Engraftment of Cells from Porcine Islets of Langerhans and Normalization of Glucose Tolerance Following Transplantation of Pig Pancreatic Primordia in Nonimmune-Suppressed Diabetic Rats
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Transplantation therapy for human diabetes is limited by the toxicity of immunosuppressive drugs. However, even if toxicity can be minimized, there will still be a shortage of human donor organs. Xenotransplantation of porcine islets may be a strategy to overcome these supply problems. Xenotransplantation in mesentery of pig pancreatic primordia obtained very early during organogenesis [embryonic day 28 (E28)] can obviate the need for immune suppression in rats or rhesus macaques. Here, in rats transplanted previously with E28 pig pancreatic primordia in the mesentery, we show normalization of glucose tolerance in nonimmune-suppressed streptozotocin-diabetic LEW rats and insulin and porcine proinsulin mRNA-expressing cell engraftment in the kidney following implantation of porcine islets beneath the renal capsule. Donor cell engraftment was confirmed using fluorescent in situ hybridization for the porcine X chromosome and electron microscopy. In contrast, cells from islets did not engraft in the kidney without prior transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia in the mesentery. This is the first report of prolonged engraftment and sustained normalization of glucose tolerance following transplantation of porcine islets in nonimmune-suppressed, immune-competent rodents. The data are consistent with tolerance induction to a cell component of porcine islets induced by previous transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia. (Am J Pathol 2010, 177:854–864; DOI: 10.2353/ajpath.2010.091193)

In that pigs are plentiful and because porcine insulin works well in humans, the pig has been suggested to be a pancreas organ donor for humans with diabetes. The severity of humoral rejection due to pre-existing natural antibodies effectively precludes their use as whole pancreas donors in nonhuman primates or humans.1–4 However, isolated islets of Langerhans (islets) can be transplanted into nonhuman primates5–8 or humans with diabetes1 without initiating humoral rejection. Unfortunately, recent experience with pig to primate islet2 or neonatal islet3 transplantation shows that sustained insulin independence can be achieved, but only through the use of immune suppressive agents that are not approved for human use or would result in an unacceptable level of morbidity in humans.2,3

We have shown that glucose tolerance can be normalized in streptozotocin (STZ)-diabetic (type 1) LEW rats or ZDF (type 2) diabetic rats within 4 weeks following transplantation in mesentery of pig pancreatic primordia obtained very early during embryogenesis [on embryonic day 28 (E28)—just after the organ differentiates and before the time dorsal and ventral anlagen fuse] without host immune suppression.5–8 We have shown that glucose tolerance can be normalized in streptozotocin (STZ)-diabetic (type 1) LEW rats or ZDF (type 2) diabetic rats within 4 weeks following transplantation in mesentery of pig pancreatic primordia obtained very early during embryogenesis [on embryonic day 28 (E28)—just after the organ differentiates and before the time dorsal and ventral anlagen fuse] without host immune suppression.5–8 No rat insulin can be detected in STZ-treated rats. Porcine insulin circulates post-transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia (embryonic pancreas) and levels increase after a glucose load.6,7 Cells expressing insulin and porcine proinsulin mRNA with β cell morphology engraft in host mesentry, mesenteric lymph nodes, liver, and pancreas posttransplantation.5–8 Cells originating from E28 pig pancreatic primordia engraft similarly in nonimmune-suppressed STZ-diabetic rhesus macaques.9

Long-term engraftment of cells originating from E28 pig pancreatic primordia in non-immune suppressed immune competent hosts reflects tolerance to a cellular
component present in the primordia or that differentiates in situ following implantation.5–9 To ascertain whether tolerance might extend to the same or similar cell component present in porcine islets from adult swine (adult islets), we implanted adult porcine islets beneath the renal capsule of rats that previously had been transplanted with E28 pig pancreatic primordia in mesentery but remained glucose intolerant. Intact porcine islets do not engraft following renal subcapsular implantation. However, a population of cells originating from donor islets with β cell morphology that express insulin and porcine proinsulin mRNA engraft in kidneys of rats transplanted previously with E28 pig pancreatic primordia. Glucose tolerance is normalized in these animals. Our observations are consistent with induction of tolerance to a cell component of adult porcine islets by previous transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia in rats.

Materials and Methods

Induction of Diabetes Mellitus and Treatment with Exogenous Insulin

Diabetes mellitus was induced in female LEW rats by a single i.p. injection of 40–50 mg/kg STZ. Rats were considered diabetic if fasting blood glucose levels were 300 mg/dl or above 5 consecutive days after STZ administration, at which time E28 pig pancreatic primordia were implanted. Maintenance of glucose levels <250 mg/dl during the first 2–3 weeks posttransplantation is necessary to permit optimal differentiation, proliferation, and function of transplanted E28 pig pancreatic primordia. Accordingly, rats transplanted with pig pancreatic primordia or islets were treated with 1–2 units sq b.i.d. of Lantus insulin (Sanofi-Aventis, Bridgewater, NJ) for 2–3 weeks after implantation to maintain fasting glucose levels, measured every 3 days, at 200–250 mg/dl.

Isolation and Transplantation of Porcine Islets of Langerhans

Porcine islets of Langerhans were isolated from female Yorkshire pigs exactly as previously described by one of us (T.M.).10 Five thousand islets equivalents (islets), suspended in medium 199 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) were implanted beneath the renal capsule of STZ-diabetic rats using the technique we described for transplantation beneath the renal capsule of kidney primordia. Unless otherwise noted, rats had been transplanted in mesentery with E28 pig pancreatic primordia 8 weeks previously. In preliminary experiments using STZ-diabetic LEW rats, the fasting blood glucose levels and glucose tolerance of which had been normalized within 4 weeks of transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia,5,6 subsequent implantation of islets in kidney resulted in severe hypoglycemia and death. Accordingly, for islet implantation studies reported here, we used rats, glucose levels in which were reduced by transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia but not to levels in nondiabetic animals. Approximately one in three transplanted rats will manifest such a partial response.9 Glucose tolerance can be normalized in such animals by retransplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia.9 However, levels of fasting blood glucose do not normalize over time if no further transplantation is performed.

Glucose, Insulin, and Rat C Peptide Measurements and Statistics

Levels of glucose were measured using the Hemocue B-glucose Analyzer (Hemocue, Lake Forest, CA) in whole blood obtained (via tail vein) at 8 AM after an overnight fast. Insulin in serum was measured using one of two enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs): 1) A porcine insulin-specific ELISA (catalog number K6219; DakoCytomation, Carpinteria CA; sensitivity 0.02 ng/ml) that does not detect rat insulin was used to generate data shown in Figure 1, D and F. Insulin measured using this ELISA is designated porcine insulin; or 2) an ultrasensitive ELISA (Mercodia, Winston-Salem, NC; NVC 10-1137-01, sensitivity 0.01 ng/ml) that detects rat insulin, but cross-reacts with porcine insulin was used to generate data from rats into which no pig tissue is present shown in Figure 1B. Insulin measured using this ELISA is designated rat insulin. Levels of C-peptide were measured using an ELISA specific for rat (number 10–1172-01; Mercodia). Intravenous glucose tolerance testing12 was performed by infusion of glucose (0.1g/kg body weight) via rapid injection into one tail vein and collecting blood samples from the other. Multiple Comparisons were performed using the Bonferroni multiple comparisons test (GraphPad Instat 3; GraphPad, San Diego CA). Differences were considered significant if P < 0.05 by two-tailed analysis.
Histology

Tissues removed from hosts were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin. The fixative was removed, and tissues embedded in paraffin, sliced into 5 μm sections and placed on glass slides in preparation for staining. Polyclonal rabbit anti-insulin serum (Accurate Chemicals, Westbury, NY) was used to detect insulin in tissue sections. Nonimmune rabbit serum was substituted for the primary antibody as control stains.

Detection of Insulin Transcripts Using in Situ Hybridization

In situ hybridization was performed on sliced 5-μm paraffin-embedded sliced tissue sections using a kit from GeneDetection (Bradenton, FL) exactly as before. Digoxin-labeled antisense probes (GeneDetection), which detect porcine insulin, but not rat transcripts, are complementary to nucleotides 230-264 of porcine preproinsulin cDNA: 5’-GGCGGAGAACCTCAGGCAGGTGCCGTGGAGCTG-3’ (GenBank accession number AY044828). A sense probe was used for control stains.

Fluorescence in Situ Hybridization

Fluorescence in situ hybridization in paraffin-embedded tissue sections was performed as per instructions provided by Cambio (Cambridge, U.K.). Three- to 6-μm tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene; rehydrated through graded alcohols (95; 80; 60; and 35%) to water; incubated with sodium thiocyanate solution (16 g dissolved in 200 ml of water) for 10 minutes at 80°C; washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 minutes at 37°C; incubated in a pepsin solution (0.8 g of pepsin in 200 ml of 0.1 M HCl) for 10 minutes at 37°C; quenched in a glycine solution [0.4 g of glycine in 200 ml of double concentration PBS (2 mg/ml)]; washed in PBS; postfixed in a paraformaldehyde solution (8 g of paraformaldehyde in 200 ml of PBS at 80°C, cooled to room temperature before use) for 2 minutes; washed in PBS X3; dehydrated through graded alcohols; and air-dried. Prediluted Cambio X-paint mix (CA-1865-XF) was removed from the freezer and warmed to 37°C before 10–15 μl being applied to the center of the slide that was subsequently covered with a glass coverslip and sealed with rubber cement. The sealed slide was denatured at 80°C for 10 minutes and hybridized overnight in a humid chamber. The next day, coverslips were removed and the slide was washed in a formamide wash solution [50 ml deionized formamide mixed with 50 ml of 2× standard saline citrate (SSC)] 37°C for 3 changes of 5 minutes each; washed with a stringency wash solution (2× standard saline citrate) at 37°C for 3 changes over 15 minutes; washed with a detergent wash solution (0.1 ml of 10% Tween 20 to 200 ml of 4× standard saline citrate) at 37°C for 10 minutes; washed with PBS three times; mounted in 4’,6’-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole Mountant (catalog number 1124-MT-50/1250) and visualized using an Olympus BX61 epifluorescence microscope with software that enables generation of composite images using multichannel monochrome captures.

Electron Microscopy

Transmission electron microscopy was performed by one of us (H.L.) as before using paraffin embedded tissue subsequently deparaffinized in xylene and postfixed in osmium.

Institutional Review Board Approval

Animal studies were approved by the Washington University Animal Studies Committee (approval 20070288).

Results

Rats were rendered diabetic using STZ. Five days later a group into which porcine islets would be implanted 8 weeks later without prior transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia (Diab-Islets) underwent sham surgery (n = 4). Another group was transplanted with E28 pig pancreatic primordia (n = 10) and subdivided into three subgroups. Eight weeks after transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia: rats in one subgroup (Diab-E28) were transplanted with E28 pig pancreatic primordia a second time (n = 3); rats in a second subgroup (Diab-E28) received no further transplants (n = 3); rats in a third subgroup (Diab-E28-Islets) were implanted with porcine islets (n = 4). At 5 days after STZ administration...
and before any transplantation (before transplantation), fasting glucose levels in rats that were to be divided into each group averaged >300 mg/dl and were not different, one from the other (Table 1). At 8 and 12 weeks posttransplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia in the Diab-E28 group, fasting glucose levels were significantly lower than before transplantation but did not differ from one another. In contrast, fasting glucose levels in the Diab-E28-E28 group measured at 12 weeks (124 ± 1 mg/dl) were significantly lower than levels measured at 8 weeks in the Diab-E28 group and did not differ significantly from levels in a group of four nondiabetic rats (114 ± 9 mg/dl). At 8 weeks posttransplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia in the Diab-E28-Islets group, fasting glucose levels were elevated relative to levels in the nondiabetic rats. However, levels of glucose (94 ± 8 mg/dl) measured at 12 weeks posttransplantation in the Diab-E28-Islets group (4 weeks after islet implantation) were not different from levels measured in the normal rats. Glucose levels at 8 in or 12 weeks from rats in the Diab-Islets group were not different from those measured before transplantation (Table 1).

Thus, as reported previously, retransplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia normalizes glucose levels in rats not normalized by the first transplantation (Diab-E28-E28). However, implantation of islets also normalizes glucose levels in these rats (Diab-E28-Islets). In contrast, implantation of islets in sham-operated rats does not impact on levels of fasting glucose measured 4 weeks subsequently (Diab-Islets).

Shown in Figure 1, A, C, and E, are levels of glucose and shown in Figure 1, B, D, and F, are levels of venous insulin measured using the ELISA specific for porcine insulin (Figure 1, D and F) or the ELISA that detects both rat and pig insulin (Figure 1B) each measured following administration of an i.v. glucose load to nondiabetic rats (Figure 1, A and B) or STZ-diabetic sham-operated rats 4 weeks after islet implantation (Diab-Islets) (Figure 1, C and D) or STZ-diabetic rats that had been transplanted with pig pancreatic primordia in mesentery and subsequently (4 weeks before i.v. glucose infusion) implanted with porcine islets in kidney (Diab-E28P-Islets) (Figure 1, E and F). Fasting glucose levels in nondiabetic rats (114 ± 9 mg/dl) and rats in the Diab-E28-Islets group (94 ± 8 mg/dl) were lower than those in the Diab-Islets group (306 ± 32 mg/dl) but did not differ from one another at time 0. Levels in rats from the nondiabetic and Diab-E28-Islets groups increased following i.v. glucose infusion, but 60 minutes later were not different from levels measured at time 0. Glucose levels did not change significantly following glucose infusion in rats from the Diab-Islets group. No porcine insulin (<0.02 ng/ml) was detected at any time in rats from the Diabetic-Islets group (Figure 1D). Rat insulin (measured using ELISA NVC 10-1137-01 in rats in which no pig tissue is present) (Figure 1B) or porcine insulin (Figure 1F), respectively, increased following the glucose infusion in nondiabetic rats and rats in the Diab-E28-Islets group. Levels of circulating porcine insulin in the Diab-E28-Islets group (Figure 1F) are lower than corresponding levels of rat insulin in nondiabetic animals (Figure 1B).

To our knowledge, recovery of native insulin secretion sufficient to normalize glucose tolerance has never been reported following administration of STZ to adult rats at doses comparable to those used in our studies which is why such animals are appropriately used to evaluate the efficacy of transplantation procedures. However, to provide additional evidence that the normalization of glucose tolerance shown in Figure 1E did not result from recovery of endogenous insulin production of rats in the Diab-E28-Islets group, we measured levels of rat C-peptide and compared them to levels in nondiabetic rats or a group of four diabetic rats (diabetic). Levels of rat C-peptide in diabetic rats or rats in the Diab-E28-Islets group did not differ one from the other, were comparable to levels measured by others in STZ-diabetic rats, and were significantly lower than levels measured in Nondiabetic animals (Table 2). These findings are consistent with the absence of recovery of native insulin production in rats from the Diab-E28-Islets group.

Shown in Figure 2, A–D, are tissue sections of mesenteric lymph nodes from a STZ-diabetic rat into which E28 pig pancreatic primordia had been transplanted in mesentery 4 weeks previously (Diab-E28) stained using anti-insulin antibody (Figure 2, A and C), or control antiserum (Figure 2, B and D). Sections 2A and 2B are consecutive. The arrows highlight areas of in Figure 2A that are red-brown (contain insulin-positive cells) relative to comparable areas in Figure 2B. As before, in LEW rats or rhesus macaques transplanted with pig pancreatic primordia in mesentery, the insulin positive cells are located predominantly outside of germinal centers in medullary sinus (Figure 2A, arrows). Individual insulin-positive cells cannot be delineated in Figure 2A. However, individual insulin positive cells are evident in Figure 2C that do not stain in sections stained using control antiserum (Figure 2D).

### Table 1. Levels of Fasting Glucose (mg/dl) in Groups of Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diab-E28-E28</th>
<th>Diab-E28</th>
<th>Diab-E28-Islets</th>
<th>Diab-Islets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before transplanted</td>
<td>384 ± 26 (n = 6)</td>
<td>359 ± 11 (n = 4)</td>
<td>378 ± 5.0 (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Weeks</td>
<td>232 ± 7 (n = 6)</td>
<td>213 ± 34 (n = 4)</td>
<td>309 ± 38 (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Weeks</td>
<td>124 ± 1 (n = 3)</td>
<td>244 ± 19 (n = 3)</td>
<td>94 ± 8 (n = 4)</td>
<td>306 ± 31 (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SE of each group averaged.

### Table 2. Levels of Fasting Rat C Peptide (pmol/L) in Groups of Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nondiabetic</th>
<th>Diabetic</th>
<th>Diab-E28-Islets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>580 ± 148</td>
<td>150 ± 53</td>
<td>154 ± 42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SE of n = 4 rats in each group.
2D). Shown in Figure 2, E and F, are sections of mesenteric lymph nodes and in Figure 2, G and H, are sections of pancreas from a nondiabetic nontransplanted rat included as negative controls for lymph nodes from a transplanted rat and positive controls for insulin staining respectively. There is no insulin-positive tissue in lymph node tissue from the nondiabetic nontransplanted rat incubated with the anti-insulin antibody (Figure 2E). As would be expected, the anti-insulin antibody stains cells in an islet of Langerhans (Figure 2G). Islet tissue does not stain if control antiserum is substituted (arrowhead; Figure 2H).

Figure 3 illustrates sections of mesenteric lymph node from a formerly diabetic rat into which E28 pig pancreatic primordia and pig islets had been transplanted in the mesentery and kidney respectively stained using anti-insulin antibody (A and C) or control antibody (B and D) and sections hybridized to antisense (E) or sense (F) porcine proinsulin mRNA probes. Arrows delineate tissue that stains positive for insulin (red-brown) (A and C) or negative staining tissue (B). Arrowheads delineate islet of Langerhans (G and H). Scale bars: 80 μm (A and B), 30 μm (C–F), 100 μm (G and H).

Figure 4 is a photograph of a kidney from a STZ-treated rat that had been transplanted with embryonic pig pancreas in mesentery, taken 4 weeks after implantation of islets in kidney. As previously described by others in rat kidney following islet isotransplantation15 a distinct, whitish well-demarcated graft, which can be easily distinguished from the surrounding renal parenchyma is observed (white arrow) along with large intracapsular venous blood vessels that radiate from the graft out into the renal parenchyma (black arrow).

Figure 5 shows sections from a kidney from a STZ-diabetic rat transplanted previously with embryonic pig pancreas in mesentery and subsequently with pig islets in kidney obtained 4 weeks after transplantation of islets. Sections are stained using anti-insulin antibodies (Figure 5, A and C) or control serum (Figure 5, B and D). As for Figure 2A, individual insulin-positive cells cannot be delineated in Figure 3A. However, individual insulin positive cells are evident in Figure 3C. Also shown in Figure 3 are sections incubated with antisense (Figure 3E) or sense (Figure 3F) porcine proinsulin mRNA probes. As before,7,8 hybridization occurs in cells incubated with the antisense but not with the sense probe.
heads). Figure 5C shows a higher magnification of the subcapsular space. The cells that stain positive for insulin (red-brown stain) are polygonal with round nuclei and abundant cytoplasm (arrow), a β cell morphology. Also shown in Figure 5 are sections incubated with antisense (Figure 5E) or sense (Figure 5F) porcine proinsulin mRNA probes. Hybridization occurs with cells hybridized to the former (arrows) but not the latter probe.

The contralateral (nontransplanted) kidney from a STZ-diabetic rat transplanted previously with embryonic pig pancreas in mesentery and subsequently with pig islets in the ipsilateral kidney is shown in Figure 6, A–D. Sections obtained 4 weeks after islet transplantation are stained using anti-insulin antibodies (Figure 6, A and C) or control serum (Figure 6, B and D). A low magnification view of the contralateral kidney shows normal renal morphology with no evidence of engrafted tissue (Figure 6, A and B). As in Figure 5, PT in Figure 6A are positive (red brown) relative to comparable structures in Figure 6B. Immunoreactive insulin (non-reabsorbed) is evident in the medulla shown in Figure 6A relative to Figure 6B. In contrast to what is observed in the transplanted kidney (Figure 5, A and B), there is no expansion of the subcapsular space in the contralateral kidney (Figure 6, A and C, arrowheads) and no cells are present with β cell morphology as shown in Figure 5, C. Figure 6, E and F, shows the subcapsular space of a kidney from a rat implanted with porcine islets 4 weeks previously with no prior transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia (Diab-Islets). Sections are stained using anti-insulin antibodies (Figure 6E) or control serum (Figure 6F). Insulin staining of PT is evident in Figure 6E. The subcapsular space (Figure 6E, arrowheads) is expanded relative to that depicted in Figure 6, A and C. However, there are no cells with β cell morphology that stain for insulin (Figure 6E).

To provide additional evidence that pig cells are present in kidneys and mesenteric lymph nodes of rats transplanted with pig pancreatic primordia and subsequently with porcine islets, we performed fluorescent in situ hybridization using a probe specific for the pig X chromosome. Shown in Figure 7A (arrows) are pig X chromosomes in nuclei of cells from a normal porcine pancreas (positive control). Figures 7, B and C (arrows), show, respectively, in tissue obtained 4 weeks after islet transplantation: pig X chromosomes in the nuclei of cells in the subcapsular space of the kidney (between a tubule (T) and the renal capsule membrane (RC)); and pig X chromosomes in the nuclei of cells outside of a germinal center (GC) of a mesenteric lymph node. There are no cells containing pig X chromosomes in renal cortex from the transplanted kidney (Figure 7D), consistent with the subcapsular localization of the insulin positive and porcine proinsulin mRNA containing cells (Figure 5) and with species specificity of the pig X chromosome probe (host rats are females).

We have shown previously using electron microscopy that cells with β cell morphology containing granules, some of which have a crystalline core surrounded by a
clear space, are present in mesentery of rats following transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia. To ascertain whether similar cells are present in kidneys of rats transplanted with E28 pig pancreatic primordia and subsequently with porcine islets, we performed electron microscopy on tissue obtained 4 weeks after islet transplantation. Shown in Figure 8A (arrow) is a cell in the renal subcapsular space with \( \beta \) cell morphology [containing granules (250–400 nm)] which have a crystalline core surrounded by a clear space. A renal tubule (\( T \)) is labeled. A mononuclear cell infiltrate in the renal subcapsular space consists predominantly of macrophages. Two are labeled (arrowheads). Figure 8B is a high-power view of two others. Figure 8C shows a high-power view of the granules with a crystalline core surrounded by a clear space. Scale bar: 5 \( \mu m \) (A).

Figure 8. Electron micrographs of rat kidney following sequential transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia in mesentery and implantation of porcine islets in kidney. A, Subcapsular space. T, renal tubule. Cell containing granules with a crystalline core surrounded by a clear space is delineated by an arrow; macrophages are delineated by arrowheads. B, Enlargement of macrophages. C, Enlargement of granules with a crystalline core surrounded by a clear space. Scale bar: 5 \( \mu m \) (A).

Figure 6. Photomicrographs of the contralateral kidney from a diabetic rat into which embryonic pig pancreas had been transplanted in the mesentery and pig islets had been implanted subsequently in the other kidney (A–D) or of a kidney from a diabetic rat in which pig islets had been implanted without prior transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia (E and F) stained using anti-insulin antibody (A, C, and E) or control antiserum (B, D, and F). Arrowheads delineate a normal sized subcapsular space (A, C) or expanded subcapsular space (E). PT, A, B, E, and F. M: medulla (A and B). Scale bars: 100 \( \mu m \) (A and B), 10 \( \mu m \) (C and D), or 40 \( \mu m \) (E and F).

Figure 9. Shows levels of fasting glucose measured over time in STZ diabetic rats transplanted with E28 pig pancreatic primordia (E28) after measurements on week 1 and implanted with porcine islets (islets) after measurements on week 8 (Diab-E28-Islets group). Glucose levels were reduced to normal on week 12 (4 weeks following transplantation of islets) and remained so for 16 weeks thereafter (week 28).

Figure 10 shows sections from a kidney of one of the rats from the Diab-E28-Islets group euthanized on week 28 (20 weeks following islet transplantation). Sections are stained using anti-insulin antibodies (Figure 10A) or control serum (Figure 10B). Cells that stain for insulin (Figure 10A, arrows) but not with control serum (Figure 10B, arrows) are present in an expanded subcapsular space. The cells that stain positive for insulin (red-brown stain)
are polygonal with round nuclei and abundant cytoplasm (arrow), a β cell morphology.5–8

**Discussion**

STZ is a glucose-conjugated nitrosourea which is believed to gain access to β cells by the mechanisms that sense the blood glucose level.17 It is used widely to induce diabetes in animals5,6,8,9,12–14,17–24 and is particularly appropriate for islet transplantation because insulin is supplied only from the graft islet cells.13 STZ produces a rapid cell necrosis. If given in sufficiently large amounts to a rat (40–100 mg/kg),24 a single dose will destroy most of the β cells within the pancreas and induce a permanent severe diabetes. A lower dose will kill fewer cells and provoke a less severe diabetes.17 The diabetogenic action of the same dose of STZ varies from animal to animal. However, neither severe STZ-induced diabetes nor the less severe form is reversible in the rat.13,17,18 Previously25 we have performed immunohistochemistry for insulin in pancreas from LEW rats treated with STZ at doses used in the present study, glucose levels in which had been normalized by transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia. We compared pancreas histology in STZ-treated rats to age-matched nondiabetic LEW animals. As is characteristic for STZ diabetes in the rat,18 we demonstrated a depression in the number and volume of β cells within islets in from animals that received STZ and a substantial reduction in islet volume relative to the age-matched nondiabetic LEW rats, consistent with the marked reduction, but not elimination of pancreatic insulin in rats after STZ administration.24

Transplantation of embryonic pancreas to replace the function of diseased organs offers theoretical advantages relative to transplantation of either pluripotent ES cells or of fully differentiated (adult) pancreas or islets.5–9,19,22,26–29 i) Unlike ES cells, pancreatic primordia differentiate along defined organ-committed lines. There is no requirement to steer differentiation and no risk of teratoma formation.26 In the case of embryonic pancreas, the glucose sensing and insulin releasing functions of β cells that differentiate from primordia are functionally linked.5–9,12 ii) The growth potential of cells within embryonic pancreas is enhanced relative to those in terminally-differentiated pancreas or islets.5–9,12,22,26–28 iii) The cellular immune response to transplanted primordia obtained early during embryogenesis is attenuated5–9,22,26–28 relative to that directed against adult islets.2,4,20,21,23,30–34. iv) Early organ primordia are avascular. The ability of cellular primordia to attract a host vasculature renders them less susceptible to humoral rejection than is adult pancreas with donor blood vessels transplanted across a discordant xenogeneic barrier.22,26–28 v) Organ primordia differentiate selectively. In the case of E28 embryonic pig pancreas, exocrine pancreatic tissue does not differentiate following transplantation, obviating complications that can result from exocrine components such as the enzymatic autodigestion of host tissues.5–9,12

While glucose tolerance can be normalized in nonimmune-suppressed diabetic rats by transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia, it has proven to be more difficult to normalize glucose tolerance in nonimmune-suppressed rhesus macaques.9 Exogenous insulin requirements are reduced in transplanted macaques. However, glucose tolerance in the macaques remains abnormal even after multiple transplantation surgeries.3,9 The most likely explanation is that the macaques we used for experiments weigh ~20 times as much as the rats.5–9 A STZ-diabetic rat can be rendered normoglycemic by transplantation of five pig pancreatic primordia.7,8 Extrapolating, it would take 100 primordia to normalize glucose tolerance in a rhesus macaque. This would require the sacrifice of about seven pregnant sows and would require a good deal of surgical time with the attendant complications. In lieu of increasing the number of transplanted primordia to normalize glucose tolerance in diabetic rhesus macaques, we embarked on a series of experiments to determine whether porcine islets, a more easily obtainable and possibly more robust source of insulin-producing cells, could be substituted in animals rendered tolerant to E28 pig pancreatic primordia. Our first step, reported here, was to determine using rats, whether previous transplantation of the primordia renders hosts tolerant to islets.

To our knowledge, ours is the first report describing sustained normalization of glucose tolerance following transplantation of porcine islets in nonimmune sup-

---

**Figure 9.** Levels of fasting glucose measured over time in STZ diabetic rats transplanted with E28 pig pancreatic primordia (E28) after measurements on week 1 and implanted with porcine islets (islets) after measurements on week 8. Data are mean ± SE of n = 4 rats (weeks 1–12) or n = 2 rats (weeks 16–28).

**Figure 10.** Photomicrographs of kidney from a diabetic rat into which embryonic pig pancreas had been transplanted in mesentery and pig islets had been transplanted subsequently in kidney stained using anti-insulin antibody (A) or control antibody (B). Tissue was obtained 20 weeks after islet transplantation. Arrows delineate cells in the subcapsular space with β cell morphology. RC, renal capsule; PT, A and B. Scale bar: 10 μm (A).
pressed, immune competent rodents. Levels of fasting glucose in the STZ-diabetic rats are normalized following transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia followed by implantation of islets (Diab-E28-Islets) (Table 1). Normalization of glucose tolerance in the Diab-E28-Islets group (Figure 1E) cannot be attributed to the first transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia alone, since rats in the Diab-E28 group (without a second transplant) remain hyperglycemic at 12 weeks (Table 1). Lanza et al transplanted 10,000–20,000 adult porcine islets equivalents in the peritoneum of three nonimmune-suppressed STZ-diabetic LEW rats included as a control group for macroencapsulated islets.\(^1\)\(^2\) Fasting glucose levels fell from 600 to 300 mg/dl 1 day after transplantation, but returned to baseline (elevated) levels within 1 week. Wennberg et al\(^2\) transplanted adult porcine islets (20,000–30,000 islets equivalents) beneath the kidney capsule of nonimmune-suppressed STZ-diabetic LEW rats included in a control group. Normoglycemia was sustained for 5.5 \(\pm\) 0.3 days following which blood glucose levels returned to diabetic levels.\(^2\) Wu et al\(^2\) transplanted 4000–5000 islets equivalents beneath the renal capsule of diabetic C57BL/6 mice. Levels of blood glucose fell transiently, but returned to diabetic levels within a week.

Here we document using immune histochemistry for insulin (Figures 5 and 10), in situ hybridization for porcine proinsulin mRNA (Figure 5), and fluorescent in situ hybridization for the pig X chromosome (Figure 7) that pig cells engraft in kidney following transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia in mesentery and subsequent implantation of porcine islets in kidney. Pig cells do not engraft in host kidney following implantation of islets without prior transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia (Figure 6, E and F) or following transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia without subsequent implantation of islets\(^6\)–\(^8\) or in the contralateral kidney (Figure 6, A–D). Thus, the cells illustrated in Figures 5, 7, and 10 are almost certainly derived from the porcine islets and not from the embryonic pancreas transplants. To our knowledge, ours is the first report describing sustained survival of \(\beta\) cells following transplantation of porcine islets beneath the renal capsule of nonimmune suppressed immune sufficient rodents. In previous studies that did not employ transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia before implantation of islets or islet cell clusters\(^2\)\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^0\) complete destruction of grafts was evident within 2 weeks. Preimmunization of rats by subcutaneous injection of porcine islet cell clusters accelerates the rejection of islet clusters transplanted subsequently beneath the renal capsule.\(^3\)\(^0\) In contrast, prior transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia in mesentery enables survival of an insulin-expressing cell component of porcine islets implanted subsequently in rat kidneys (Figures 5 and 10).

Levels of circulating porcine insulin following i.v. glucose infusion in rats from the Diab-E28-Islets group (Figure 1F) are lower than levels of circulating rat insulin following IV glucose infusion in nondiabetic animals (Figure 1B). This could reflect an increased potency of porcine insulin in rats relative to rat insulin. Alternatively, it could reflect ectopic insulin secretion in response to i.v. glucose in rats with E28 pig pancreatic primordia transplanted in mesentery and porcine islets implanted in kidney comparable to ectopic insulin islets following islet transplantation in humans. Intravenous glucose tolerance can be normalized in immune suppressed humans following islet allotransplantation. However, insulin secretion after glucose infusion (area under the curve) is severalfold lower than in nondiabetic humans.\(^3\)\(^5\) Ryan et al\(^3\)\(^5\) attribute this to inherent denervation of transplanted islets and the absence of specialized native islet vasculature. Similar differences between enervation and vascularization in transplants and native islets could explain differences between insulin release shown in Figure 1, B and F, in the setting of comparable glucose tolerance (Figure 1, A and E).

Korsgren\(^3\)\(^4\) has proposed that rejection of an islet cell xenograft is dependent on two different cellular mechanisms. The first is recognition of pig MHC molecules by cytotoxic lymphocytes via both direct and indirect pathways of antigen recognition, as occurs following rejection of an allograft. The second is an immune response characterized by T cell dependent infiltration of macrophages with histopathological characteristics of delayed-type hypersensitivity. In nonimmune-suppressed rodents transplanted with porcine islets, the second mechanism predominates. In nonimmune-suppressed nonhuman primates, rejection is dominated by the first. However, the first process is inhibited in immune suppressed nonhuman primates and the second, less sensitive to immune suppression, is revealed.\(^3\)\(^4\)

The presence of macrophages beneath the renal capsule of rats transplanted with porcine islets subsequent to E28 pig pancreatic primordia (Figure 8) may reflect the second cellular mechanism described by Korsgren\(^3\)\(^4\) in the context of tolerance to a cell component of porcine islets to which rats have been rendered tolerant by prior transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia. Alternatively, because porcine islets are rejected by immune competent rats without prior transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia (Figure 6, E and F), the macrophages may represent a population of M2 phenotype partially responsible for the tolerance we observe.\(^3\)\(^6\)

Schroeder et al\(^3\)\(^7\) define transplantation tolerance as immune unresponsiveness to the transplanted organ, but not to other antigens, in the absence of ongoing immunosuppression. LEW rats transplanted with E28 pig pancreatic primordia retain reactivity to other porcine xenoantigens (E28 pig renal primordia are rejected)\(^3\)\(^7\). Thus, our findings are consistent with induction of specific tolerance\(^3\)\(^7\) to a cell component of adult porcine islets implanted in LEW rats (cells that express insulin) by previous transplantation of E28 pig pancreatic primordia. Given similarities in the host immune responses in rodents and nonhuman primates to porcine xenografts,\(^3\)\(^0\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^2\) studies are warranted using the latter transplanted with E28 pig pancreatic primordia as hosts for porcine islets.

Though not observed following xenotransplantation under all conditions,\(^2\)\(^8\) host tolerance to early stage pancreatic progenitors has been reported twice previously. Eloy et al\(^1\)\(^9\) described normalization of glucose postransplantation of E15 but not E18 embryonic chick pancreas into nonimmune suppressed STZ-diabetic immune
competent rats. Abraham et al described successful xenotransplantation in multiple organs of human pancreatic islet-derived progenitor cells infused in nonimmunosuppressed immune-competent mice. Neither the present studies nor prior work defines a mechanism for the tolerance. However, it is possible that transplantation of embryonic pancreas obtained early during differentiation is particularly suited to its induction.

Type 1 diabetes is an autoimmune disease and autoimmunity persists even after complete β cell destruction. Owing to the primed immune system and persistent autoimmunity that exists in type 1 diabetes, there might still be a need for immune suppressing drugs to permit engraftment of E2B pig pancreatic primordia and porcine islets following transplantation in type 1 diabetics.
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