Tissue Eosinophilia in a Mouse Model of Colitis Is Highly Dependent on TLR2 and Independent of Mast Cells
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The mechanisms initiating eosinophil influx into sites of inflammation have been well studied in allergic disease but are poorly understood in other settings. This study examined the roles of TLR2 and mast cells in eosinophil accumulation during a non-allergic model of eosinophilia-associated colitis. TLR2-deficient mice (TLR2−/−) developed a more severe colitis than wild-type mice in the dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) model. However, they had significantly fewer eosinophils in the submucosa of the cecum (P < 0.01) and mid-colon (P < 0.01) than did wild-type mice after DSS treatment. Decreased eosinophilia in TLR2−/− mice was associated with lower levels of cecal CCL11 (P < 0.01). Peritoneal eosinophils did not express TLR2 protein, but TLR2 ligand injection into the peritoneal cavity induced local eosinophil recruitment, indicating that TLR2 activation of other cell types can mediate eosinophil recruitment. After DSS treatment, mast cell-deficient (KitW−sh/W−sh) mice had similar levels of intestinal tissue eosinophilia were observed as those in wild-type mice. However, mast cell-deficient mice were partially protected from DSS-induced weight loss, an effect that was reversed by mast cell reconstitution. Overall, this study indicates a critical role for indirect TLR2-dependent pathways, but not mast cells, in the generation of eosinophilia in the large intestine during experimental colitis and has important implications for the regulation of eosinophils at mucosal inflammatory sites. (Am J Pathol 2011; 178:150–160; DOI: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2010.11.041)
Toll-like receptors (TLR) are a family of innate immune pattern recognition receptors that recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns. Several TLRs are expressed in the large intestine under normal physiological conditions and during inflammation. 19–22 Activation of TLRs can lead to the production of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines involved in the recruitment of leukocytes. 23,24 TLR2-deficient animals have been shown to develop more severe colitis after DSS administration than their wild-type counterparts25,26 as a result of a dysregulated interaction with intestinal microbiota and altered epithelial permeability characteristics. 27 Stimulation of TLR2 by Mycobacterium bovis has also been shown to induce an eosinophilic infiltration into the pleural cavity that is highly dependent on IL-5, CCL11, and CCR3 (a CCL11 receptor). 28 The role of TLR2 in eosinophil recruitment during DSS colitis and the potential role of eosinophils in the severe colitis seen in TLR2<sup>−/−</sup> mice have not been previously examined.

Mast cells are strategically located at body sites that interface with the external environment, such as mucosal surfaces, where they have been shown to play an important role in host defense against parasitic and bacterial infection. 29–34 Mast cells have been suggested to play a proinflammatory role in models of colitis, and there is some evidence of mast cell activation in human IBD. 15,35,36 Mast cells are considered an important source of cytokines, chemokines, and other signaling factors that initiate the recruitment of eosinophils into sites of allergic inflammation. 37–39 Specifically, in the gastrointestinal tract mucosal mast cells can produce significant amounts of IL-5, CCL11, and LTC<sub>4</sub> after IgE-mediated stimulation, making them key cells to study in disease processes associated with eosinophilia. 40 Mast cells also express TLR2 and can produce cytokines and lipid mediators (eg, TNF, IL-5, IL-13, LTB<sub>4</sub>, and LTC<sub>4</sub>) in response to TLR2 activation. 41–43 The role of mast cells has not been considered in detail in the DSS model of colitis. Other reports using the Kit<sup>W<sub>sh</sub></sup>/Kit<sup>W<sub>v</sub></sup> (WW<sup>v</sup>) mast-cell-deficient mouse model suggested that their absence was not associated with changes in disease severity. Mast cell-deficient mutant Kit<sup>(W<sub>sh</sub>/W<sub>v</sub>)</sup> mice containing the W<sup>-sash</sup> (W<sup>sh</sup>) inversion mutation do not have many of the additional defects present in WW<sup>v</sup> mice. 44 For example, there are normal levels of TCR<sub>γδ</sub> intraepithelial lymphocytes, making W<sup>sh</sup> mice a much better model for studying the role of mast cells in eosinophil recruitment in the large intestine. The present study examined the role of TLR2 and mast cells in driving tissue eosinophilia during intestinal inflammation induced by DSS in mice and revealed an unexpected pivotal role for TLR2 in this process.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mice**

All mice were 8–12 weeks of age. TLR2<sup>−/−</sup> mice backcrossed more than 10 generations onto a C57BL/6 background were kindly provided by Dr. S. Akira (Osaka University, Osaka, Japan). Mast cell-deficient B6.Cg-Kit<sup>(W<sub>sh</sub>/W<sub>v</sub>)</sup> HNahrJaeBsmJ mice and matched C57BL/6 control mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Animals were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions and were allowed free access to regular water and food. Because our previous studies illustrated that cohousing of littermates will not normalize the intestinal microbiota of TLR2-deficient mice, for this study we used mice that were not co-housed from birth (except that all mice from Jackson Laboratory were housed together with other strains in the Animal Care facility at Dalhousie University for a minimum of 2 weeks before use). All experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care (local protocol no. 03-102).

**Mast Cell Culture and Reconstitution**

Bone marrow-derived mast cells (BMMCs) were generated from C57BL/6 mice according to the method of Tertian et al. 46 The W<sup>sh</sup> mice were reconstituted as previously described. 47 Briefly, 7.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> mature BMMC (<~98% pure mast cells) were injected intravenously into the tail vein of 8- to 12-week-old W<sup>sh</sup> mice. Mice were allowed to mature in vivo for a minimum of 16 weeks to allow reconstitution of the gastrointestinal tract. Effective mast cell reconstitution was confirmed by histological analysis in Carnoy’s-fixed, Toluidine Blue-stained paraffin sections. Mice were between 28 and 30 weeks of age when used in DSS colitis experiments.

**Induction of Colitis**

Mice received 3% (w/v) DSS (mol. wt. = 36–50 kDa; ICN Biomedicals, Aurora, OH) dissolved in sterile, distilled water ad libitum on days 0–5, followed by 5 days of regular drinking water. A similar DSS treatment protocol had been used by several groups previously. 23,29,27 Mice were weighed daily. Cecal, mid-colon, and lung samples were taken for histology and tissue sonication on days 0, 5, 7, and 10 of treatment. Peripheral blood samples were also taken from selected animals before and after treatment. This protocol yields maximal weight loss and histological damage at days 7–8 for control mice and at days 10–11 in TLR2-deficient animals. 26

**Histology and Sonication**

The large intestine was removed free of fatty tissue and mesenteric lymph nodes. The entire colon length was measured from the cecum to the rectum. Cecal and mid-colon portions of the large intestine were opened longitudinally, rinsed briefly in cold PBS, and further divided into two segments. One segment was frozen and saved for sonication, and the other half was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and paraffin-embedded. All samples for cytokine content analysis were placed in PBS at a constant ratio to their weights, sonicated, and centrifuged (2300 g for 10 minutes), with supernatants collected for cytokine assays. Paraffin-embedded tissue sections were stained with standard hematoxylin and eosin.
were cut 5 μm thick and stained with hematoxylin and eosi
in for general histology or with Congo Red to identify
eosinophils before evaluation.

**Congo Red Stain for Eosinophils**

Five-micron sections of paraffin-embedded, formalin-
fixed tissue were stained for 1 hour in 1% alcoholic
Congo Red solution (1.0 g Congo Red, 50 ml ethanol, 50
ml distilled water). Slides were then placed in Mayer’s
ehematoxylin for 2 minutes, followed by a rinse with tap
water. Slides were blued in Scott’s tap water substitute
and then rinsed again with tap water. Finally, the slides
were dehydrated, cleared, and mounted. The resulting
stain showed eosinophil granules as bright red and nu-
clei as blue.

**Histological Scoring and Colon Lengths**

Entire cecal and mid-colonic sections tissue sections
were coded and assessed in a blinded manner. Histo-
logical damage was scored according to a previously
described protocol. Briefly, sections were scored
based on three criteria; inflammatory cell infiltration (0–3),
tissue damage (0–5), and edema (0–2). For colon length
measurements, the entire large intestine was removed
and length was measured from the proximal end of the
cecum to the anus.

**Eosinophil Counts**

Eosinophilia after DSS treatment was most pronounced in
the submucosal area of the large intestine. In both cecal
and colonic sections, the number of eosinophils in the
submucosa was counted per area (20,000 μm²) of sub-
mucosa in Congo Red-stained sections, based on red
granule staining. Ten random fields were counted per
section.

**Peritoneal Cavity Injections, Cell Harvesting, and Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting Analysis**

C57BL/6 mice were left untreated or injected with 50
μL of either saline or saline containing 1 μg of a TLR2/
TLR6 agonist, FSL-1 (EMC Microcollections, Tübingen,
Germany). After 16 hours, the mice were euthanized
and the peritoneal cells were harvested by i.p. injection
and recovery of 5 ml PBS, 0.5% bovine serum albu-
mín, 5 mmol/L EDTA. The cells were counted and an-
yalyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting for the ex-
pression of Siglec-F, F4/80, CD11b, and Gr-1 to identify
eosinophils as Siglec-F^pos^, F4/80^lo^, CD11b^lo/neg^, and Gr-
1^neg^ (all antibodies from eBioscience, San Diego, CA). Cells
were collected on a FACSCalibur or FACSArray system (BD
Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and were analyzed with WinList
version 5.0 software (Verity Software House, Topsham,
ME).

**Mast Cell Staining**

Five-micron sections of Carnoy’s-fixed, paraffin-embed-
ted tissue were stained for mast cells using an adapta-
tion of the method of Strobel, Miller, and Ferguson.48
Toluidine Blue stain was dissolved in 1 N HCl to provide
better discrimination from goblet cell background tissue
staining within the large intestine.

**White Blood Cell Counts and Differentiation**

Venous blood was collected from the aorta of mice
immediately after sacrifice. Total white blood cell
counts were performed on a hemocytometer after dilu-
tion of blood 1:10 in crystal violet solution. Blood
smears were prepared and stained with Diff Quick
stain set (Dade Behring, Newark, NJ) according to the
manufacturer’s protocol. Smears were examined at
×400 magnification. Two hundred white blood cells
were counted per smear, and differentiation of lympho-
cytes, monocytes, eosinophils, and neutrophils was
performed based on nuclear morphology and cyto-
plasmic staining properties.

**Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays**

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were
performed on cell-free supernatants according to the
manufacturers’ protocols. Detection of IL-5 was per-
formed using a DuoSet mouse IL-5 ELISA development
system (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) (sensitivity:
31.25 pg/ml). Amplification of the IL-5 ELISA was per-
formed using a commercial ELISA amplification system
(Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Eotaxin ELISA was per-
formed on cell-free supernatants using a Quantikine
Mouse eotaxin immunoassay kit (R&D Systems) ac-
cording to the manufacturer’s protocol (sensitivity was
15.6 pg/ml). In general, cytokine content results were
evaluated on a per-gram wet-weight basis. Protein
content assays were also performed on a substantial
subset of colon and cecum tissue samples from both
control and inflamed mouse intestine, and cytokine
contents were also calculated using this parameter.
These values were highly correlated (P < 0.001) with
the wet weight values.

**Statistical Analyses**

Results are reported as Mean +/- standard error of the
mean (S.E.M.). Differences between TLR2^−/−^ and wild-
type mice and between W^lo^ and wild-type mice at a
given time point were assessed using a one-way analysis
of variance with a Bonferroni multiple comparison post
hoc test. Comparisons of saline-injected versus FSL-1-
injected mice and, where appropriate, individual groups
of mice before and after DSS treatment, were performed
using an unpaired t-test. A P-value of <0.05 was consid-
ered significant.
Accordinng to several reports, TLR2−/− mice develop more severe intestinal inflammation than wild-type mice when treated with 3% DSS (w/v) for 5 days, followed by an additional 5 days of regular drinking water administration. We examined eosinophil recruitment in the cecums and mid-colons of TLR2−/− and wild-type mice after DSS treatment. Under normal physiological conditions, there were comparable levels of eosinophils in both the cecum and mid-colon of TLR2−/− and wild-type mice (Figure 1, A and D), and there were no significant differences between the number of peripheral blood eosinophils before treatment (data not shown). After 5 days of DSS treatment and 5 days of recovery (day 10), there was a significant increase in the number of submucosal eosinophils in the cecum of TLR2−/− and wild-type mice (P < 0.001) assessed by Congo Red staining (Figure 1, G and H). There were, however, significantly fewer eosinophils in the submucosa of TLR2−/− mice, compared with wild-type mice (P < 0.01) (Figure 1, A–C). The same trend was also observed in the mid-colon (Figure 1, D–F), demonstrating that TLR2 plays an important role in the development of tissue eosinophilia during DSS-induced colitis.

Results

TLR2 Is Critical for the Generation of Eosinophilia in the Large Intestine during Colitis

According to several reports, TLR2−/− mice develop more severe intestinal inflammation than wild-type mice when treated with 3% DSS (w/v) for 5 days, followed by 5 days of regular drinking water. Eosinophils (Eos) were counted in the submucosa in 10 random fields of Congo Red-stained cecal (A) and mid-colonic (D) tissue sections from each mouse. Values are pooled means ± SEM of 5–10 mice per time point from two separate experiments. Significance is denoted as **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001. Unless indicated by a line showing a specific additional comparison, significance denotes increase compared with day 0 values for the same type of mouse. B, C Hematoxylin and eosin stained cecal sections at X400 magnification showing the severity of disease and eosinophils in the submucosa in C57BL/6 and TLR2−/− mice, respectively. E, F Hematoxylin and eosin stained mid-colonic sections at X400 magnification showing the severity of disease and the presence of eosinophils in the submucosa. G, H Representative Congo Red staining for eosinophils used to count eosinophils in the submucosa at ×1000 magnification. H: Detail from a boxed area G, showing eosinophil-specific Congo Red staining.
Counts at day 10 were 4.0, however, the CCL11 response in the cecum was significantly increased in both groups of mice; (Figure 2). After DSS treatment, cecal and colonic CCL11 levels of IL-5 or CCL11 in the cecum or the mid-colon response of wild-type animals was much greater than control animals showed no increase over baseline at this time point. By day 10, however, the CCL11 where control mice were observed at day 5 in the colon did not appear to be mast cell-related. Similar differences were observed between mouse groups in the mid-colon.

Absence of Cecal and Mid-Colonic Eosinophils in TLR2\(^{-/-}\) Mice Is Associated with Decreased CCL11 Responses

Cecal and mid-colonic levels of IL-5 and CCL11 were measured in TLR2\(^{-/-}\) and wild-type mice before and after DSS treatment, because of their important roles in mediating eosinophil recruitment to the gastrointestinal tract.\(^{16,17}\) In untreated mice, there were no significant differences between TLR2\(^{-/-}\) and control mice in the levels of IL-5 or CCL11 in the cecum and the mid-colon (Figure 2). After DSS treatment, cecal and colonic CCL11 levels significantly increased in both groups of mice; however, the CCL11 response in the cecum was significantly earlier in TLR2\(^{-/-}\) mice, being observed at day 5, whereas control animals showed no increase over baseline at this time point. By day 10, however, the CCL11 response of wild-type animals was much greater than that observed in the TLR2\(^{-/-}\) animals (\(P < 0.001\)). No differences in CCL11 levels were observed between mouse groups in the mid-colon.

Mast Cell-Deficient W\(^{sh}\) Mice Are Less Susceptible than Wild-Type C57BL/6 Mice to DSS-Induced Weight Loss but Show Similar Levels of Histological Damage

Mast cells have been implicated as contributors to local inflammation during IBD because of their ability to synthesize many different mediators.\(^{15,35,36}\) To date, no reported studies have examined the contribution of the mast cell during DSS colitis using mast cell-deficient Kit\(^{W-sh/W-sh}\) (W\(^{sh}\)) mice. Mast cell-deficient mice, wild-type C57BL/6, and W\(^{sh}\) mice reconstituted intravenously with 7.5 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) C57BL/6 BMMCs were all treated with 3% DSS for 5 days, followed by 2 or 5 days of regular drinking water. During this time period, mice were weighed daily to determine the mean percent change in weight of each animal. The W\(^{sh}\) mice were significantly more resistant to DSS-induced weight loss than wild-type C57BL/6 mice. Compared with mast cell-deficient W\(^{sh}\) mice, mast cell-containing C57BL/6 mice had lost a significantly greater percentage of weight on days 7 (\(P < 0.01\)), 8 (\(P < 0.05\)), 9 (\(P < 0.01\)), and 10 (\(P < 0.05\)) (Figure 3A). The W\(^{sh}\) mice reconstituted with C57BL/6 BMMCs showed a trend in weight loss similar to that observed in wild-type C57BL/6 mice. On histological examination, C57BL/6 and W\(^{sh}\) mice had similar, significantly elevated levels of mucosal ulceration by day 7 in both the cecum and mid-colon (\(P < 0.01\)) (Figure 3, B and C). By day 10, however, C57BL/6 mice still had an elevated histological score in the cecum, whereas W\(^{sh}\) mice had significantly less mucosal ulceration (\(P < 0.05\)). Mast cell-reconstituted mice showed similar responses to W\(^{sh}\) mice, suggesting that this effect was not mast cell-related. Similar differences were not observed in the mid-colon.

We further examined the contribution of mast cells to the inflammatory response by measuring total cecal and mid-colonic tissue levels of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1\(\beta\) (Figure 3, D and E). All groups of mice showed similar IL-1\(\beta\) responses in the cecum, whereas W\(^{sh}\) mice had significantly less mucosal ulceration (\(P < 0.05\)). Mast cell-reconstituted mice showed similar responses to W\(^{sh}\) mice, suggesting that this effect was not mast cell-related. Similar differences were not observed in the mid-colon.

Mast Cells Do Not Play a Significant Role in Large Intestinal Tissue Eosinophilia in DSS Colitis

Mast cells have been shown to express TLR2, and these cells can respond to a number of TLR2 activators by producing cytokines and lipid mediators, such as TNF, IL-5, IL-13, granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor, and LTC4.\(^{41–43}\) Mast cells are also known to mediate eosinophil recruitment during allergic disease. We were therefore interested in studying the contribution of the mast cell in the nonallergic DSS model of colitis in mice, where we have already observed significant eosinophilia in the large intestine. In untreated mast cell-deficient W\(^{sh}\) mice, there were similar levels of eosinophils in...
stained tissue sections from the cecum (C) before (nB) and mid-colon (n and after (elevated above baseline (P). Cosa of the cecum and mid-colon were still significantly

By day 10, the number of eosinophils in the submucosa was not demonstrated to be mast cell-dependent (Figure 4). By day 10, the number of eosinophils recruited to the cecum was much higher than those observed in the blood of wild-type mice (P < 0.05). On day 7, Wsh mice had a significant increase in the number of circulating lymphocytes from baseline (P < 0.05), significantly higher than numbers observed in the blood of wild-type mice (P < 0.05). By day 10, circulating levels of lymphocytes in Wsh mice were much higher than those observed at baseline (P < 0.01). Peripheral blood eosinophil numbers did not change in either group of mice by day 7, but did increase in wild-type mice by day 10 (P < 0.05), to levels significantly higher than in Wsh mice (P < 0.05).

Notably, TLR2-deficient mice had normal numbers of eosinophils in the peripheral blood before DSS treatment (0.2 ± 0.07 × 10⁶/ml). The numbers of peripheral blood eosinophils increased by day 10 after DSS, to 0.6 ± 0.09 × 10⁶/ml, similar to the response observed in control containing and mast cell-deficient animals (Figure 4). On day 7, cecal CCL11 levels increased above baseline in both groups of mice, but by day 10 CCL11 levels decreased more rapidly in the absence of mast cells (P < 0.05) (Figure 5C). A similar trend of elevated IL-5 and CCL11 in both groups of mice was seen in the mid-colon on day 7, but there was no significant role for the mast cell in the regulation of IL-5 or CCL11 at this site. (Figure 5, B and D).

Mast Cell Deficiency Is Associated with Altered Peripheral Blood Leukocyte Numbers before and after DSS Treatment

We further characterized the effects of mast cell deficiency on leukocyte populations isolated from the peripheral blood of Wsh and wild-type C57BL/6 mice before and after DSS treatment (Table 1). Untreated Wsh mice had significantly fewer lymphocytes present in the peripheral circulation than did wild-type mice (P < 0.05). On day 7, Wsh mice had a significant increase in the number of circulating lymphocytes from baseline (P < 0.05), significantly higher than numbers observed in the blood of wild-type mice (P < 0.05). By day 10, circulating levels of lymphocytes in Wsh mice were much higher than those observed at baseline (P < 0.01). Peripheral blood eosinophil numbers did not change in either group of mice by day 7, but did increase in wild-type mice by day 10 (P < 0.05), to levels significantly higher than in Wsh mice (P < 0.05).

Notably, TLR2-deficient mice had normal numbers of eosinophils in the peripheral blood before DSS treatment (0.2 ± 0.07 × 10⁶/ml). The numbers of peripheral blood eosinophils increased by day 10 after DSS, to 0.6 ± 0.09 × 10⁶/ml, similar to the response observed in control

the submucosa of the cecum and mid-colon, compared with wild-type C57BL/6 mice (Figure 4).

Cecal and mid-colonic tissue samples were taken on days 7 and 10, after mice had been administered 3% DSS for 5 days, followed by either 2 or 5 days of regular water. Enumeration of eosinophils in the submucosa of Congo Red-stained sections on day 7 demonstrated a significant number of eosinophils recruited to the cecum (P < 0.05) and colon (P < 0.01) of C57BL/6 mice, but this was not demonstrated to be mast cell-dependent (Figure 4). By day 10, the number of eosinophils in the submucosa of the cecum and mid-colon were still significantly elevated above baseline (P < 0.01) in both mast cell-
C57BL/6 DSS-treated mice at this time point (n = 6 per group).

Resident Murine Eosinophils Do Not Express TLR2 Protein

To assess the potential of a direct effect of TLR2 on murine eosinophil migration, we examined eosinophil expression of TLR2 using flow cytometry. Peritoneal eosinophils were identified by positive staining for Siglec-F in the absence of macrophage markers. Peritoneal macrophage expression of TLR2 was examined in parallel as a positive control. Peritoneal eosinophils from untreated mice exhibited no significant TLR2 staining, whereas macrophages demonstrated substantial TLR2 expression (Figure 6A).

**TLR2 Activator FSL-1 Induces Peritoneal Eosinophil Recruitment**

To further examine the ability of TLR2 to mediate the recruitment of eosinophils, outside the context of a site of active inflammation and tissue damage, we injected the TLR2/TLR6 activator FSL-1 into the peritoneal cavity of C57BL/6 mice. Sixteen hours later, peritoneal cells were harvested and the number of eosinophils present was determined. An increased number of eosinophils was observed within the peritoneal cavity of FSL-1-injected animals, compared with diluent-injected controls, indicating TLR2-dependent eosinophil recruitment (Figure 6B).

**Discussion**

Eosinophilic inflammation is strongly associated with allergic disease and parasitic infection. However, there are a number of other inflammatory settings in which eosinophils can be a prominent feature. These include eosinophilic gastroenteritis and models of xenotransplantation and chitin administration. The mechanisms of eosinophil recruitment in allergic models are thought to include an important contribution from mast cell mediators. The role of Toll-like receptors in triggering the accumulation of eosinophils in inflamed tissues has not been widely examined. In the present study, a critical role for TLR2 was noted in the accumulation of eosinophils at inflammatory sites during DSS colitis. In contrast to allergic models, eosinophil accumulation in the DSS colitis model was found to be entirely independent of mast cells. Although murine eosinophils were not found to express TLR2, an important role for TLR2 in eosinophil recruitment was confirmed by direct administration of a synthetic TLR2/TLR6 activator (FSL-1) into the peritoneal cavity of mice. The mechanism of eosinophil recruitment in the peritoneum is likely to be quite distinct from that in DSS colitis, but the present findings suggest a broader potential role for TLR2 in mediating local eosinophilia at both intestinal and alternate locations.

Eosinophils have been observed in the intestinal tissues of patients with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative co-

---

**Table 1.** Total Peripheral White Blood Cell and Differential White Blood Cell Numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 0 (x10^6)</th>
<th>Day 7 (x10^-6)</th>
<th>Day 10 (x10^-6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C57Bl/6</td>
<td>Wsh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC/ml</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.3 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.0†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total WBC were counted using crystal violet staining, and differential white blood cell counts were performed on stained blood smears. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM, n = 4−7/group. PMN, polymorphonuclear leukocytes; WBC, white blood cells.

*Significant difference (P < 0.05) compared with untreated mice.
†Significant difference (P < 0.05) between C57Bl/6 and Wsh mice at a given time point.
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Figure 6. Eosinophils do not express TLR2, but are recruited to the peritoneum by TLR2 agonist treatment. A: Resident peritoneal cells were harvested from wild-type mice and analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for the expression of TLR2 on eosinophils (Eos) or macrophages (Mφ). TLR2 expression is indicated by open profiles, with shading for isotype controls. Electronic gating to identify eosinophils and macrophages was based on forward and side scatter followed by selection of either Siglec-F- (Eos) or CD11bhi (Mφ) cells. B: The TLR2 agonist FSL-1 (1 μg) or saline was injected i.p. into C57BL/6 mice. After 16 hours, the number of eosinophils in the peritoneum was determined based on the total number of peritoneal cells and the percentage of Siglec-F- cells observed by FACS analysis. Values are means ± SEM of 11–12 mice per treatment. Significance compared with the saline-treated group is denoted as *P < 0.05.

Eosinophil accumulation in the mucosa is a common feature observed during DSS-induced colitis in mice. We and others have previously shown that TLR2 signaling in response to the commensal microflora is important in limiting mucosal injury during DSS colitis. TLR2-deficient animals have been shown to have a more severe disease development, with an extended time course and slower recovery after cessation of DSS treatment. Notably, the maximal weight loss in TLR2-deficient animals occurs at days 10–11 after a 5-day DSS treatment protocol, whereas control mice have maximal weight loss at days 7–8. The TLR2 animals do not fully recover their weight even after 18 days, whereas control animal are largely recovered by days 12–14. A second potential explanation for worsened disease after DSS colitis is that TLR2 expression is important for maintaining epithelial barrier integrity, which is consistent with both the altered epithelial junction structure in TLR2-deficient mice and the high expression of TLR2 on murine intestinal epithelium. Notably, TLR2 is not expressed in substantial amounts on normal murine eosinophils.

In the present study, we demonstrated an important role for TLR2 in the regulation of CCL11 and IL-5 during experimentally induced colitis in the large intestine. After the initiation of colitis, mice deficient in TLR2 had reduced eosinophil numbers in both the cecum and the colon, coincident with significantly decreased levels of CCL11 in the cecum; however, the levels of tissue IL-5 did not show a similar pattern. Cecal IL-5 levels were significantly enhanced in TLR2-deficient at day 10, compared with C57BL/6 animals. A similar trend was observed in the colon, although this did not reach statistical significance. The levels of eosinophils in the blood of both TLR2-deficient and C57BL/6 mice were similar at that time after initiation of DSS treatment. These observations point to a predominant role of local CCL11 production in regulating local tissue eosinophil numbers with increased IL-5 production, providing an appropriate signal for the development of sufficient eosinophils in the bone marrow. Throughout the present study, chemokine and cytokine tissue levels were compared only between groups of animals that had been housed together for a substantial period of time, were fully age- and sex-matched, and from which tissues were harvested and processed for analysis in parallel. Comparison of major animal groups at day 0 or day 10 using either protein content or wet weight as a denominator for cytokine values led to similar findings; therefore, only wet weight values were reported.

In this nonallergic model of eosinophilia we found no significant role for mast cells in modulating eosinophil accumulation in the large intestine and no substantial differences between mast cell-containing and mast cell-deficient mice in IL-5 or CCL11 responses. Mast cells in the lamina propria and submucosa have been implicated as contributors to local inflammation during IBD because of their ability to synthesize mediators such as histamine, prostaglandins, leukotrienes, cytokines, chemokines, and proteases. The Wsh mice were found to be more resistant to DSS-induced weight loss and mucosal damage in the cecum than C57BL/6 mice, a process that was confirmed to be mast cell-dependent by reconstitution studies. Mast cells have been shown by a number of groups to modify the epithelial barrier function within the intestine and, when activated, to increase intestinal permeability and fluid loss. Such processes could explain enhanced weight loss in mast cell-containing animals.

The observed reduced levels of CCL11 in the absence of TLR2 and the association with decreased levels of submucosal eosinophils are consistent with other studies that have identified IL-5 and CCL11 as major contributors to the recruitment of eosinophils in experimental models of colitis in mice. Mediators such as CCL5, LTβ4, and LTC4 have also been implicated in models of local eosinophilic inflammation and may have a role in the eosinophilic response noted in DSS colitis. In other models of pathogen-induced eosinophilia, it has been shown that direct stimulation of TLR2 by M. bovis can induce an eosinophilic infiltration into the pleural cavity that is highly dependent on IL-5, CCL11, and the CCL11 receptor CCR3. Our results suggest that TLR2-mediated eosinophil recruitment also occurs in the gastrointestinal tract, potentially as a result of exposure of effector cells to products of the bacterial flora.

The role of eosinophils in the pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis is controversial. However, Forbes et al demonstrated, in the DSS colitis model, that CCL11-deficient mice exhibited significantly attenuated colitis associated...
with a 45% reduction in the number of infiltrating eosinophils and a more than 70% reduction in colonic eosinophil peroxidase activity. In contrast with the CCL11-deficient animals, animals deficient in IL-5 did not show reduced disease or substantially reduced eosinophilia. These authors also demonstrated a key role for eosinophil peroxidase in enhancing tissue damage and inflammation. In their experiments, differences between control and CCL11-deficient mice were not noted during the colonic injury phase of the disease (up to day 5) but rather during later (recovery phase) time points (day 8).16 Ahrens et al18 also demonstrated reduced eosinophilia and tissue damage in DSS colitis in the absence of CCL11 and correlated eosinophil degranulation with disease severity in pediatric ulcerative colitis.

Our observations of worsened disease in TLR2-deficient animals, which have reduced numbers of eosinophils, demonstrate that eosinophil-independent mechanisms may be important in dictating the severity of disease under some circumstances, such as when there is reduced epithelial barrier function.27 In the present study, the observation that C57BL/6 mice had increased submucosal eosinophil accumulation, but less severe colitis, compared with TLR2-deficient mice, suggests that eosinophils might not be critical for driving the inflammatory process that leads to intestinal damage in TLR2-deficient animals. Previous studies of cellular and cytokine responses in DSS colitis have not consistently distinguished between different areas of large intestine. Notably, in the present study the major changes in eosinophil populations were evident in both cecal and mid-colonic sites; however, the scale of IL-5 and CCL11 responses differed substantially with location.

The ability of mast cells to recruit and activate eosinophils in the context of asthma and allergic disease has been well documented.37,40,58 The DSS model of colitis is a nonallergic model in which eosinophilia is commonly associated with the specific responses in a model of intestinal inflammation. The implications of this finding have yet to be examined in human IBD, but could help provide some insight into the mechanisms of TLR-mediated eosinophil recruitment during human disease. TLR2 polymorphisms and TLR2 function have been implicated in the development of allergic disease,59–61 and the contribution of TLR2 to the regulation of eosinophilic responses could contribute to this process. Notably, mast cells were not found to contribute substantially to the regulation of eosinophils in DSS colitis, although they have been shown to be pivotal for eosinophilic responses in models of allergic disease. Studies using airway administration of chitin demonstrated lung eosinophilic inflammation that was independent of both TLRs and mast cells, but highly dependent on LTB4.51 Taken together with the present data, these findings suggest the existence of multiple distinct pathways whereby local tissue eosinophilia can be induced. It is likely that distinct pharmacological approaches may be required to modulate these processes therapeutically. The importance of TLR2 activation as a contributing factor to eosinophilic disease independent of mast cell activation requires further study.
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