Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) is a neurotropic DNA virus that is responsible for several clinical manifestations in humans, including encephalitis. HSV-1 triggers toll-like receptors (TLRs), which elicit cytokine production. Viral multiplication and cytokine expression in C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) mice infected with HSV-1 were evaluated. Virus was found in the trigeminal ganglia (TG), but not in the brains of animals without signs of encephalitis, between 2 and 6 days postinfection (d.p.i.). Cytokine expression in the TG peaked at 5 d.p.i.

TLR9+/− and TLR2/9−/− mice were more susceptible to the virus, with 60% and 100% mortality, respectively, as opposed to 10% in the WT and TLR2+/− mice. Increased levels of both CXCL10/IP-10 and CCL2/MCP-1, as well as reduced levels of interferon-γ and interleukin 1-β transcripts, measured in both the TG and brains at 5 d.p.i., and the presence of virus in the brain were correlated with total mortality in TLR2/9−/− mice. Cytokine alterations in TLR2/9−/− mice coincided with histopathological changes in their brains, which did not occur in WT and TLR2+/− mice and occurred only slightly in TLR9−/− mouse brain. Increased cellularity, macrophages, CD8 T cells producing interferon-γ, and expression levels of TLR2 and TLR9 were detected in the TG of WT-infected mice. We hypothesize that HSV-1 infection is controlled by TLR-dependent immune responses in the TG, which prevent HSV-1 encephalitis. (Am J Pathol 2010, 177:2433–2445; DOI: 10.2353/ajpath.2010.100121)

Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) infections are widespread, and seropositivity may exceed 70% of the world population. The virus is transmitted primarily by contact between skin or mucosa with contaminated oral secretions. Primary infections are usually acquired during childhood and often present as mild self-limiting pharyngitis or are asymptomatic. After HSV-1 replicates in the skin and mucosa, it reaches the dorsal root ganglia termini, from which it is intraxonally transported to the trigeminal ganglia (TG), where it becomes latent. HSV-1 reactivation may be stimulated by hormonal alterations, UV exposure, and immunosuppression, but the mechanisms that underlie reactivation are not well understood. The virus causes a wide range of manifestations, from the most common herpes labialis to herpes keratitis, which is a major cause of blindness in developed countries. HSV-1 is also the leading cause of sporadic encephalitis in immunocompromised as well as in immunocompetent individuals and without early manage-
ment is usually fatal.5 Although drug treatment has improved the outcome of these patients, morbidity remains high, and many individuals suffer from relapses or do not respond well to treatment.6 The mechanisms underlying HSV-1 manifestations, especially encephalitis, have not been well defined but involve the immune system.

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are membrane-bound pattern recognition receptors that recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns in endosomes (TLR3, 7 to 9) and the extracellular space (all remaining TLRs).7,8 There are 10 human (TLR1 to 10) and 12 murine (TLR1 to 9 and TLR11 to 13) TLR family members.7,8 Since the first description of TLRs in mammals, many TLR agonists have been described: peptidoglycans9 and the Trypanosoma cruzi glycosil phosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor for TLR2,10 lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for TLR4,11–14 double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) for TLR3,15 flagellin for TLR5,16 and CpG DNA for TLR9.17 TLRs activate inflammatory responses and modulate immunity by different signal transduction pathways. The most well-characterized pathway involves myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88), an adapter molecule composed of a Toll-interleukin-1 receptor domain and a death domain.18 MyD88 recruits the serine/threonine kinase interleukin (IL) receptor associated kinase-4, which activates tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α receptor-associated factor-6 that, in turn, phosphorylates inhibitor NF kappa B (IκB) and causes it to dissociate from and release nuclear factor κB in the cytoplasm. Nuclear factor κB then translocates to the nucleus and acts as a transcription factor of innate immunity-associated genes.18,19 In addition, TLR3 appears to activate the inflammatory response through another adapter molecule, named Toll-interleukin-1 receptor domain-containing adapter molecule-1 (TRIF), and MyD88.20 This pathway is MyD88 independent and culminates with the translocation of interferon regulatory factor 3 to the nucleus, leading to the production of IFN β and IFN-inducible genes.19

Many studies have examined the participation of innate immunity in HSV-1-related diseases and in the control of infection. In particular, TLRs have been intensively investigated. In 2004, Kurz-Jones et al demonstrated that HSV-1 activated TLR2 in vitro and that TLR2 null (−/−) mice inoculated intraperitoneally with HSV-1 KO showed increased resistance to infection. In the same year, Hochrein et al and Krug et al showed that TLR9 is essential for the dendritic cell response to HSV-1. However, Krug et al could not find differences in viral replication or in susceptibility in TLR9−/− and MyD88−/− mice infected in the footpad or in the corneas with HSV-1. Nevertheless, our group33 demonstrated that TLRs (and/or IL-1β) are essential to control the virus in an intranasal model of HSV-1 infection because 100% of MyD88−/− mice developed lethal encephalitis after viral inoculation. We also showed that 50% of the inoculated IFN-γ knockout (KO) mice died from encephalitis. Moreover, cooperation between TLR2 and TLR9 in HSV control has been demonstrated in HSV-1 infected dendritic cells and, more recently, in an HSV-2 mouse model of vaginal and intraperitoneal infection. TLRs have also been proposed to be important in Herpes simplex encephalitis in humans.26,27

How innate immunity and which TLRs contribute to the control of HSV-1 and related diseases are still unknown. In this study, we investigated how HSV-1 infection is controlled in a murine model of intranasal infection by using the HSV-1 EK strain, which was isolated from a human case of recurrent oral herpes with blisters. Our experiments indicated that in C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) mice, control of virus infection seemed to be highly regulated at the level of the TG. The levels of cytokine transcripts were directly related to the viral load in TG, and once the virus was controlled, the cytokine levels were reduced. Additionally, we found that TLR2 and, more importantly, TLR9 play a role in immune responses and immune control in the TG and mouse brain. Thus, it seems that HSV-1 infection control in the intranasal murine model occurs in the TG and brain, and TLR deficiencies may cause deregulated inflammation in these organs, which consequently allows virus entry into the brain and raises the susceptibility of mice to infection.

Materials and Methods

Virus

HSV-1 strain EK,28 isolated from a human case of recurrent oral herpes with blisters, was multiplied in Vero cells as previously described23 and purified as previously described.29 The virus titers obtained were 3.0 × 109 plaque forming units (PFU)/ml.

Vero Cells

Vero cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were maintained in minimal essential medium supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and antibiotics in 5% CO2 at 37°C. These cells were used for multiplication and titration of the virus.

Human Embryo Kidney Cells

Human embryo kidney (HEK) 293 cells stably transfected with the pcDNA3 plasmid (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing the human TLR2, TLR4,MD.2, or TLR9 sequences or the empty vector, each fused with yellow fluorescent protein, were a kind gift from Dr. Douglas T. Golenbock (Division of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA). Cells were stimulated with HSV-1 (105 PFU/ml or at multiplicities of infection [MOIs] of 2 or 10), LPS (100 ng/ml; from Escherichia coli, 055:B5; Sigma, St. Louis, MO), Malp-2 (10 ng/ml; Alexis Biochemicals), or E. coli (100 units/ml) for 6 or 24 hours. Human IL-8 was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) in the supernatants of HEK293, HEK TLR4, and HEK TLR2 cells 24 hours after stimulation, and the relative increase in luciferase activity was measured in HEK TLR9 cells 6 hours after stimulation.
**Intraperitoneal Macrophages**

Thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages were obtained from either C57BL/6, TLR2−/−, TLR9−/−, or TLR2/9−/− mice by peritoneal washing, activated with murine IFN-γ as previously described, and then stimulated with HSV-1 (MOI of 10) for 24 hours. Murine TNF-α and IL-12 p40 were measured in the supernatants by ELISA (BD).

**Mice**

TLR2−/− and TLR9−/− mice were generated at Osaka University (Osaka, Japan) and were kind gifts from Shizuo Akira, and the TLR2/9−/− mice were obtained by crossing TLR2−/− and TLR9−/− mice at the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD) and were kind gifts from Alan Sher. The mice were backcrossing to the C57BL/6 background for eight generations. The C57BL/6 (wild-type, control) and knockout mice were maintained in a pathogen-free, barrier environment in the Centro de Pesquisas René Rachou, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (CPqRR/FIOCRUZ; Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil). Six- to ten-week-old male mice were anesthetized with ketamine (Agribrands do Brasil Ltda, Brazil), and 10⁶ PFU of purified HSV-1 in 10 µl was inhaled by the mice as described previously. The control mice inhaled PBS. The mouse colonies and all experimental procedures were performed according to the institutional animal care and use guidelines from the CPqRR/FIOCRUZ.

The project was previously approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation (Comitê de Ética em Experimentação Animal (CEEA) from Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) and Comitê de Ética em Utilização de Animais (CEUA) from CPqRR/FIOCRUZ).

**Tissue Culture Infectious Dose Titration**

Frozen mouse tissues were ground with sterile sand and 300 µl (trigeminal ganglia) or 500 µl (brains) of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 1% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. Then the samples were centrifuged at 6700 g for 10 minutes at 4°C, and the supernatants were used for titration in a standard tissue culture infectious dose (TCID₅₀) assay.

**RNA Extraction**

Trigeminal ganglia and brains were aseptically removed and stored at −70°C until processing. RNA extraction was performed by using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s procedures. One microliter of the extracted RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer at wavelengths of 260 and 280 nm.

**Reverse Transcription**

Reverse transcription was performed according to the procedures provided by the manufacturer of the M-MLV RT enzyme (Promega, Madison, WI).

**Real-Time PCR**

Real-time quantitative PCR (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) was performed to measure mRNA expression in the trigeminal ganglia and brains of mice infected with HSV-1. The reactions were performed by using the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in an Applied Biosystems 7000 Sequence Detection System and at 50°C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 10 minutes, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 minutes and 60°C for 1 minute, followed by a final dissociation stage. The following oligonucleotides were used in the reactions: Pyroxtanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (forward: 5′-GTTGGATAACAGCAGCACTTTGTGTTG-3′; reverse: 5′-GATTCAACTGCGCTACTTACAT-3′); IFN-β (forward: 5′-CTGGACAGCTGATGGAAA-3′; reverse: 5′-TGTCGCTGGTGAGATTTGCACT-3′); IP-10 (CXCL10; forward: 5′-CCAGCCTACTTACCGATGATCA-3′; reverse: 5′-GCTCCCTATGGCCCTATTCA-3′); MCP-1 (CCL2; forward: 5′-CTTCTGGGCTCTGCTTCA-3′; reverse: 5′-CAGCCCTACTTACCGATGATCA-3′); MIP-1α (CCL3; forward: 5′-ACTGCGCTGCTGCTTCTATA-3′; reverse: 5′-TTGAGCTCGCGACATGTGAG-3′); IL-1β (forward: 5′-CGAGGCGACCATCAACAGAC-3′; reverse: 5′-TGTCACCTTGGGAAGTGCAAG-3′); α trans-inducing factor (forward: 5′-TTTGACCCCGGAGATCCTAT-3′; reverse: 5′-GTCGCGGrippleatacatgAATTGGA-3′); TLR2 (forward: 5′-TGTCGGCGCAGCCAGATG-3′; reverse: 5′-AGCGGCTGCAACTCCGGA-3′); IL-12 p40 (forward: 5′-TTTGCACTTGGGAAGTGCAAG-3′; reverse: 5′-CTGGCACTTGGGAAGTGCAAG-3′); MCP-1 (CCL2; forward: 5′-CTTCTGGGCTCTGCTTCA-3′; reverse: 5′-CAGCCCTACTTACCGATGATCA-3′); TLR9 (forward: 5′-ACTGCGCTGCTGCTTCTATA-3′; reverse: 5′-TTGAGCTCGCGACATGTGAG-3′); TLR2/9 (forward: 5′-ACTGCGCTGCTGCTTCTATA-3′; reverse: 5′-TTGAGCTCGCGACATGTGAG-3′); TLR2/9 (forward: 5′-ACTGCGCTGCTGCTTCTATA-3′; reverse: 5′-TTGAGCTCGCGACATGTGAG-3′); TLR2/9 (forward: 5′-ACTGCGCTGCTGCTTCTATA-3′; reverse: 5′-TTGAGCTCGCGACATGTGAG-3′). The comparative Ct method with the formula 2−ΔΔCt was used to analyze the data. Gene expression was normalized to the expression of the constitutively expressed gene Pyroxtanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase. All reactions were replicated.

**ELISA Assays**

Supernatants from HEK293 cells (empty vector and TLR2 and TLR4MD.2 transfected cells) were tested for the presence of human IL-8 (BD) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Supernatants from macrophages stimulated with HSV-1 were tested for the presence of murine TNF-α and murine IL-12 p40 (BD) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Mice sera were tested for the presence of murine IL-1β and CXCL10 (IP10) by using ELISA kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and for murine IFN-γ and CCL2 (MCP1) by using the cytometric bead array (CBA) mouse inflammation kit (BD) according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

**Luciferase Activity Measurement**

HEK TLR9 cells were cultured in 96-well plates (2 × 10⁴ cells/well). After incubation for 1 day, cells were transiently transfected (using Genejuice [Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany]) according to manufacturer’s instructions) with a plasmid containing an artificial promoter preceding the firefly luciferase gene with five binding sites for nuclear factor κB. Cells were also co-transfected with a plasmid containing a constitutively expressed Renilla-luciferase reporter gene.
Histopathology and Immunostaining

For trigeminal ganglia immunostaining, samples were frozen in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. compound (Sakura, Finetek, Torrance, CA), and 5-μm slices were cut with a HM505N microtome cryostat (Mikron, Vista, CA). Tissues were stained as previously described with modifications (see Supplemental Figure 1, A–E, at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). Briefly, the tissue sections were incubated with primary antibodies for 2 hours, washed, and incubated with labeled secondary antibody. The sections were counterstained with Hoechst and mounted in Hydromount aqueous medium (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA). The primary antibodies used were CD3 (1:100) and CD8 (1:100; Serotec, Raleigh, NC). The secondary antibody was Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rat IgG (1:500; Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA). The stained sections were observed and photographed on an Olympus BX51 microscope. The data were analyzed using the FlowJo 7.2.5 software (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR) and are presented as the percentage of positive cells within the gated population.

Statistical Analysis

The real-time PCR results were statistically analyzed by using Mann-Whitney nonparametric t-tests. The HEK cells results were analyzed with analysis of variance tests. The macrophage and flow cytometry results were analyzed with unpaired t-tests. The analyses were performed by using the GraphPad Prism 5 software for Windows (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).

Results

Infectious HSV-1 Reaches the TG of C57BL/6 Mice on Day 2 Postinfection and Peaks on Day 5 Postinfection

C57BL/6 WT mice were intranasally infected with 10⁶ PFU of HSV-1. The animals were euthanized over the course of 8 days postinfection (d.p.i.), and the brains and TG were aseptically removed and snap frozen to verify the presence of infectious virus particles. Mouse TG supernatants induced an HSV-1 cytopathic effect in Vero cells from 2 to 6 d.p.i. (Table 1). For a few animals, it was possible to calculate infectious particles in the TG (3 to 5 d.p.i.), with an average of 10⁻⁶⁻⁹ TCID₅₀/ml. The mouse brain supernatants did not present any detectable infectious particles.
Viral, Cytokine, and TLR Transcripts Are Increased in C57BL/6 Mice TG on Day 5 Postinfection

Another group of C57BL/6 WT mice was intranasally infected with 10^6 PFU of HSV-1. This experiment was performed as described above, except that only TGs were analyzed to verify the expression of viral and cytokine transcripts by real-time quantitative PCR. HSV-1 Virion Protein 16 (VP-16; also known as IFN-α trans-inducing factor), a late viral gene expressed only during the replication cycle of the virus, was detected in mouse TG from 2 to 8 d.p.i. (Figure 1A). The level of the VP-16 transcript increased until 5 d.p.i. (when it had a more pronounced expression) and decreased until 8 d.p.i. (Figure 1A). The cytokines/chemokines IFN-γ, CXCL10/IP-10, CCL2/MCP-1, and CCL3/MIP 1 alpha measured in mouse TG showed a similar profile, with increased expression until 5 d.p.i., followed by a decrease until 8 d.p.i., when the levels returned to baseline (Figure 1, B–E). The increase in the transcripts was only statistically significant for the chemokines CXCL10/IP10 (4, 5, and 6 d.p.i.; Figure 1C), CCL2/MCP-1 (5 and 6 d.p.i.; Figure 1D), and CCL3/MIP 1 alpha (5 d.p.i.; Figure 1E). As for IFN-γ, the level of the IFN-β transcript was higher on day 5 postinfection but was not statistically significant (data not shown). TLRs 2 and 9 expression levels were also significantly increased in WT infected mice on day 5 postinfection (Figure 1F). Thus, the presence of infectious virus particles and its replication seemed to correlate with increases in cytokine and TLR expression in the TG of C57BL/6 mice, with a peak of viral and cytokine expression on day 5 postinfection.

### Table 1. Kinetics of HSV-1 Multiplication in C57BL/6 Mouse Organs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d.p.i.</th>
<th>CPE of TGs in Vero cells*</th>
<th>TCID_{50}/ml in TGs†</th>
<th>CPE of brains in Vero cells*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND‡</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+(3/4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+(3/4)</td>
<td>10^{3.5} (1/4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+(3/4)</td>
<td>10^{2.6} (1/4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+(3/4)</td>
<td>10^{2.7} and 10^{2.9} (2/4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+(2/4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cytopathic effect (CPE) detected in Vero cells infected with TG or brain supernatants; n/4 indicates the number of positive mice in a total of four mice per day.
†TCID_{50}/ml, median tissue infective dose.
‡ND, virus was not detected.

#### HSV-1 Activates TLR2 and TLR9 in Transfected HEK293 Cells

HEK293 cells stably transfected with plasmids expressing TLR2, TLR4, or TLR9, or an empty vector were stimulated with HSV-1. Control cells had only basal levels of IL-8 expression for all stimuli tested (Figure 2A). In TLR4-expressing cells (Figure 2B), HSV-1 did not stimulate IL-8 production, indicating that our purified virus was not contaminated with LPS and that the virus does not activate this receptor. Only cells expressing TLR2 (Figure 2C) or TLR9 (Figure 2D) were activated after viral stimulation, as measured by the production of IL-8 in the supernatants or by luciferase activity, respectively. These results indicate that TLR2 and TLR9 recognize HSV-1 and stimulate an immune response against the virus.

![Figure 1. Patterns of viral, cytokine, and chemokine transcript expression and TLR expression in C57BL/6 mouse trigeminal ganglia. C57BL/6 mice were intranasally infected with 10^6 PFU HSV-1, and four pools of trigeminal ganglia of three animals were collected each day from 1 to 8 d.p.i. Uninfected mice (ND) aspirated only PBS. After RNA extraction and reverse transcription, real-time PCR was performed. (A) VP-16 HSV-1 transcript. (B) IFN-γ. (C) CXCL10 (IP-10). (D) CCL2 (MCP-1). (E) CCL3 (MIP 1 alpha). (F) TLR2 and 9 expression levels were measured in WT mice on day 5 postinfection. *P < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed with Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric tests and Dunn’s multiple comparison tests. Bars represent the SEM. The results shown are representative of two experiments that yielded similar results.](image-url)
were intranasally infected with 10^6 PFU of HSV-1. Mice were observed daily for clinical signs of encephalitis (prostration, ruffled fur, hunched posture, and posterior paw paralysis). After the symptoms were observed, mice were euthanized, and their brains were collected and snap frozen to verify the presence of the virus. Most of the mice died on day 6 postinfection, but the TLR2/9^−/− mice began to die earlier than the other groups (Figure 4). C57BL/6 WT and TLR2^−/− inflected mice had low mortality rates of around 10% (Figure 4). TLR9^−/− infected animals had a more pronounced mortality of approximately 60% (Figure 4). The mortality was even higher for TLR2/9^−/− infected mice, with 100% of the mice dying from infection (Figure 4). Brain TCID_{50} titrations demonstrated that all euthanized animals with encephalitis had HSV-1 in their brains (Table 2), and the virus titers were two logs higher in TLR9^−/− and TLR2/9^−/− mice and one log lower in TLR2^−/− mice compared with the titer of WT mice (Table 2). Infectious virus particles were not found in the brains of mice without signs of encephalitis (Table 2).

**TLR2^−/− and TLR2/9^−/− Mice Have Higher Susceptibility to HSV-1 Intranasal Infection**

C57BL/6 WT, TLR2^−/−, TLR9^−/−, and TLR2/9^−/− mouse-derived macrophages were stimulated with HSV-1, and the levels of IL12p40 and TNF α were measured in the cell supernatants. All infected macrophages derived from TLR null mice showed reduced production of cytokines when compared with infected macrophages derived from WT infected mice (Figure 3, A and B). TLR2 and TLR9 seemed to contribute synergistically to the production of IL12p40 in macrophages because the double KO mice had a more pronounced reduction in this cytokine compared with the single KOs (Figure 3A).

**TLR9^−/− and TLR2/9^−/− Mice Have Major Histopathological Changes in the Brain on Day 5 Postinfection**

For all experiments described from this point on, C57BL/6 WT, TLR2^−/−, TLR9^−/−, and TLR2/9^−/− mice were intranasally infected with 10^6 PFU of HSV-1. Because the...
Found exclusively in these animals (Figure 5; Table 3). Activated glial cells associated with perivascular cuffing were characterized by mononuclear cell infiltrates and reactive edema (Figure 5, J–L; Table 3). Focal encephalitis was evident in TLR2/9−/− infected WT mice. A lower level of expression of IL-1β was found in TLR2/9−/−/H11002 mice compared with infected WT mice, except for IFN-γ and IL1β transcripts in TLR2/9−/− mice (Figure 7, A and B) and for IFN-γ in WT mice (Figure 7A). CXCL10/IP-10 (Figure 7C) and CCL2/MCP-1 (Figure 7D) were overexpressed in infected TLR2/9−/− animals compared with the other groups. Unlike the results for TG, IFN-γ transcripts (Figure 7A) were not up-regulated in infected C57BL/6 WT mouse brains compared with infected knockouts; however, the transcript levels in brains of infected TLR2/9−/− mice were not increased compared with the respective control mouse. A lower level of IL-1β (Figure 7B) was observed in TLR2/9−/− mice compared with the other groups of infected animals, but this difference was significant only when compared with infected WT mice. IFN β and CCL3/MIP 1α showed similar patterns of up-regulation in all infected groups (data not shown). To quantitatively detect cytokine production in mice sera, ELISA and CBA were performed. IFN-γ/CXCL10 and IL 1β were not detected in the sera (data not shown), although there were detectable amounts of IFN-γ and MCP-1/CCL2 in infected mice compared with controls (Figure 7, E and F). However, only MCP-1/CCL2 exhibited a significant difference between the groups, which was shown by an increase in production in TLR2/9−/− when compared with WT mice (Figure 7F).

### Cytokine Expression Profile Alterations Are Not Evident in TLR2/9−/− Mouse Brains and Sera on Day 5 Postinfection

Brains of the same animals from the experiment described above were analyzed for cytokine transcripts by real-time quantitative PCR. The cytokine transcripts were significantly up-regulated in brains of almost all groups of infected mice (in different amounts; Figure 7, A–D) compared with infected mice, except for IFN-γ and IL1β transcripts in TLR2/9−/−/H9251 mice (Figure 7A). CXCL10/IP-10 (Figure 7C) and CCL2/MCP-1 (Figure 7D) were observed in infected TLR2/9−/− animals compared with the other groups. Unlike the results for TG, IFN-γ transcripts (Figure 7A) were not up-regulated in infected C57BL/6 WT mouse brains compared with infected knockouts; however, the transcript levels in brains of infected TLR2/9−/− mice were not increased compared with the respective control mice. A lower level of IL-1β (Figure 7B) was observed in TLR2/9−/− mice compared with the other groups of infected animals, but this difference was significant only when compared with infected WT mice. IFN β and CCL3/MIP 1α showed similar patterns of up-regulation in all infected groups (data not shown). To quantitatively detect cytokine production in mice sera, ELISA and CBA were performed. IFN-γ/CXCL10 and IL 1β were not detected in the sera (data not shown), although there were detectable amounts of IFN-γ and MCP-1/CCL2 in infected mice compared with controls (Figure 7, E and F). However, only MCP-1/CCL2 exhibited a significant difference between the groups, which was shown by an increase in production in TLR2/9−/− when compared with WT mice (Figure 7F).

**Table 2. HSV-1 Presence in Mouse Brains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouse group</th>
<th>Mice without signs of encephalitis</th>
<th>Mice with signs of encephalitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Mean brain TCID$_{50}$/ml</td>
<td>% Mean brain TCID$_{50}$/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>92 0</td>
<td>8 10(^7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2−/−</td>
<td>90 0</td>
<td>10 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR9−/−</td>
<td>40 0</td>
<td>60 10(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2/9−/−</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>100 10(^4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The data showed increased cellularity of the ganglia root (double asterisks) and close to neurons (single asterisk), where many small mononuclear cells were detected in infected mice (arrows). Furthermore, flow cytometry showed an increase in macrophages in the TG of WT infected mice compared with noninfected mice (Figure 8A).

Table 3. Histopathological Changes in HSV-1-Infected Mice at 5 d.p.i.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters*</th>
<th>Endothelial reactivity and proliferation</th>
<th>Perivascular cuffing and perivascular cell infiltration</th>
<th>Edema and cell infiltration of leptomeninges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>+ (3/3)</td>
<td>+ (3/3)</td>
<td>+ (3/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2−/−</td>
<td>+ (3/3)</td>
<td>+ (3/3)</td>
<td>+ (3/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR9−/−</td>
<td>++ (3/3)</td>
<td>++ (3/3)</td>
<td>+ (3/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2/9−/−</td>
<td>+++ (3/3)</td>
<td>+++ (3/3)</td>
<td>+++ (3/3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Histopathological changes were scored as mild +, moderate ++, or intense +++; (3/3), three of three examined animals presented the degree of indicated changes.
CD8 Cells Produce a Higher Amount of IFN-γ in TG after Infection with HSV-1

We detected CD3 (see Supplemental Figure 1D at http://ajp.amjpathol.org) and CD8 (see Supplemental Figure 1E at http://ajp.amjpathol.org) positive cells in the TG of infected mice but not in noninfected mice (see Supplemental Figure 1C at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). Additionally, we performed flow cytometry analysis of TG after treatment with collagenase. Although the differences in CD8 T cells

Figure 6. Viral, cytokine, and chemokine transcripts in knockout mouse trigeminal ganglia on day 5 postinfection. C57BL/6, TLR2−/−, TLR9−/−, and TLR2/9−/− mice were intranasally infected with 10^6 PFU HSV-1, and trigeminal ganglia of six to nine animals per group were collected on day 5 postinfection. Control mice aspirated only PBS (n = 4 for each group). After RNA extraction and reverse transcription, real-time PCR was performed. (A) VP-16 HSV-1 transcript; (B) IFN-γ; (C) IL-1β; (D) CXCL10 (IP-10); and (E) CCL2 (MCP-1). This experiment is representative of two replicates. *P < 0.05; statistical difference between the bar and the respective negative control. **P < 0.05; statistical difference between the indicated bars. Statistical analyses were performed with Mann-Whitney t-tests, and the bars represent the SEM.

Figure 7. Cytokine and chemokine transcript expression levels in knockout mouse brains and cytokine production in mice sera on 5 d.p.i. C57BL/6, TLR2−/−, TLR9−/−, and TLR2/9−/− mice were intranasally infected with 10^6 PFU HSV-1, and brains and sera of six to nine animals per group were collected on day 5 postinfection. Control mice aspirated only PBS (n = 4 for each group). After RNA extraction and reverse transcription of the brains, real-time PCR was performed. (A) IFN-γ; (B) IL-1β; (C) CXCL10 (IP-10); and (D) CCL2 (MCP-1). In the sera, levels of IFN-γ (E) and CCL2 (MCP-1; F) were measured by CBA. The results are representative of two experiments that yielded similar results. *P < 0.05; statistical difference between the bar and the respective negative control. **P < 0.05; statistical difference between the indicated bars. Statistical analyses were performed with Mann-Whitney t-tests, and the bars represent the SEM.
were not statistically significant between WT infected and noninfected TG mice (Figure 8A), the CD8 T cells of infected mice produced a higher level of IFN-γ than the CD8 T cells of noninfected mice (Figure 8B).

Discussion

Innate immunity to HSV-1 has been studied by many different research groups in recent years. Although these studies show the importance of TLRs and other immune receptors and cytokines/chemokines in HSV-1 recognition and control, some discrepancies remain concerning the benefits of these responses. The differences in results are probably due to the high diversity in the animal models used in these works. Viral strain, mouse strain, and inoculation route variations may produce different immune responses, making comparisons between the results of each experiment difficult. However, each different model may have indications for one specific clinical manifestation of the broad spectrum of herpetic manifestations. Our research group uses a strain of HSV-1 that was isolated from a naturally occurring human lip recurrence. Additionally, the intranasal inoculation route that we used in our experiments is similar to the natural route of infection because the lips (natural infection) and nose have common innervations.

In this work, we demonstrated that most C57BL/6 WT mice, which have a low susceptibility to an intranasal inoculation of 10⁶ PFU of HSV-1, are capable of controlling viral replication in TG, which prevents the infectious virus from reaching the brain, because the infectious virus was found in TG but not in the brains of WT animals. HSV-1 infection generally leads to a localized infection; the virus initiates replication in mucosa and then reaches the dorsal root ganglia termini, from which it is intraxonally transported to the TG, where it replicates or becomes latent. However, sometimes, for reasons that are not well understood, the virus reaches the brain, where it causes encephalitis. Using an intranasal inoculum of 10⁶ PFU, a previous study from our group demonstrated by immunohistochemistry that only mice with signs of encephalitis expressed viral proteins in brain cells, but all infected mice expressed viral proteins in TG cells 8 d.p.i. With the higher PFU inoculation used in this study, the virus reached the TG in WT mice around 2 d.p.i. (Table 1; Figure 1A), replicated until 6 d.p.i. (Table 1) and then was controlled by the mice, although the viral VP-16 transcripts remained, in lower amounts, until day 8 postinfection (Figure 1A), which was the last day analyzed. In most of the WT mice, once the virus reached the TG, it stimulated the expression of cytokines and chemokines that control virus replication, preventing most of the infectious virus from reaching the brain. This response seems to be very well regulated because the expression of these molecules in WT mouse TG was more elevated on day 5 postinfection (Figure 1, B–E)—when the virus is replicating in higher amounts and TLR expression is increased (Figure 1F)—and returns to basal levels as soon as the infectious virus particles are controlled. The TLR-dependent immune responses in TG seem to be fundamental for controlling HSV-1 infection and preventing the virus from reaching the brain, where it could cause lethal encephalitis.

Many groups have already shown that TLR2 and TLR9 recognize HSV-1 or HSV-2, which activates an immune response to the virus. Because different viral strains may elicit different responses, we decided to confirm that our isolate was able to activate these receptors. Using HEK293 cells stably expressing TLRs, we have shown that HSV-1 activates TLR2 and TLR9 but not TLR4 or cells that do not express these receptors (Figure 2). Using similar cell constructs, Kurt-Jones et al. could not detect TLR9 activation on HSV-1 KOS stimulation (but they detected TLR2 activation). Although Kurt-Jones et al. did not determine whether this was due to a technical limitation, Sato et al. found similar results when comparing TLR stimulation of HSV-1 KOS and other HSV strains; however, Sato et al. also demonstrated that, although not recognized by TLR9 in HEK cells, the analyzed virus seemed to use this receptor to stimulate dendritic cell responses. Because different systems seemed to elicit different responses, we investigated whether our HSV-1 strain elicited TLR2 and TLR9 responses in HEK cells and in macrophages. We discovered that both receptors were important for cytokine production in these cells, but the level of importance varied with the type of cytokine. In macrophages (Figure 3), TLR2 and TLR9 contribute to IL12p40 and TNF α production. Although, there seemed to be some cooperation between these receptors for IL12p40 (because the double KO had a lower production when compared with the single KOs), an effect that was not observed for TNF α. Thus, the importance of the TLRs varies by cytokine type.
After we demonstrated that our isolate stimulated TLR2 and TLR9 in vitro and ex vivo, we analyzed the roles of these receptors in vivo. C57BL/6 WT, TLR2−/−, TLR9−/−, and TLR2/9−/− mice were infected with HSV-1, and the mortality rates indicated that TLR2 plays a minor role in mouse survival because TLR2−/− mice had a low mortality rate that was very similar to that of WT mice (Figure 4). TLR9 seemed to play a major role in infection control because null animals showed a higher mortality rate compared with WT mice (Figure 4). In a previous study, it was shown that MyD88 is fundamental for immune defense against HSV-1, with 100% mortality observed in MyD88−/− mice and 50% mortality in IFN-γ−/− mice. Our data strongly suggested, for the first time, that TLR2 and TLR9 cooperate in vivo to induce this MyD88-dependent response in HSV-1 infected mice; we showed that infection of TLR2/9−/− mice results in 100% mortality (Figure 4), as previously shown for MyD88−/− mice. All animals that died with signs of encephalitis (prostration, ruffled fur, hunched posture, and posterior paw paralysis) had infectious virus particles in their brains, which were not observed for animals without signs of encephalitis (Table 2). Therefore, the presence of infectious HSV-1 in mouse brains correlated with the signs of encephalitis and death, as previously shown. The virus titers were lower than in WT mice (Figures 6 and 7). It was not reduced in the TG of infected TLR9−/− or TLR2/9−/− mice (Figure 6). This cytokine was also not reduced in the brain of TLR2−/− mice (Figure 7), and it was not statistically lower compared with expression in WT mice. This reduction in IL-1β could explain why TLR2−/− and TLR9−/− mice have an insignificant or only 60% mortality, respectively, compared with 100% mortality in TLR2/9−/− mice, even though both TLR2−/− and TLR9−/− mice, as well as TLR2/9−/− mice, produced lower levels of IFN-γ in the TG compared with WT mice. Additionally, TLR2−/− mice showed a higher level of IFN-γ expression in the brain (Figure 7) and a higher expression level of IL-1β in the TG and brain (Figures 6 and 7) compared with TLR2/9−/− mice; this increased cytokine expression could be responsible for the effective immune response against HSV-1 in this knockout mouse.

Our results showed that IFN β and MIP1α were up-regulated in the TG of all groups of infected mice compared with uninfected mice (data not shown). Although important in HSV control, expression of these molecules probably does not depend on TLR2 or TLR9 in the TG. Furthermore, TLR2/9−/− infected mice have major histopathological alterations in the brain compared with those observed in WT brains or in the brains of the other knockout mice evaluated (Figure 5; Table 3). The brain parenchyma of TLR2/9−/− mice had focal lesions, and the infiltration of meninges was visibly more intense than the degenerative changes in the brain parenchyma. Brain meninges were compromised in infected TLR2/9−/− mice, as shown by the massive presence of inflammatory cells inside and outside the meningeal vessels. Similar brain alterations were found in susceptible mice in another study. Marques et al suggested that neurological damage might be related to CXCL10/IP-10 and CCL2/MCP-1 production and that these chemokines are probably microglia derived, a hypothesis that demands further in vivo and in vitro investigation. Our data indicated that TLR2/9−/− mice had significantly higher histopathology scores (brain and meninges) than WT and TLR2−/− mice, but the differences were less apparent when compared with TLR9−/− mice. Together, the results indicate that although TLR9 seems to have a more critical role in vivo than TLR2, both receptors are not only important receptors in HSV-1 recognition and control but also may cooperate in the activation of the immune response because the double knockout mice had higher mortality rates and more prominent immune alterations and brain pathology on day 5 postinfection. Immunological and pathological alterations
were not as evident in TLR9−/− mice, probably due to differences in kinetics compared with TLR2/9−/− mice because the latter began to die earlier than the former. Additionally, IFNα and CCL3/MIP 1α transcripts had similar expression levels in the TG for all groups of animals, suggesting that other receptors could be involved and that other pattern recognition receptors may also contribute to HSV-1 control. Retinoic acid inducible gene-like receptors and DNA-dependent activator of IFN regulatory factors are some of the pattern recognition receptors that have also been shown to recognize HSV.50,51

Finally, we have shown that infection control depends on an immune response in the TG and brain in the herpes intranasal model. HSV-1 control seems to be mainly local because the only cytokine profile altered in knockout susceptible mice sera was that of CCL2/MCP1 (Figure 7F). The response in the TG seems to limit virus replication to prevent too much virus (if any) from reaching the brain—an essential process to prevent encephalitis. The response in the brain may not only be an attempt to contain the virus but also may be designed to prevent brain damage. These responses must be well regulated because not only the virus but also the immune response itself may cause tissue damage and encephalitis.52 This indicates that, in addition to activating cytokine and chemokine production,TLRs may have a role in the regulation of the immune response. This idea is corroborated by recent findings that indicate that TLRs may suppress the immune response of T cells and NK cells,53 control B cells responses,54 transiently silence pro-inflammatory genes,55 modulate chemokine receptors, and redirect leukocyte migration.56 Because the brain histopathology of TLR2/9−/− mice, we must further investigate TLR participation in HSV-1 control by identifying all of the cytokine/chemokine production in the TG and brains of resistant and susceptible mice. However, on day 5 postinfection the TG of WT infected mice show increased cellularity of the ganglia root and in areas close to neurons, where many small mononuclear cells were detected by Giemsa staining and macrophages were detected by flow cytometry. These mononuclear cells could be the TLR-producing cells. Additionally, cells of the TG from infected mice showed positive immunostaining for CD8 T cells and produced higher levels of IFN-γ, which we showed by flow cytometry. Our next goal is to study in detail each cell of the TG that participates in the immune response and its respective cytokine. The data from this work showed that the immune response in the TG is crucial to prevent against encephalitis, contributing to the understanding of innate immune responses to HSV-1 and may, in the future, provide some clues to aid in the fight against viral brain pathogens.
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