-expressing TAMs, and BDMCs also expressed argl^{high}iNOS^{low}, but pulmonary macrophages adopted argl^{high}iNOS^{low} polarization only after tumors metastasized to the lungs. Persistence of tumors is required to maintain TAM polarization. Indeed, in both conditional mutant *Kras*- and *FGF10*-driven models of lung cancer, mice expressing the transgene develop lung tumors that regress rapidly when the transgene is silenced. Furthermore, pul-

monary macrophages expressed argl^{high}iNOS^{low} on tumor induction, but then returned to argl^{low}iNOS^{low} (no polarization) after tumors regressed. Manipulating TAM function or depleting TAMs may provide novel therapeutic strategies for preventing and treating many types of cancer. (Am J Pathol 2010, 176:2972–2985; DOI: 10.2353/ajpath.2010.090879)

Resident and newly infiltrating macrophages are key leukocyte populations that regulate production of inflammatory mediators to warn of injury and initiate tissue repair during cancer-associated inflammation.^{1,2} The destiny of macrophages is at least partly determined during their ontogeny in the bone marrow. Monocyte precursors differentiate to become either macrophages that reside normally in tissues or inflammatory macrophages that respond to chemokine signals and infiltrate into the affected tissue to mount a response.³ During tumorigenesis, macrophages infiltrate tumors and surrounding tissue. However, unlike scenarios where macrophages are involved in host defense, tumor associated macrophages (TAMs) support rather than hinder neoplastic growth, as shown by histopathological, epidemiological, pharmacological, and genetic studies.⁴ TAMs were first observed 150 years ago by Virchow,⁵ and current evidence associates chronic inflammatory diseases such as bronchitis, inflammatory bowel disease, gastritis, and colitis with increased risk of cancer development.² This synergistic co-evolution of cancer and inflammation continues when tissues are damaged by aggressive cancer growth. Anti-

Supported by National Cancer Institute 033497 and 132552 (to A.M.M.), CA113876 (to R.A.), and National Institutes of Health Special Program of Research Excellence program PA50CA058187 (to D.T.M.).

Accepted for publication January 27, 2010.

W.P. is a consultant for Molecular MD.

Supplemental material for this article can be found on <http://ajp.amjpathol.org>.

Address reprint requests to Lori D. Dwyer-Nield, Ph.D., Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Colorado Denver, C238-P15 RII, 12700 East 19th Avenue, Aurora, CO 80045. E-mail: Lori.Nield@ucdenver.edu.

inflammatory drugs such as sulindac and aspirin inhibit colon polyp formation in colorectal cancer patients,⁶ while polymorphisms in genes regulating inflammation control susceptibility to lung cancer in both mice⁷ and humans.⁸ Overexpression of anti-inflammatory signals^{9,10} depresses cancer growth, whereas mutations that enhance inflammatory responses augment tumorigenesis.¹¹

Macrophages exhibit a wide phenotypic diversity and exert multiple pathobiological effects¹² that support and encourage tumor growth, including the release of proangiogenic factors such as VEGF,¹³ EGF,¹⁴ and proteases that digest normal tissue to provide a route for vessel intravasation and metastatic invasion of tumor cells. Macrophages also suppress the activities of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ lymphocytes by secreting chemokines that attract T-regulatory cells to dampen adaptive immune surveillance against tumors.^{15,16}

Two macrophage activation phenotypes, M1 and M2, characterize extremes along a gradient of activation and are regulated by the relative abundance of T_H1 and T_H2 cytokines. *In vitro*, mature macrophages demonstrate plasticity by shuttling back and forth between these activation pathways.^{17,18} Macrophages classically/M1 polarized by exposure to interferon (IFN)- γ or LPS produce interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-12, and up-regulate inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) to produce nitric oxide (NO) and citrulline. Alternative/M2 polarization is induced by exposure to IL-4 and IL-13, cytokines that bind to a common receptor subunit, IL-4R α .¹⁹ M2 macrophages produce anti-inflammatory IL-10, up-regulate expression of the mannose receptor and arginase I, as well as macrophage-specific genes including *Ym1* and *Fizz1*. Polyamines are produced, and the iNOS substrate, arginine, is depleted in M2 polarized macrophages.^{12,20–22} These changes in macrophage phenotype have functional consequences. NO produced by M1 macrophages can kill other cells by combining with oxygen radicals to form toxic peroxynitrite.²³ Ornithine produced by M2 macrophages can be exported and taken up by tumor cells to serve as precursors for DNA synthesis.²⁴ Some macrophages express both arginase and iNOS enzymes (M1 + M2), which can act synergistically, as well as independently, to limit T cell function. A recent study illustrating the clinical significance of M2 polarization by TAMs demonstrated that mice vaccinated against the asparaginyl endopeptidase, legumain, which is highly and specifically expressed in M2 macrophages, primed lymphocytes against this M2 antigen. Subsequent killing of M2 macrophages by CD8⁺ lymphocytes inhibited the growth of breast cancer xenografts.²⁵ The T_H2 cytokines that regulate M2 TAM activation are associated with many neoplasias, including non-small cell lung (NSCLC),²⁶ breast,²⁵ and ovarian cancer.^{27,28}

We recently characterized stromal cell composition during primary lung cancer progression induced in A/J mice by urethane,²⁹ an animal model of pulmonary adenocarcinoma (AC).³⁰ Macrophages accumulated adjacent to, but outside of, tumors. As tumors progressed to malignancy, macrophage numbers increased, and their polarization changed.²⁹ Pulmonary macrophage arginine metabolism was assessed to determine their polarization

state. Naïve A/J mice expressed very low levels of arginase I and iNOS (argI^{low}iNOS^{low}), constituting an unpolarized phenotype. TAMs in mice bearing benign tumors expressed arginase I (argI^{high}iNOS^{low}; M2 polarized), whereas macrophages associated with malignant tumors expressed iNOS (argI^{low}iNOS^{high}; M1 polarized). Local pulmonary macrophages were not the only population affected by signals emanating from tumors in this study. Polarization of monocytes extruded from the bone marrow (bone marrow–derived monocytes [BDMCs]) mirrored that of TAMs, switching from argI^{low}iNOS^{low} in control mice to argI^{high}iNOS^{low} in adenoma-bearing mice, and then to argI^{low}iNOS^{high} when carcinomas had developed.²⁹ BDMCs thus underwent tumor-directed differentiation while still in the bone marrow before entering the circulation and infiltrating the lungs to become TAMs. This suggests that the differentiation status of circulating monocytes could be evaluated as a diagnostic tool for monitoring lung cancer progression.

Herein, we assess the arginine metabolic phenotype of macrophages and BDMCs during tumorigenesis to assess polarization in response to different carcinogens, at different organ sites, and in genetic models, thus testing the specificity of the macrophage response to tumors, their location, and at different stages of tumorigenesis. We find correlations between these experimental models and human disease.

Materials and Methods

Human Lung Cancer Sample Acquisition

Fourteen histological specimens of pulmonary AC were selected on the basis of availability of adequate tissue for immunohistochemical evaluation from archival collections maintained by the Department of Pathology, University of Colorado Denver under the auspices of the COMIRB. These tumors had been previously classified as well-differentiated, moderately-differentiated, or poorly-differentiated.

Macrophage Polarization in Formalin-Fixed Tissue

Human tumor biopsy and surrounding stromal tissue and mouse lung tissue were examined for macrophage infiltration and polarization status by immunofluorescence using antibodies against F4/80 to identify macrophages, iNOS to detect M1 polarization, and mannose receptor (human) or arginase I (mouse) to detect M2 polarization, using procedures described previously²⁹ and summarized in Table 1. Briefly, sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated through a series of ethanol washes, and antigens retrieved in boiling 100 mmol/L citrate buffer followed by a 40-minute autofluorescence block with 10 mg/ml sodium borohydride in PBS. Samples were first blocked with avidin-biotin solution (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), followed by exposure to the primary and biotinylated secondary antibodies (Table 1) and detection with fluorescent avidin conjugates.

Table 1. Antibodies and Conditions for Immunofluorescence

Antibody	Dilution	Secondary	Sample type	Fluorophore/color
F4/80–polyclonal (Caltag Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA)	1:100	Rat	BAL, human and mouse pulmonary macrophages, peritoneal macrophages	Fluorescein/green
CD-68–monoclonal (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark)	1:100	Mouse	BDMCs, xenograft TAMs, prostate TAMs	Fluorescein/green
Arginase I–polyclonal (Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA)	1:100	Goat	Murine M2 activation	AMCA/blue
CD-206/Mannose receptor–monoclonal (Serotec, Oxford, UK)	1:100	Human	Human M2 activation	AMCA/blue
iNOS–polyclonal (BD Pharmagen, San Diego, CA)	1:100	Rabbit	Mouse and human M1 activation	Rhodamine/red

Chemically Induced Lung Tumor Models

A/J, FVB, BALB/cByJ (hereafter, cBy), BALB/cJ, and C57BL/6J (hereafter, B6) male and female mice 4 to 6 weeks of age were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME), housed on hardwood bedding with 12-hour light/dark cycles, and fed standard rodent diet (Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI) at the Center for Laboratory Animal Care at the University of Colorado Denver under a protocol approved by the University of Colorado Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. For single agent carcinogenesis, male A/J, FVB, and B6 mice were injected i.p. (intraperitoneally) with 1 mg urethane (ethyl carbamate; Sigma, St. Louis, MO)/g body wt dissolved in sterile 0.9% NaCl as previously described³¹; control mice received a single saline i.p. injection. Animals were sacrificed at days 1, 2, 7, 14, and 21 and weeks 16, 24, 32, and 42 after urethane exposure as indicated in each experiment. Macrophages present in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and BDMCs were isolated (see below) from 4 to 5 mice per time point and lungs prepared for histological examination from 4 to 5 additional mice per time point. To determine tumor multiplicity in A/J, FVB, and B6 at 24 and 42 weeks after urethane exposure, lungs were removed from control and treated animals, and tumors were dissected from surrounding tissue and counted using a dissecting microscope with fiberoptic lighting. Because BALB/c, cBy, and B6 mice are more resistant to carcinogenesis than A/J, these strains received multiple i.p. injections, consisting of 1 mg/g urethane i.p. once per week for 7 weeks to induce lung tumors; control mice received 7 weekly saline vehicle injections. These mice were sacrificed 24, 32, and 42 weeks after the initial injection, and samples were processed as described above.

We also examined the universality of macrophage polarization in chemically-induced primary mouse lung tumors in which the proto-oncogene *Kras* is mutated at a different codon than in the urethane model and in which macrophage infiltration has been shown to augment tumor formation.³² In 2-stage initiation/promotion carcinogenesis studies, mice were injected once i.p. with 10 mg/kg 3-methylcholanthrene (MCA; Toronto Research Chemicals, Inc., Ontario, Canada) followed by six once-weekly injections of butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT; Sigma, 100 mg/kg BHT week one, 200 mg/kg weeks 2–6) in corn oil as previously described.^{32,33} Age-matched control mice were injected with equal volumes of corn oil

vehicle (Mazola®). Animals were sacrificed at 24, 32, and 42 weeks after the initial MCA injection, and samples were prepared as described above.

Isolation of Pulmonary Macrophages by BAL

Mice were sacrificed by lethal i.p. pentobarbital injection, their tracheas cannulated, and lungs lavaged three times with 1 ml PBS containing 0.6 mmol/L EDTA.³⁴ The supernatant from the first lavage was saved for cytokine analysis. Inflammatory cell infiltration was determined by pooling lavaged samples from each mouse and counting cells using a hemocytometer. Differential cell counts were based on cell morphology as determined by Wright/Giemsa staining, and infiltrating cells classified as monocytes/macrophages, lymphocytes, neutrophils, or eosinophils. In naïve mice, 90 to 100% of BAL cells are macrophages, a percentage that decreases during tumor formation when additional inflammatory cell types infiltrate the lungs.²⁹

Preparation of BDMCs

One femur was removed from each of the mice described in the previous section and bone marrow cells harvested by flushing 1 ml of sterile PBS through the bone marrow cavities with a 25 5/8 gauge syringe.²⁹ Cell numbers were determined, 20,000 cells were cytopspun onto a slide, and differential cell counts were performed as above. On average, 2 to 4% of the extruded bone marrow cells are monocytes.

Isolation of Peritoneal Macrophages

Peritoneal macrophage harvest was performed before BAL in A/J mice 24 and 42 weeks after a single urethane injection. The abdominal cavity was lavaged with 10 ml of sterile PBS injected i.p. for 1 minute, after which fluid was removed using a 10-ml syringe. Peritoneal cells were counted and 20,000 cells cytopspun onto a slide; differential counts were performed as above.

Iron Prussian Blue Staining of Bone Marrow Macrophages

One femur from each A/J, cBy, and B6 control and urethane-treated mouse was removed and decalcified in 8%

formic acid solution for 3 hours and formalin fixed. Resident bone marrow macrophages were stained with Iron Prussian blue stain containing hydrochloric acid and potassium ferrocyanide. Sections were counterstained with nuclear fast red solution (University Hospital Histology Laboratory, Denver, CO).

Immunofluorescence Analysis of the Polarization States of Macrophages and BDMCs

Immunofluorescence was performed on BAL macrophages and BDMCs as described.²⁹ Table 1 denotes the antibody conditions, sources, and fluorophores used. Primary antibody binding to iNOS, arginase I, CD-68 (BDMCs), and F4/80 (BAL macrophages) was detected using biotin-conjugated IgG secondary antibody (Vector), after incubation with rhodamine, 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin-3-acetic acid (AMCA) and fluorescein fluorescent avidin conjugates (Vector), respectively. A digital deconvolution microscopy imaging system attached to a Zeiss Axioplan 2 epi-Fluorescence upright microscope was used to image fluorescent staining.²⁹ Fluorescent images are $\times 630$ final magnification. For all samples, macrophages and monocytes that display no detectable arginase I or iNOS staining are designated as $\text{argI}^{\text{low}}/\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$. Macrophages that display $\text{argI}^{\text{high}}/\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ staining are M2 or alternatively polarized, and macrophages that immunostained $\text{argI}^{\text{low}}/\text{iNOS}^{\text{high}}$ classified as M1 or classically polarized. $\text{ArgI}^{\text{high}}/\text{iNOS}^{\text{high}}$ stained macrophages are classified as M1 + M2. Colocalization of the binding of different antibodies to the same cell is detected by using multiple fluorescent stains. Semiquantitative estimates of protein expression were determined by comparing fluorescent staining exposure times (msec) of treated and control cells.

Quantitation of BAL IFN- γ and IL-4 Contents by ELISA

IFN- γ and IL-4 contents in BAL fluid were assayed by ELISA, according to the manufacturer's instructions (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).

Transgenic Adenocarcinoma of the Mouse Prostate Model

Transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate (TRAMP) mice develop early spontaneous prostatic intra-epithelial neoplasia (PIN) lesions of the dorsolateral prostate that progress to late-stage metastatic AC over the course of six months. This models the progression of human prostate cancer³⁵ and is thus clinically relevant. Regulatory elements of the minimal rat probasin (rPB) promoter gene encode androgen related protein, which specifically drives expression of SV40 early genes (T/t; Tag) in prostatic epithelium.³⁶ This hormonally regulated transgene is expressed at sexual maturity to effect neoplastic epithelial transformation.³⁷ Formalin-fixed prostate tissue specimens obtained from TRAMP B6 male

mice³⁸ at different stages of neoplastic progression were histologically characterized as PIN, well-, moderately-, or poorly-differentiated AC. Four slides from each group were examined for macrophage infiltration and polarization state by immunofluorescence as described above.

Mutant Kras Transgenic Lung Cancer Model

Bitransgenic FVB mice that express Doxycycline (Dox)-inducible *K-Ras4b*+^{G12D} under the control of the CCSP promoter³⁹ were treated with Dox (0.5 mg/ml in the drinking water) to induce development of spontaneous proliferative foci that progressed to adenomas (4 weeks) and

Figure 1. Activation state of macrophages associated with human AC. **A:** H&E-stained human ACs have both TAMs (circles) and TIMs (squares). **B:** Immunofluorescent staining for macrophages (green, CD-68) expressing both mannose receptor (blue) and iNOS (red). **White circles** indicate corresponding areas of macrophage localization. T indicates tumor tissue. Magnification for H&E images is $\times 400$ and $\times 630$ for immunofluorescent staining.

Table 2. BAL Macrophage and BDMC Activation in Naïve and Tumor-Bearing Mice of Different Strains

Strain	Carcinogenesis protocol	Time after carcinogen (wks)	Tumor multiplicity	Activation state	Tumor stage
A/J	Age-matched control 1 Urethane	24, 42	0.0 ± 0	arg ^{low} iNOS ^{low}	None
		24	24.5 ± 0.8	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
		42	49.8 ± 4.8	arg ^{low} iNOS ^{high}	AC
	MCA/BHT	24	84 ± 6.0	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
		42	ND	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	AC
FVB	Age-matched control	24	1.0 ± 0.0	arg ^{low} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
	1 Urethane	24	10.8 ± 2.8	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
BALB/cBy	Age-matched control 7 Urethane	24, 32	0.0 ± 0	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{high}	None
		24	17 ± 5.0	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{high}	Ad
		32	25 ± 1.9	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{high}	AC
	MCA/BHT	24	33.5 ± 9.0	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
		32	ND	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	AC
BALB/c	Age-matched control 7 Urethane	24, 32	0.0 ± 0	arg ^{low} iNOS ^{low}	None
		24	29.7 ± 3.1	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
		32	46.4 ± 4.4	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	AC
	MCA/BHT	24	5.9 ± 1.6	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
		32	ND	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	AC
B6	Age-matched control 1 Urethane	24, 42	0.0 ± 0	arg ^{low} iNOS ^{low}	None
		24	0.86 ± 0.2	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
		42	4.6 ± 0.6	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
	7 Urethane	24	8.7 ± 2.2	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad
		42	13.0 ± 0.1	arg ^{high} iNOS ^{low}	Ad

ND indicates not determined; Ad, adenoma; AC, adenocarcinoma (these tumors invade adjacent tissue, are dysplastic, have high nuclear/cytoplasmic ratios, and are cellularly heterogeneous⁴⁸).

AC (8 weeks). These lesions completely regressed within 4 weeks of Dox withdrawal. Formalin-fixed lung sections from mice receiving Dox for 8 weeks and from mice receiving Dox for 8 weeks followed by Dox removal for 3 days, 7 days, or 4 weeks (4 mice per group) were examined by immunofluorescence for macrophage infiltration and polarization state as described above.

FGF-10 Lung Tumor Model

An *FGF-10* transgene was ligated to the CCSP promoter and is expressed in bronchial nonciliated Clara cells.¹¹ Conditional expression of the transgene is induced by exposure to Dox in drinking water. After 12 days of Dox exposure, spontaneous pulmonary tumors develop accompanied by a massive macrophage infiltration. When transgene expression is silenced, pulmonary tumors regress completely within 6 days, and the numbers of pulmonary macrophages decrease.¹¹

HT-29 Colon Cancer Xenograft Model

To examine the activation state of pulmonary macrophages and BDMCs in a metastasis model, a luciferase-expressing human colon cancer cell line HT-29 (Xenogen, Cranbury, NJ) was injected subcutaneously (s.c.; 1×10^6 cells in 200 μ l PBS) into the flanks of 8 male severe combined immunodeficient beige (SCID/bg) mice (Harlan Inc., Indianapolis, IN) to produce xenografts.^{40,41} Mice were housed in sterile microisolator cages and provided with sterile water and food *ad libitum*. Forty-six days after inoculation when solid tumors were ~ 1.5 cm³, mice were sacrificed and their primary tumors, lungs, and bone marrow harvested and fixed. Lung metastases were observed in six of the eight mice upon histopathological

and bioluminescence examination. Primary xenograft, formalin-fixed lungs, and BDMCs were characterized for macrophage infiltration and activation phenotypes as described above.

Statistics

Data are presented as means $\pm$ SEM. Differences between conditions at specific time points were examined using Student unpaired *t* test. One-way analysis of variance with Newman-Keuls post hoc analysis compared results from more than two groups, with *P* < 0.05 considered significant.

Results

Macrophages Exhibit Mannose Receptor^{high}iNOS^{high} Staining in Human Pulmonary AC

In human lung cancer, the density of TAMs is inversely proportional to patient survival, implying their pathophysiologic significance.¹³ Macrophage polarization was examined in tissue sections of pulmonary AC from 14 different patients. Because of the abundance of arginase I in human lung epithelial tissue and its lack of up-regulation by IL-4 and IL-13 in human monocyte-derived macrophages,^{42,43} it is not a suitable marker for alternative/M2 polarization in human samples. Instead, we selected staining for mannose receptor as an indicator of M2 polarization, based on biomarkers identified in gene arrays.⁴⁴ Each tissue section was analyzed by triple immunofluorescent imaging as well as H&E staining. Figure 1, A and B, shows a representative human pulmonary

AC. Tumor morphology varied, consisting of papillary-glandular or more solid growth patterns, and ranged from well- to poorly-differentiated. Both tumor infiltrating macrophages (TIMs) and TAMs were detected in each human sample. Despite morphological heterogeneity among the different AC sections, 100% of TIMs and TAMs exhibited mannose receptor (MRP)^{high}, indicating alternative polarization. Macrophages in distal uninvolved human lung may exhibit different polarization phenotypes, but these samples were not available for analysis. In 12 of the 14 tumors examined, TIMs and TAMs expressed MRP^{high}iNOS^{high}, indicating a mixed M1 + M2 polarization state.

The Polarization Phenotype of Pulmonary Macrophages and BDMCs Is Dependent on Genetic Background and the Nature of the Carcinogen

We have previously shown²⁹ that all pulmonary macrophages are alternatively polarized during the adenoma stage of lung tumor development and classically polarized when these evolve into carcinomas in A/J mice treated with a single injection of urethane. Because human lung TAMs and TIMs were MRP^{high}iNOS^{high}, we examined additional models of chemically-induced lung tumors in several strains of mice. We determined macrophage phenotypes in both single agent carcinogenesis (urethane) and in a model of 2 stage carcinogenesis (MCA/BHT) wherein macrophage infiltration into the lungs augments tumor formation.³² In the following experiments, 100% of the macrophage population underwent the polarization changes indicated. BAL macrophage and BDMC polarization states were examined in five inbred strains with differing sensitivities to chemical carcinogens (A/J > FVB > cBy > BALB/c > B6, with A/J mice being most sensitive) at various times after the initial urethane or MCA injection (Table 2). In more resistant strains (cBy, BALB/c and B6), multiple urethane injections are required to produce a substantial number of lung tumors. Resistant B6 mice receiving one or multiple urethane injections do not progress to malignancy by 42 weeks. All pulmonary macrophages and BDMCs prepared from naïve A/J, FVB, BALB/c, and B6 mice displayed an argI^{low}iNOS^{low} phenotype. In contrast, naïve cBy mice exhibited argI^{high}iNOS^{high} expression in all BAL macrophages and BDMCs, constituting a mixed polarization phenotype (Table 2). cBy activation differs from that of the closely related BALB/c substrain, whose naïve myeloid polarization phenotype was argI^{low}iNOS^{low}. We estimated the magnitude of this substrain difference by the exposure times needed to detect immunofluorescent staining of iNOS and arginase I above background levels. By this semiquantitative technique, naïve cBy BAL macrophages contained more than three times as much arginase I (150 ms versus 580 ms) and nearly eight times as much iNOS (311 ms versus 2010 ms) as did naïve BALB/c macrophages. When mice were treated with MCA/BHT, all strains examined exhibited argI^{high}iNOS^{low}

polarized TAMs and BDMCs throughout tumor development (Table 2).

Although various myeloid polarization states were observed after carcinogen exposure, arginase I was expressed in 100% of BAL macrophages and BDMCs detected in mice bearing benign lung tumors. Macrophages and BDMCs in highly resistant B6 mice^{31,45} that had developed even a single lung tumor became argI^{high}iNOS^{low}, but pulmonary macrophages remained argI^{low}iNOS^{low} in age-matched non-tumor-bearing controls. cBy mice maintain their naïve argI^{high}iNOS^{high} macrophage phenotype throughout urethane-induced carcinogenesis (as observed in human lung ACs, Figure 1), but when cBy mice were subjected to an MCA/BHT carcinogenesis protocol, 100% of their BAL mac-

Figure 2. Time courses of macrophage activation and IL-4 and IFN- γ production in urethane-treated A/J mice. BAL fluid, pulmonary macrophages, and BDMCs were isolated from urethane treated A/J mice at the indicated times. **A:** BAL macrophage (filled triangle) and BDMC (open triangle) polarization were examined by arginase I and iNOS immunofluorescence and compared with control naïve macrophage expression. * $P < 0.05$ versus 1 day BAL macrophage, ** $P < 0.01$ versus 1 day BDMC. **B:** IL-4 content in BAL from control (filled square) and urethane-treated (open square) A/J mice. * $P < 0.05$ versus control. **C:** IFN- γ expression in BAL from control (filled square) and urethane-treated (open square) A/J mice. * $P < 0.05$ versus control. Cytokine content was assessed by ELISA.

rophages and BDMCs switched to a predominantly arg^{high}iNOS^{low} phenotype, showing that classical macrophage polarization is both strain and carcinogen dependent.

Quantitative analysis of pulmonary macrophage infiltration and changes in the bone marrow macrophage populations were examined. The extent of BAL macrophage infiltration in A/J, cBy, and B6 mice reflects the relative lung tumor susceptibilities of these strains, but the number of resident bone marrow macrophages does not correlate with these strain-dependent variations (Supplemental Figure S1, available online at <http://ajp.amjpathol.org>).

Alveolar Macrophage and BDMC Polarization Occurs Early in Tumor Development

Pulmonary macrophage populations in A/J mice changed from arg^{low}iNOS^{low} in naïve mice to an arg^{high}iNOS^{low} state 24 weeks after carcinogen exposure.²⁹ To determine how early during neoplastic progression pulmonary tumors influence macrophage and monocyte polarization, BAL macrophages and BDMCs were isolated from urethane-treated and age-matched control mice 1, 2, 7, 14, and 21 days after carcinogen exposure. BAL macrophages remained arg^{low}iNOS^{low} up to 7 days after urethane treatment (Figure 2A). Because urethane is rapidly metabolized and cleared within 24 hours of administration into mice,⁴⁶ macrophages were not directly activated by the carcinogen per se or any of its distal metabolites. By

seven days after urethane exposure, expression of arginase I increased in all BAL macrophages, whereas iNOS expression was undetectable by immunofluorescence staining (Supplemental Figure S2A, available online at <http://ajp.amjpathol.org>). This alternative polarization was consistent within the entire population of pulmonary macrophages.

The BDMC population expressed no arginase or iNOS until 14 days after urethane exposure when their arginase I expression increased 2.4-fold compared with controls; again, no iNOS expression was detected, analogous to the pulmonary macrophages (Figure 2, Supplemental Figure S2B, available online at <http://ajp.amjpathol.org>). This delay in BDMC polarization suggests that resident pulmonary macrophages respond to local signals emanating from early pulmonary lesions. The increased arginase I expression in BDMCs one week later implies that signals emitted by these early lesions or the already polarized pulmonary macrophages were systemically transported to the bone marrow to activate BDMCs. IFN- γ induces M1 polarization, whereas a mixture of IL-4 and IL-13 induces M2 polarization.¹⁷ Thus, IFN- γ and IL-4 cytokine contents were examined in lavage fluid from these mice. IL-4 and IFN- γ secretion into BAL fluid corresponded with the timing of arg^{high}iNOS^{low} and arg^{low}iNOS^{high} BAL macrophage polarization, respectively (Figure 2, B and C, respectively). Pre-neoplastic lesions are thus capable of altering both the local microenvironment and can systemically influence macrophage populations in distant organs.

Figure 3. Peritoneal macrophages (indicated by white arrowheads) in urethane-treated A/J mice. Peritoneal macrophages (CD-68, green) from naïve A/J mice and mice with benign (24 weeks after urethane) and malignant (42 weeks after urethane) lung tumors express arginase I (blue) but not iNOS (red). Magnification $\times 630$.

Polarization of Monocytes/Macrophages Is Specific to the Tumor-Bearing Organ and Bone Marrow

Because BDMCs were polarized after pulmonary macrophage polarization, we examined whether monocyte/macrophage pools in other extra-pulmonary organs were similarly affected. Peritoneal macrophages were isolated from naïve and tumor bearing A/J mice 24 and 42 weeks after urethane administration and assayed for arginase I and iNOS expression (Figure 3). All peritoneal macrophages were argI^{high}iNOS^{low} both in naïve mice and throughout pulmonary tumor development, even though pulmonary macrophages and BDMCs in naïve mice were argI^{low}iNOS^{low} and changed from argI^{high}iNOS^{low} to argI^{low}iNOS^{high} by 42 weeks after urethane (Table 2). Thus, polarized BDMCs specifically infiltrate tumor-bearing lungs and either do not infiltrate into the peritoneal

cavity or undergo a change in polarization state to match physiological signals present in those local microenvironments after they arrive.

Stage-Dependent Polarization of TAMs Also Occurs in a Mouse Model of Prostate Cancer

To test whether macrophage polarization varies with the stage of tumor progression or occurs in other cancer types, we examined an experimental model of prostate cancer. Male mice expressing an androgen-dependent protein-SV40 transgene in the prostate epithelium develop spontaneous prostate tumors upon sexual maturity in response to androgen production (TRAMP model). We examined TAM polarization in primary tumors at four distinct stages including PIN, well-differentiated, moderately-differentiated, and poorly-differentiated ACs.³⁸

Figure 4. TAM activation in a primary murine model of prostate cancer. **A:** H&E sections show a moderately-differentiated prostate tumor (T). TAMs, indicated by **white arrowheads** (CD-68, green) express arginase I (blue) but not iNOS during early tumor progression. **B:** Tissue adjacent to a late stage, poorly-differentiated prostate tumor showing TAMs (**black circles**) stained by H&E. These macrophages (green) express both iNOS (red) and arginase I (blue). **White circles** denote corresponding areas of macrophage localization.

TAMs surrounding PIN were argl^{high}iNOS^{low}, indicating M2 polarization (data not shown), as were macrophages from well-differentiated and moderately differentiated prostate ACs (Figure 4A). All macrophages associated with advanced poorly differentiated prostatic ACs were argl^{high}iNOS^{high} (mixed M1 + M2 polarization; Figure 4B). Macrophage expression of iNOS in late-stage cancer was also demonstrated in the urethane-induced pulmonary ACs in A/J mice,²⁹ urethane-induced ACs in cBy mice (Table 2), and TIMs and TAMs in human pulmonary AC (Figure 1).

Macrophage Polarization Returns to an argl^{low}/iNOS^{low} State upon Resolution of Pulmonary Tumors

Pulmonary macrophages were examined in two reversible genetic models of pulmonary cancer during tumor development and after tumors had regressed to examine macrophage polarization in a non-carcinogen-induced lung tumor model and determine whether tumor regression affects macrophage polarization. In the first model, tumors were induced by overexpressing human *KRAS* (mutated in codon 12 from G to D) under the control of the CCSP promoter in FVB mice. This specifically targets the mutant transgene expression to bronchiolar Clara cells and alveolar type II cells with administration of Dox in drinking water. When Dox is removed, the mutated on-

cogene is not expressed, and tumors regress rapidly.⁴⁶ In this model, macrophages not only localize outside the mutant *KRAS*-induced tumor parenchyma as observed after chemical carcinogenesis but are also found within the tumor as TIMs⁴⁶ similar to that seen in human lung AC. Seven days after Dox administration, pulmonary lesions appear.³⁹ By 2 months after Dox exposure, adenomas with both TAMs and TIMs are apparent in H&E-stained sections (Figure 5A). All BDMCs and pulmonary macrophages in naïve FVB mice are argl^{low}iNOS^{low} (Table 2), whereas 100% of TAMs and TIMs from this strain express argl^{high}iNOS^{low}, indicating alternative polarization (Figure 5A). Three days after Dox was removed from the drinking water, tumors began to regress, but as long as small yet detectable tumor remnants remained, 100% of macrophages were argl^{high}iNOS^{low}. Seven days after Dox removal, small hyperplastic lesions and TAMs remained in the lungs but TIMs had disappeared (Figure 5B). One month after Dox removal when lungs are tumor-free and histologically normal, all pulmonary macrophages returned to an argl^{low}iNOS^{low} polarization state (Figure 5C), demonstrating that the presence of the tumor is necessary to maintain polarization state changes. Macrophage argl^{high}iNOS^{low} polarization was also demonstrated in FVB mice that develop lung tumors when the *FGF10* transgene is induced by Dox¹¹ (see Supplemental Figure S3, available online at <http://ajp.amjpathol.org>). Analogous to the conditional mutant *KRAS* model, when

Figure 5. Pulmonary macrophage activation in a mutant *Kras* transgenic lung cancer model. Conditional overexpression of mutant *Kras* induces pulmonary tumors. **A:** Two months after DOX treatment, H&E images show the location of macrophages peripheral to, TAMs (circles), and within, TIMs (squares), pulmonary tumors (T, border indicated by white dashed line). Macrophages identified with F4/80 (green) also stain for arginase I (blue) but not iNOS (red). **B:** Seven days after DOX removal, H&E staining indicates lesions and associated macrophages (circles) are still present in the lungs. TAMs express arginase I (blue). **C:** One month after DOX removal, tumors have regressed. Pulmonary macrophages (circles) expressed neither arginase I nor iNOS. Magnification $\times 630$ for fluorescent images, $\times 400$ for H&E images.

Figure 6. Activation status of macrophages in a human HT-29 colon cancer xenograft model. Macrophages and BDMCs are identified with anti-F4/80 or anti-CD-68 respectively (green). **A:** H&E staining shows that macrophages (**black circles**) surrounding the xenograft (T) express arginase I (blue) but not iNOS. **White circles** indicate areas of macrophage localization. **B:** BDMCs (green) isolated from xenograft-bearing mice express arginase I (blue) but not iNOS. **C:** H&E section shows pulmonary macrophages (**black circles**) in lungs containing HT-29 metastases (**black arrow**). Macrophages positively stain for arginase I (blue) but not iNOS. **White circles** indicate areas of macrophage localization. **D:** Pulmonary macrophages (green, **white circles**) in lungs with no detectable metastases stain for neither arginase-I nor iNOS. Magnification $\times 630$ for fluorescent images, $\times 400$ for H&E images.

expression of the *FGF10* transgene was turned off, tumors regressed and macrophage polarization returned to $\text{argI}^{\text{low}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$.

Pulmonary Macrophage Polarization Occurs Only after Tumor Metastasis to the Lungs in a Xenograft Model of Human Colon Cancer

A human xenograft colon cancer model allowed us to examine the contribution of pulmonary metastasis to macrophage activation and confirm tumor to marrow signaling in an extra-pulmonary cancer model. HT-29 human colon cancer cells can metastasize from their primary site of implantation to the lungs within 46 days after injection into the flank.^{40,41} We analyzed macrophage/monocyte activation in three different microenvironments in this model, macrophages associated with the primary implant (TAMs), macrophages associated with metastatic colon cancer deposits in the lungs, and BDMCs from animals with primary and metastatic tumors. All macrophages located in the stroma surrounding the primary xenograft were $\text{argI}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$, indicating M2 TAM activation (Figure 6A). Consistent with the concept of tumor to marrow signaling, all BDMCs from mice with HT-29 flank tumors were also $\text{argI}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ (Figure 6B). Alternative activation of BDMCs was not dependent on

the presence of tumor tissue in lungs, because this occurred both in mice where lung metastases had developed as well as mice with no detectable metastases. Metastasis of HT-29 cells to the lungs occurred in six of eight mice, as determined by serially sectioning fixed lung tissue. All pulmonary macrophages from lungs containing HT-29–derived metastases were $\text{argI}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$, regardless of their proximity to metastatic deposits (Figure 6C). However, the polarization state of pulmonary macrophages in the two mice lacking detectable lung metastases remained $\text{argI}^{\text{low}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ (Figure 6D), indicating that pulmonary macrophage polarization required the local presence of these colon cancer metastases.

Discussion

We demonstrate herein that TAMs and BDMCs express arginase I, thus indicating alternative polarization during tumor formation regardless of organ site (lung, flank, or prostate) or carcinogen (see Figure 7). This is an early event, occurring before chemically-induced tumors can be detected macroscopically. In some models (human NSCLC, A/J mice treated with urethane, cBy mice treated with urethane, and the TRAMP prostate cancer model) $\text{iNOS}^{\text{high}}$ polarization of TAMs,

1. Primary tumors influence macrophage activation

2. Primary lung tumors: BDMC's mimic TAMs

3. Xenograft tumor: BDMC's and metastatic lesion associated macrophages mimic TAMs

4. Transgenic pulmonary tumors: TAM activation dependent upon presence of tumor

Figure 7. Schematic summarizing macrophage activation in mouse models of lung cancer, prostate cancer, tumor regression, and metastasis.

TIMs, and BDMCs also occurs in late-stage disease. These dynamic changes in monocyte/macrophage polarization are unique to TAMs and BDMCs, as peritoneal macrophages were not differentially polarized in response to lung tumor formation or progression. In genetic models in which lung tumors regress, pulmonary macrophages return to an $\text{argl}^{\text{low}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ phenotype. When BDMCs are polarized in response to a flank tumor, pulmonary macrophages remain $\text{argl}^{\text{low}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ unless tumor cells detach and metastasize to the lung.

Analysis of pulmonary macrophages from urethane-treated A/J mice indicates that macrophage polarization is a very early event in lung cancer, presumably supporting and maintaining tumor development. A time course after urethane administration to A/J mice revealed $\text{argl}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ polarization as early as 1 week after urethane, when microscopic tumors (referred to as microadenomas⁴⁷ or atypical adenomatous hyperplasias⁴⁸) are developing. Because TAMs were not polarized before this time, macrophage polarization is not a direct consequence of urethane itself or any of its rapidly cleared metabolites. Polarization of BDMCs occurs soon after pulmonary macrophage polarization in this model. Although macrophage polarization changed very early in lung neoplasia, the number of macrophages in the lungs did not increase significantly within the first 24 weeks after urethane treatment, implying that even though BDMCs were polarized, they were not actively

recruited to the lungs until many weeks later. BMDC polarization is not limited to a response to pulmonary tumorigenesis because BDMCs were also polarized by the growth of a colon tumor xenograft. Detection of polarized BDMCs in the circulation may thus imply the presence of neoplastic lesions but not their anatomical source.

The exquisite sensitivity of the macrophage response to pulmonary neoplasia is illustrated by $\text{argl}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ polarization in resistant B6 mice^{31,45} given a single injection of urethane so that only a small percentage develop lung tumors. BAL macrophages remained $\text{argl}^{\text{low}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ in the mice that did not develop tumors, whereas pulmonary macrophages in mice with even one lung tumor expressed arginase I. Because macrophage infiltration has been shown to be a necessary component of BHT-mediated lung tumor promotion, we also examined macrophage activation in mice treated with MCA+BHT. $\text{Argl}^{\text{low}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ to $\text{argl}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ polarization in pulmonary adenoma-bearing mice was also observed in this model. Unlike TAMs associated with urethane-induced lung tumors in A/J mice, TAMs associated with tumors induced by MCA+BHT or urethane in other strains did not switch to $\text{argl}^{\text{low}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{high}}$ polarization when tumors progressed to AC, but remained $\text{argl}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ throughout AC development. Macrophages isolated from naïve mice are tumoricidal but can be made ten times more potent at killing tumor cells after M1 polarization with $\text{IFN-}\gamma$,⁴⁹ consonant with their classical role in host defense. Elevated arginase expression in M2 macrophages reduces the amount of NO available to kill tumor cells,⁴⁹ inhibits antigen presentation,²¹ and enhances the capacity of myeloid suppressor cells to inhibit T cell proliferation.⁵⁰ Polyamines secreted by M2 macrophages encourage tumor cell growth *in vitro*, while inhibiting arginase activity reduces tumor growth *in vivo*.⁵¹ Cytokines such as $\text{TNF-}\alpha$, $\text{IL-1}\beta$, and IL-6 released by M2 macrophages enhance tumor cell survival.⁵² Hence, the association of M1 TAMs with AC would in theory cause tumor cell death, yet ACs in A/J mice are not destroyed by the M1 polarized TAMs that surround them. A/J macrophages are defective in their ability to become tumoricidal both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, possibly accounting for this apparent discrepancy.^{53–55}

The cBy substrain of BALB mice also exhibited an exception to the trend of $\text{argl}^{\text{low}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ to $\text{argl}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ polarization of TAMs in early tumorigenesis. BDMCs and BAL macrophages from naïve cBy mice were $\text{argl}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{high}}$, a mixed M1 + M2 phenotype that persists in macrophages associated with both benign and malignant tumors induced by multiple urethane injections. In contrast, TAMs in cBy mice exposed to MCA/BHT carcinogenesis express $\text{argl}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{low}}$ throughout tumor development, showing that TAM polarization is a consequence of both genetic background and tumor etiology. The mixed $\text{argl}^{\text{high}}\text{iNOS}^{\text{high}}$ macrophage polarization in this strain is similar to that seen in human pulmonary AC and late stage murine prostate tumors (Figures 1 and 4).

Strain-dependent variations in the degree of macrophage infiltration during lung neoplasia do not arise from the genetic differences among strains in the number of

bone marrow macrophages (Supplemental Figure S1, available online at <http://ajp.amjpathol.org>). These differences may reflect strain-dependent variations in the amount or kinds of chemokines secreted by TAMs that recruit BDMCs to the lungs or in the relative sensitivities of these BDMCs to such chemoattractants. We have shown previously⁵⁶ that BHT induces lung epithelial cells to produce one such macrophage chemoattractant, CCL2, a few days before macrophages enter the lungs. Whether A/J, cBy, or B6 mice vary in chemokine production, expression of the relevant chemokine receptor, or downstream signaling after chemokine binding to receptors is not known. Because both A/J and B6 BDMCs in adenoma-bearing mice are argl^{high}iNOS^{low} polarized while showing great disparity in the degree of lung infiltration by macrophages, those signals that polarize BDMCs presumably are different from recruitment signals.

Tumor to marrow signaling is not limited to murine cancers, because HT-29 colon tumor cells injected into immuno-compromised SCID mice induce argl^{high}iNOS^{low} polarization in both TAMs associated with the growing xenograft and BDMCs. In those mice in which colon tumor cells metastasized to the lungs, pulmonary macrophages were also argl^{high}iNOS^{low}. Pulmonary macrophages remained argl^{low}iNOS^{low} in mice without detectable HT-29 pulmonary metastases, indicating local signaling by metastatic lesions. Tumor cells exert local changes on macrophages co-cultured with human ovarian cancer cells,²⁸ as is indicated by the appearance of argl^{high}iNOS^{low} pulmonary macrophages in lungs of urethane-treated A/J mice one week before argl^{high}iNOS^{low} BDMCs are detectable. Because SCID mice lack mature B and T cells, we deduce that the argl^{low}iNOS^{low} to argl^{high}iNOS^{low} switch can occur without involvement of T_H2 cytokine production from pulmonary T-cells. The source of activating IFN- γ and IL-4 cytokines found in BAL from tumor-bearing lungs was not determined, but this study suggests that cells other than lymphocytes may produce sufficient amounts to polarize both local macrophages and distal BDMCs. Whether production of these cytokines is muted in SCID mice compared with immuno-competent mice has not been determined but is of interest if manipulation of innate or adaptive immunity is used to treat cancer.

Argl^{low}iNOS^{low} to ArgI^{high}iNOS^{low} polarization was also observed in TAMs from lungs bearing tumors induced by overexpressing mutant *Kras*³⁸ or wild-type *FGF-10*¹⁰ in Clara and type 2 cells. Conditional overexpression of either transgene was accompanied by argl^{high}iNOS^{low} expression in pulmonary macrophages even though neoplastic growth is greatly accelerated compared with chemically-induced tumorigenesis. Thus, whether tumors appear within days (genetic models) or weeks (chemical carcinogenesis), early lung neoplasia is associated with alternative polarization of macrophages. When tumors induced by either transgene were allowed to regress by halting transgene transcription, the pulmonary macrophage population reverted back to argl^{low}iNOS^{low}. As long as even tiny lesions remained before complete tumor regression was achieved, macrophages continued to be alternatively polarized. Macrophage polarization

thus accompanies lung tumor growth when *KRAS* is the only epithelial mutation, or in the case of *FGF-10* overexpression, when tumors appear in the absence of any known epithelial mutation. We confirmed that macrophages infiltrate the parenchyma of *K-Ras4b*+^{G12D}-induced lung tumors, as was originally reported.³⁹ This differs from other mouse lung tumor models where macrophages remain adjacent to, but outside of, the tumor parenchyma, but is similar to human lung cancer where TIMs are detected. Both TIMs and TAMs detected in this transgenic mutant *KRAS* model are argl^{high}iNOS^{low}, whereas TIMs and TAMs associated with human lung cancer are argl^{high}iNOS^{high}. Although TIMs and TAMs vary in other molecular characteristics, such as the relative contents of adhesion molecules that allow TIMs to cross the tumor vasculature or the up-regulation of IL-10 synthesis that has been reported to occur only in TAMs,⁵⁷ they display similar activation phenotypes.

Polarization of TAMs to an alternatively activated phenotype, first described by Mills,^{17,58} Mantovani,⁵⁹ and their colleagues,¹² has been demonstrated in several human cancers and experimental mouse models. TAMs, however, can be classically or alternatively activated or exhibit a mixed M1 + M2 phenotype.⁵² In this study, primary mouse lung and prostate tumors, as well as human xenograft tumors, are surrounded by polarized TAMs. It remains to be clarified how tumor cells and macrophages co-evolve to favor tumor progression.⁶⁰ A thorough understanding would refine therapeutic targeting to the tumor microenvironment that could be used as an adjuvant along with standard cytotoxic interventions directed at solid carcinomas.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Dr. Jeffrey A. Whitsett (University of Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center) for the kind gift of FGF10tg lung sections.

References

- Nathan C: Points of control in inflammation. *Nature* 2002, 420: 846–852
- Coussens LM, Werb Z: Inflammation and cancer. *Nature* 2002, 420: 860–867
- Geissmann F, Jung S, Littman DR: Blood monocytes consist of two principal subsets with distinct migratory properties. *Immunity* 2003, 19: 71–82
- Malkinson AM: Role of inflammation in mouse lung tumorigenesis: a review. *Exp Lung Res* 2005, 31: 57–82
- Balkwill F, Mantovani A: Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? *Lancet* 2001, 357: 539–545
- Williams CS, Goldman AP, Sheng H, Morrow JD, Dubois RN: Sulindac sulfide, but not sulindac sulfone, inhibits colorectal cancer growth. *Neoplasia* 1999, 1: 170–176
- Bauer AK, Malkinson AM, Kleeberger SR: Susceptibility to neoplastic and non-neoplastic pulmonary diseases in mice: genetic similarities. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2004, 287: L685–L703
- Engels EA, Wu X, Gu J, Dong Q, Liu J, Spitz MR: Systematic evaluation of genetic variants in the inflammation pathway and risk of lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 2007, 67: 6520–6527
- Keith RL, Miller YE, Hoshikawa Y, Moore MD, Gesell TL, Gao B, Malkinson AM, Golpon HA, Nemenoff RA, Geraci MW: Manipulation of

- pulmonary prostacyclin synthase expression prevents murine lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 2002, 62:734–740
10. Bernert H, Sekikawa K, Radcliffe RA, Iraqi F, You M, Malkinson AM: Tnfa and Il-10 deficiencies have contrasting effects on lung tumor susceptibility: gender-dependent modulation of IL-10 haploinsufficiency. *Mol Carcinog* 2003, 38:117–123
11. Clark JC, Tichelaar JW, Wert SE, Itoh N, Perl AK, Stahlman MT, Whitsett JA: FGF-10 disrupts lung morphogenesis and causes pulmonary adenomas in vivo. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2001, 280:L705–L715
12. Gordon S: Alternative activation of macrophages. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2003, 3:23–35
13. Takanami I, Takeuchi K, Kodaira S: Tumor-associated macrophage infiltration in pulmonary adenocarcinoma: association with angiogenesis and poor prognosis. *Oncology* 1999, 57:138–142
14. Wyckoff J, Wang W, Lin EY, Wang Y, Pixley F, Stanley ER, Graf T, Pollard JW, Segall J, Condeelis J: A paracrine loop between tumor cells and macrophages is required for tumor cell migration in mammary tumors. *Cancer Res* 2004, 64:7022–7029
15. Terabe M, Berzofsky JA: Immunoregulatory T cells in tumor immunity. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2004, 16:157–162
16. Sica A, Bronte V: Altered macrophage differentiation and immune dysfunction in tumor development. *J Clin Invest* 2007, 117:1155–1166
17. Mills CD, Kincaid K, Alt JM, Heilman MJ, Hill AM: M-1/M-2 macrophages and the Th1/Th2 paradigm. *J Immunol* 2000, 164:6166–6173
18. Stout RD, Jiang C, Matta B, Tietzel I, Watkins SK, Suttles J: Macrophages sequentially change their functional phenotype in response to changes in microenvironmental influences. *J Immunol* 2005, 175:342–349
19. Kotowicz K, Callard RE, Friedrich K, Matthews DJ, Klein N: Biological activity of IL-4 and IL-13 on human endothelial cells: functional evidence that both cytokines act through the same receptor. *Int Immunol* 1996, 8:1915–1925
20. Munder M, Eichmann K, Moran JM, Centeno F, Soler G, Modolell M: Th1/Th2-regulated expression of arginase isoforms in murine macrophages and dendritic cells. *J Immunol* 1999, 163:3771–3777
21. Mantovani A, Sica A, Sozzani S, Allavena P, Vecchi A, Locati M: The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and polarization. *Trends Immunol* 2004, 25:677–686
22. Montaner LJ, da Silva RP, Sun J, Sutterwala S, Hollinshead M, Vaux D, Gordon S: Type 1 and type 2 cytokine regulation of macrophage endocytosis: differential activation by IL-4/IL-13 as opposed to IFN- γ or IL-10. *J Immunol* 1999, 162:4606–4613
23. Nair MG, Cochrane DW, Allen JE: Macrophages in chronic type 2 inflammation have a novel phenotype characterized by the abundant expression of Ym1 and Fizz1 that can be partly replicated in vitro. *Immunol Lett* 2003, 85:173–180
24. Morris SM Jr, Kepka-Lenhart D, Chen LC: Differential regulation of arginases and inducible nitric oxide synthase in murine macrophage cells. *Am J Physiol* 1998, 275:E740–E747
25. Luo Y, Zhou H, Krueger J, Kaplan C, Lee SH, Dolman C, Markowitz D, Wu W, Liu C, Reisfeld RA, Xiang R: Targeting tumor-associated macrophages as a novel strategy against breast cancer. *J Clin Invest* 2006, 116:2132–2141
26. Huang M, Wang J, Lee P, Sharma S, Mao JT, Meissner H, Uyemura K, Modlin R, Wollman J, Dubinett SM: Human non-small cell lung cancer cells express a type 2 cytokine pattern. *Cancer Res* 1995, 55:3847–3853
27. Robinson-Smith TM, Isaacsohn I, Mercer CA, Zhou M, Van RN, Husseinadeh N, Farland-Mancini MM, Drew AF: Macrophages mediate inflammation-enhanced metastasis of ovarian tumors in mice. *Cancer Res* 2007, 67:5708–5716
28. Hagemann T, Wilson J, Burke F, Kulbe H, Li NF, Pluddemann A, Charles K, Gordon S, Balkwill FR: Ovarian cancer cells polarize macrophages toward a tumor-associated phenotype. *J Immunol* 2006, 176:5023–5032
29. Redente EF, Orlicky DJ, Bouchard RJ, Malkinson AM: Tumor signaling to the bone marrow changes the phenotype of monocytes and pulmonary macrophages during urethane-induced primary lung tumorigenesis in A/J mice. *Am J Pathol* 2007, 170:693–708
30. Malkinson AM: Primary lung tumors in mice as an aid for understanding, preventing, and treating human adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Lung Cancer* 2001, 32:265–279
31. Malkinson AM, Beer DS: Major effect on susceptibility to urethane-induced pulmonary adenoma by a single gene in BALB/cBy mice. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1983, 70:931–936
32. Bauer AK, Dwyer-Nield LD, Keil K, Koski K, Malkinson AM: Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) induction of pulmonary inflammation: a role in tumor promotion. *Exp Lung Res* 2001, 27:197–216
33. Malkinson AM, Koski KM, Evans WA, Festing MF: Butylated hydroxytoluene exposure is necessary to induce lung tumors in BALB mice treated with 3-methylcholanthrene. *Cancer Res* 1997, 57:2832–2834
34. Bauer AK, Dwyer-Nield LD, Hankin JA, Murphy RC, Malkinson AM: The lung tumor promoter, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), causes chronic inflammation in promotion-sensitive BALB/cByJ mice but not in promotion-resistant C57BL/6 mice. *Toxicology* 2001, 169:1–15
35. Gingrich JR, Barrios RJ, Foster BA, Greenberg NM: Pathologic progression of autochthonous prostate cancer in the TRAMP model. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* 1999, 2:70–75
36. Greenberg NM, DeMayo F, Finegold MJ, Medina D, Tilley WD, Aspinall JO, Cunha GR, Donjacour AA, Matusik RJ, Rosen JM: Prostate cancer in a transgenic mouse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995, 92:3439–3443
37. Greenberg NM, DeMayo FJ, Sheppard PC, Barrios R, Lebovitz R, Finegold M, Angelopoulos R, Dodd JG, Duckworth ML, Rosen JM: The rat probasin gene promoter directs hormonally and developmentally regulated expression of a heterologous gene specifically to the prostate in transgenic mice. *Mol Endocrinol* 1994, 8:230–239
38. Raina K, Singh RP, Agarwal R, Agarwal C: Oral grape seed extract inhibits prostate tumor growth and progression in TRAMP mice. *Cancer Res* 2007, 67:5976–5982
39. Fisher GH, Wellen SL, Klimstra D, Lenczowski JM, Tichelaar JW, Lizak MJ, Whitsett JA, Koretsky A, Varmus HE: Induction and apoptotic regression of lung adenocarcinomas by regulation of a K-Ras transgene in the presence and absence of tumor suppressor genes. *Genes Dev* 2001, 15:3249–3262
40. Mitchell BS, Horny HP, Schumacher U: Immunophenotyping of human HT29 colon cancer cell primary tumours and their metastases in severe combined immunodeficient mice. *Histochem J* 1997, 29:393–399
41. Jojovic M, Schumacher U: Quantitative assessment of spontaneous lung metastases of human HT29 colon cancer cells transplanted into SCID mice. *Cancer Lett* 2000, 152:151–156
42. Raes G, Brys L, Dahal BK, Brandt J, Grooten J, Brombacher F, Vanham G, Noel W, Bogaert P, Boonefaes T, Kindt A, Van den BR, Leenen PJ, De BP, Ghassabeh GH: Macrophage galactose-type C-type lectins as novel markers for alternatively activated macrophages elicited by parasitic infections and allergic airway inflammation. *J Leukoc Biol* 2005, 77:321–327
43. Rotondo R, Barisione G, Mastracci L, Grossi F, Orengo AM, Costa R, Truini M, Fabbri M, Ferrini S, Barbieri O: IL-8 induces exocytosis of arginase 1 by neutrophil polymorphonuclears in non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2009, 125:887–893
44. Martinez FO, Gordon S, Locati M, Mantovani A: Transcriptional profiling of the human monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and polarization: new molecules and patterns of gene expression. *J Immunol* 2006, 177:7303–7311
45. Miller YE, Dwyer-Nield LD, Keith RL, Le M, Franklin WA, Malkinson AM: Induction of a high incidence of lung tumors in C57BL/6 mice with multiple ethyl carbamate injections. *Cancer Lett* 2003, 198:139–144
46. Forkert PG, Jackson AC, Parkinson A, Chen S: Diminished expression of CYP1A1 in urethane-induced lung tumors in strain A/J mice: analysis by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemical methods. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1996, 14:444–453
47. O'Donnell EP, Zerbe LK, Dwyer-Nield LD, Kiskey LR, Malkinson AM: Quantitative analysis of early chemically-induced pulmonary lesions in mice of varying susceptibilities to lung tumorigenesis. *Cancer Lett* 2006, 241:197–202
48. Nikitin AY, Alcaraz A, Anver MR, Bronson RT, Cardiff RD, Dixon D, Fraire AE, Gabrielson EW, Gunning WT, Haines DC, Kaufman MH, Linnola RI, Maronpot RR, Rabson AS, Reddick RL, Rehm S, Rozengurt N, Schuller HM, Schmidt EN, Travis WD, Ward JM, Jacks T: Classification of proliferative pulmonary lesions of the mouse: recommendations of the mouse models of human cancers consortium. *Cancer Res* 2004, 64:2307–2316
49. Dinapoli MR, Calderon CL, Lopez DM: The altered tumoricidal capacity of macrophages isolated from tumor-bearing mice is related to

- reduce expression of the inducible nitric oxide synthase gene. *J Exp Med* 1996, 183:1323–1329
50. Zea AH, Rodriguez PC, Atkins MB, Hernandez C, Signoretti S, Zabaleta J, McDermott D, Quiceno D, Youmans A, O'Neill A, Mier J, Ochoa AC: Arginase-producing myeloid suppressor cells in renal cell carcinoma patients: a mechanism of tumor evasion. *Cancer Res* 2005, 65:3044–3048
51. Chang CI, Liao JC, Kuo L: Macrophage arginase promotes tumor cell growth and suppresses nitric oxide-mediated tumor cytotoxicity. *Cancer Res* 2001, 61:1100–1106
52. Biswas SK, Sica A, Lewis CE: Plasticity of macrophage function during tumor progression: regulation by distinct molecular mechanisms. *J Immunol* 2008, 180:2011–2017
53. Boraschi D, Meltzer MS: Defective tumoricidal capacity of macrophages from A/J mice. I. Characterization of the macrophage cytotoxic defect after in vivo and in vitro activation stimuli. *J Immunol* 1979, 122:1587–1591
54. Boraschi D, Meltzer MS: Defective tumoricidal capacity of macrophages from A/J mice. II. Comparison of the macrophage cytotoxic defect of A/J mice with that of lipid A-unresponsive C3H/HeJ mice. *J Immunol* 1979, 122:1592–1597
55. Boraschi D, Meltzer MS: Defective tumoricidal capacity of macrophages from A/J mice. III. Genetic analysis of the macrophage defect. *J Immunol* 1980, 124:1050–1053
56. Meyer AM, Dwyer-Nield LD, Hurteau G, Keith RL, Ouyang Y, Freed BM, Kisley LR, Geraci MW, Bonventre JV, Nemenoff RA, Malkinson AM: Attenuation of the pulmonary inflammatory response following butylated hydroxytoluene treatment of cytosolic phospholipase A2 null mice. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2006, 290:L1260–L1266
57. Watkins SK, Egilmez NK, Suttles J, Stout RD: IL-12 rapidly alters the functional profile of tumor-associated and tumor-infiltrating macrophages in vitro and in vivo. *J Immunol* 2007, 178:1357–1362
58. Mills CD, Shearer J, Evans R, Caldwell MD: Macrophage arginine metabolism and the inhibition or stimulation of cancer. *J Immunol* 1992, 149:2709–2714
59. Mantovani A, Sozzani S, Locati M, Allavena P, Sica A: Macrophage polarization: tumor-associated macrophages as a paradigm for polarized M2 mononuclear phagocytes. *Trends Immunol* 2002, 23:549–555
60. Swann JB, Smyth MJ: Immune surveillance of tumors. *J Clin Invest* 2007, 117:1137–1146