Identification of Potential Therapeutic Targets in Malignant Mesothelioma Using Cell-Cycle Gene Expression Analysis
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Cell-cycle defects are responsible for cancer onset and growth. We studied the expression profile of 60 genes involved in cell cycle in a series of malignant mesotheliomas (MMs), normal pleural tissues, and MM cell cultures using a quantitative polymerase chain reaction-based, low-density array. Nine genes were significantly deregulated in MMs compared with normal controls. Seven genes were overexpressed in MMs, including the following: CDKN2C, cdc6, cyclin H, cyclin B1, CDC2, FoxM1, and Chk1, whereas Ube1L and cyclin D2 were underexpressed. Chk1 is a principal mediator of cell-cycle checkpoints in response to genotoxic stress. We confirmed the overexpression of Chk1 in an independent set of 87 MMs by immunohistochemistry using tissue microarrays. To determine whether Chk1 down-regulation would affect cell-cycle control and cell survival, we transfected either control or Chk1 siRNA into two mesothelioma cell lines and a nontumorigenic (Met5a) cell line. Results showed that Chk1 knockdown increased the apoptotic fraction of MM cells and induced an S phase block in Met5a cells. Furthermore, Chk1 silencing sensitized p53-null MM cells to both an S phase block and apoptosis in the presence of doxorubicin. Our results indicate that cell-cycle gene expression analysis by quantitative polymerase chain reaction can identify potential targets for novel therapies. Chk1 knockdown could provide a novel therapeutic approach to arrest cell-cycle progression in MM cells, thus increasing the rate of cell death. (Am J Pathol 2009, 174:762–770; DOI: 10.2353/ajpath.2009.080721)

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MM) is a fatal disease with increasing incidence worldwide and a poor short-term outcome. Similar to other neoplastic diseases, the hallmark of MM is uncontrolled cell growth and proliferation. Cell division is tightly regulated by oscillations of cyclin/cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) complexes. The inhibitory control of these associations is exerted by cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CDKIs). Cell-cycle control has important checkpoints such as the G1-S transition, regulated by a hypophosphorylated Rb gene product (pRb). No functional loss of Rb has been described in mesothelioma, whereas the INK4a/ARF locus is believed to be involved in mesothelioma oncogenesis. This locus encodes two proteins, p16INK4a and p14ARF. p16INK4a has a tumor-suppressor effect linked to inhibition of CDKs and induction of G1 phase cell-cycle arrest. Alternatively, p14ARF stabilizes p53 through binding and degradation of MDM2. Mechanisms involved in p16INK4a/ARF locus inactivation as p16 homozygous deletion or promoter-methylation has been reported in 85% and 10% of mesothelioma cell lines and in 22% and 27% of tumor
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Materials and Methods

Patients and Sample Characteristics

Forty-five MM tumor samples were obtained at surgery at four institutions, A.O. San Paolo, Istituto di Ricerca e Cura a Carattere Scientifico Fondazione Ospedale Maggiore, Istituto Clinico Humanitas, and University of Chieti Medical School Hospital. Mesothelioma specimens were obtained from patients who underwent multiple thoracic biopsies for diagnostic purposes. None of them received chemotherapy or radiotherapy before surgery. Informed consent was obtained from all patients under study. Adequacy of samples was evaluated by standard hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining on frozen sections. The remaining material was used for diagnostic purposes. Samples containing at least 80% tumor cells and less than 10% inflammatory components were included in the study.

The median age of the patients was 64.7 years (range, 40 to 84 yrs). Ten patients were women (22%) and thirty-five were men (78%). No patient had received chemotherapy or radiotherapy before surgery. According to World Health Organization classification,30 30 MMs were classified as epithelioid (66.7%), 5 as sarcomatoid (11.1%), and 10 cases were biphasic (22.2%). For statistical purposes, sarcomatoid and biphasic MMs were grouped together in the nonepithelioid (non-E) group.

Normal tissue counterparts were obtained by pleural wiping of surgical samples without evidence of pleural disease. Briefly, the mesothelial-lined surfaces of pleurapneumonectomy or lobectomy specimens obtained from surgical procedures were gently wiped with a sterile swab. The swabs were partly smeared and slides stained with Giemsa and cytologically evaluated. Only samples with presence of at least 80% of mesothelial cells and lack of blood and/or of inflammatory components were included in the study. After the morphological evaluation, swabs were submerged in RNA extraction buffer and processed for MCF analysis (see below).

Two mesothelioma cell lines, MSTO-211H and H2452, representative of biphasic and epithelioid subtypes, respectively, and a nontumorigenic mesothelial cell line, Met5a, were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and cultured in a 5% humidified incubator at 37°C following American Type Culture Collection suggested protocol. All cell culture reagents were from Gibco-Invitrogen (Milan, Italy), except for epidermal growth factor, hydrocortisone, and insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) required for Met5a culture. Frozen pellets of four previously described primary mesothelioma cell cultures,14 were analyzed as well.

A separate series of MMs was selected for immunohistochemistry analysis with tissue microarray (TMA) technique (see below). The TMA study population consisted of 87 MM patients. According to World Health Organization classification,13 62 MMs were classified as epithelioid (71%), 21 as biphasic (24%), and 4 cases were sarcomatoid (5%). Pleural biopsies with no neoplastic involvement from patients with pleural effusion were used as controls.

RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

Samples were homogenized by TissueLyser (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. One μg of total RNA for each sample was reverse-transcribed through a high-capacity cDNA archive kit in a final volume of 100 μl at the following conditions: 25°C for 10 minutes, 37°C for 120 minutes, and 85°C for 5 minutes. All reagents and instrument were from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA).

Microfluidic Card Analysis

We configured the microfluidic card to contain primers and probes for 60 cell-cycle-related genes (listed in Supplemental Table S1 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org), and four housekeeping genes (ACTB, TBP, HMBS, and GAPDH). Every sample was evaluated in triplicate and each card was loaded with two samples at a time. One hundred ng of cDNA from each sample were filled per port, according to the manufacturer’s instructions and the cards were run on an ABI Prism 7900HT sequence detection system. Real-time raw data were then transformed in threshold cycles (Ct) by SDS 2.1 software. All genes but one (CUL4A, Hs00180170_m1) could be successfully detected by the instrument (Ct <40) in all of the samples. All
reagents, instruments, and software were from Applied Biosystems.

Ct values of all targets were converted into relative quantities (RQ) of mRNA using geNorm software (http://medgen.ugent.be/~yvesomp/genorm). Briefly, the geometrical average of three user-defined housekeeping genes (ACTB, HMBS, and TBP) was used to calculate the normalization factor for each sample, and the expression value of target genes (RQ) is relative to this number. RQ normalizes values were median-normalized and log2-transformed for statistical analysis.

Statistical Analysis

For unsupervised hierarchical clustering log2-transformed RQs of the successfully amplified cell-cycle-related genes were imported in dChip software (http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/~ci/complab/dchip). Relative abundance of each gene was standardized by software preprocessing steps and hierarchical clustering analysis was then performed using the Euclidean distance as sample distance metric and the average as a linkage method. To investigate differences in cell-cycle gene expression between MMs and normal samples, all genes were analyzed by univariate statistic (Wilcoxon test). A target was considered differentially expressed between MMs and normal samples if these two criteria were fulfilled: a ratio of mean expression in tumoral and normal samples >2 or <0.5 (fold change, FC >2 or <0.5), and a p value <0.01. For tumor histotype (E-MMs and non-E MMs)-related differences in the expression levels of the 59 cell-cycle genes we used univariate t-statistic setting the threshold for significant difference at p < 0.05.

Tissue Microarray (TMA) Construction

Representative tissue blocks from an independent series of 87 MMs were chosen to construct TMA as previously described. From each TMA block a 4-μm-thick section was cut, stained with H&E, and inspected for adequacy before immunohistochemical analysis.

Immunohistochemistry

Four-μm-thick sections cut from TMA blocks were stained with rabbit polyclonal Chk1 primary antibody (1:4000, C9358; Sigma-Aldrich) overnight at 4°C. For antigen retrieval slides were microwaved for 35 minutes in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid solution. Immunohistochemistry was performed using a DAKO instrument (DAKO, Milan, Italy) and immunostaining was revealed by the DAKO EnVision detection kit with peroxidase/diaminobenzidine as chromogen. All slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Immunoreactivity was independently evaluated by two authors (M.F. and S.R.). Chk1 immunostaining was evaluated both at cytoplasmic and at nuclear level. Chk1 immunoreactivity in MMs was compared with normal/reactive mesothelial samples available in four TMA spots. Tumors were classified in the: i) negative group, when no immunoreactivity could be detected; ii) low-expressor group when Chk1 protein presence was comparable to that observed in nonneoplastic spots; iii) overexpressor group, when Chk1 immunoreactivity was higher than normal controls.

siRNA Transfection Experiments

For Chk1 siRNA experiments, MSTO-211H, H2452, and Met5a cells were plated at a density of 2 × 10^6 cells/per well in six-well plates, and cells were maintained overnight in 2 ml of RPMI 1640 (for MSTO_211H and H2452) or Medium199 (for Met5a) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum. One day later, cells were transfected with 100 pmol of either Chk1-directed siRNA (siChk1, siG-ENOME SMARTpool M-003255) or control nontargeting siRNA (scr, siCONTROL Pool D-001206–13), all from Dharmacon Inc. (Lafayette, CO) using 3 μl of oligo-lectin in a final volume of 1 ml of OptiMem medium (both from Invitrogen). Six hours after transfection, OptiMem mixture was replaced with 2 ml of appropriate growth media supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum either with or without 500 nmol/L doxorubicin (+dox, Sigma-Aldrich). For each experiment, three plates per cell line were prepared simultaneously. After 48 hours, cells from the three matched wells were harvested and pooled for uniformity of subsequent analyses. Cell were trypsinized, washed once in 5 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and resuspended in 3 ml of PBS. Then three pellets were made for each cell line for immunoblotting, real-time RT-PCR, and fluorescence-activated cell sorting analyses.

Western Blot Analysis

For immunoblotting, cells were solubilized in 150 μl of lysis buffer containing 20 mmol/L Tris, pH 7.2, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, plus 5% protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Protein in all samples were quantified by Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) assay and for each specimen 50 μg were separated on 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA), and analyzed for Chk1 (1 μg/μl, C9358) expression. β-Actin (1:10,000, clone AC-15, A5441) was used as loading control. Both primary antibodies were from Sigma-Aldrich and were used with detection by chemiluminescence (Plus Reagents; GE Health Care, Piscataway, NJ).

Real-Time RT-PCR

For silencing experiments 200 ng of total extracted RNA from MSTO-211H, H2452, and from Met-5a cells were retro-transcribed as described above. Five μl of cDNA were then amplified along with specific primers and probes for CHK1 and β-actin (Hs00176236_m1 and Hs99999903_m1, respectively, TaqMan assays-on-demand). Chk1 expression relative to β-actin was then cal-
culated using the $2^\Delta\Delta Ct$ formula (Applied Biosystems, User Bulletin No. 2, 1997; Updated 2001). For all cell lines, the scramble-transfected cells were used as calibrator (1X) samples, and Chk1 levels in siChk1-cells are expressed as n-fold the corresponding 1X sample. All reagents, instruments, and protocols were from Applied Biosystems.

**Cell-Cycle Analysis of siRNA-Incubated MM Cells**

Cells transfected with either Chk1 siRNA (siChk1) or control siRNA (scr) alone or in the presence (+dox) of 500 nmol/L doxorubicin, were resuspended in 0.6 ml of 1% fetal bovine serum/PBS and 1.4 ml of cold 100% ethanol was added. Finally, the cells were washed twice with PBS, stained with PI supplemented with RNase (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ), and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 15 minutes. The samples were analyzed for DNA content profile by flow cytometry (Cell Quest, BD Biosciences) and 10,000 events were recorded for each sample. The percentages of cells in sub-G1, G1, S, and G2-M phases were obtained through Cell Quest software.

**Results**

**Gene Expression Analysis**

The expression level of 60 cell-cycle-regulated genes was determined in a series of MMs, normal pleura specimens, and MM cell lines. All transcripts but one in the whole dataset could be successfully amplified (Supplemental Table S1 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). To disclose intrinsic differences among samples, we initially performed unsupervised analysis. Hierarchical clustering of quantitative polymerase chain reaction-array data delineated two main subclasses of samples (A and B sets, Figure 1). The majority of neoplastic samples (27 MMs, 60%) clustered together along with primary and commercial cell lines under the A branch of the dendrogram. The B branch included all five normal pleura and the remaining MM tissues.

**MM Histotype Analysis**

Comparison of epithelioid (E-MMs) to nonepithelioid (non-E MMs) mesotheliomas showed that the mRNA expression level of three genes was significantly associated with tumor histotype (univariate analysis, t-statistic, $P$ value <0.05) (Table 2). Two genes were overexpressed in E-MMs, TFDP2 and ABL1, whereas TWIST1 was overexpressed in the non-E group. The mRNA levels of these genes in all samples are shown in Figure 2, A–C.

**Chk1 Expression Analysis**

Chk1 was one of the seven genes significantly overexpressed in MMs relative to normal samples (FC = 3.9; $P$ value <0.001). Chk1 distribution in the two mesothelioma histotypes was heterogeneous although not significantly different, whereas normal pleural tissues consistently displayed low levels of Chk1 (Figure 3A). Twenty-four of forty-five MMs (53%) displayed Chk1 mRNA levels above the median value.

To confirm mRNA data, we evaluated Chk1 protein expression in an independent series of MMs. Chk1 protein presence in MM cores was detected at both the nuclear and cytoplasmatic level. Nonneoplastic pleural mesothelial cells displayed weak cytoplasmatic Chk1 immunoreactivity (Figure 3B). Fourteen MMs (16%; 11 epithelioid, 1 sarcomatous, and 2 biphasic) were negative for Chk1 immunostaining. Comparable immunoreactivity
to nonneoplastic cores was detected in 32 MMs (37%; 22 epithelioid and 10 biphasic). Forty-one MMs (47%; 32 epithelioid, 3 sarcomatous, and 6 biphasic) displayed Chk1 overexpression. Representative cores of Chk1 staining are illustrated in Figure 3B. Chk1 overexpression in almost half of the patients was therefore confirmed by two different techniques in two independent sets of MMs (53% and 47% of MMs by MCF and TMA analyses, respectively).

**Chk1 Silencing**

To determine whether down-regulation of Chk1 expression would affect cell-cycle phases, MM commercial cell lines and the nonneoplastic cells Met5a were transfected with either control (scr) or Chk1 (siChk1) siRNAs alone and in combination with doxorubicin. Both Chk1 protein and mRNA levels decreased after 48 hours of siRNA incubation (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure S1 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org, respectively).

In the absence of a DNA-damaging agent, down-regulation of Chk1 protein perturbed cell-cycle phases compared with control siRNA. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis showed an increase of apoptotic cells in all cell lines (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure S2 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). Furthermore we observed an S phase block and decreased G1 and G2/M phases in Met5a. Conversely, in MSTO-211H and H2452 cells, G1, S, and G2/M phases were unaffected (Figure 4B).

Incubation with doxorubicin alone modified cell-cycle phases in all cell lines. In particular, all cells displayed an increase in sub-G1 (apoptosis) phase (Supplemental Figure S2 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). When the combination of silencing and doxorubicin was performed, there was an increase of apoptosis in Met5a and in H2452 cell lines, and a G1 block in MSTO-211H cells. H2452 was the only cell line to display an S phase block (Figure 4C and Supplemental Figure S2 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org).

**Discussion**

The present study, based on the microfluidic card technology, identified specific cell-cycle gene expression al-

---

**Table 1.** Differentially Expressed Cell Cycle-Related Genes between Normal and MM Specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Cell cycle phase</th>
<th>FC K/N</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Average N</th>
<th>Average K</th>
<th>Average PC</th>
<th>Met5A RQ</th>
<th>MSTO-211H RQ</th>
<th>H2452 RQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UBE1L</td>
<td>Ubiquitin proteasome pathway</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4E-05</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCND2</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.0E-06</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHK1</td>
<td>G2/M</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.7E-06</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNH</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNB1</td>
<td>G2/M</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p18-CDKN2C</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.00098</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC2</td>
<td>G2/M</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXM1</td>
<td>G2/M</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC6</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RQs in primary and commercial cell lines are indicated as well. RQ, relative quantity; PC, primary MM cell cultures; FC, fold change. P values indicate the statistical significance at univariate analysis (t-statistic).

**Table 2.** Differentially Expressed Genes in Epithelioid (E) and Nonepithelioid (Non-E) MMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>FC E/ Non-E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TWIST1</td>
<td>0.007569093</td>
<td>0.6757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFDP2</td>
<td>0.003943293</td>
<td>1.8535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABL1</td>
<td>0.038220056</td>
<td>1.7324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The complete list of cell cycle-related genes was investigated by univariate analysis (t-test).
iterations in a series of MM tissues and cells. In particular, the expression levels of nine genes were significantly different in MM samples relative to normal pleura. These alterations included a significant downexpression of Ube1L, a putative tumor suppressor gene frequently deleted in lung cancer, as well as increased expression of Chk1, cyclins H and B1, FoxM1, Cdc6, and cyclin inhibitor p18/CDKN2C. Of notice among the seven overexpressed genes four (Chk1, p34-CDC2, cyclin B1, and FOXM1) are involved in G2/M phase regulation of the cell cycle. One of these genes, Chk1, was then chosen for siRNA-mediated knockdown in MM cell lines. Chk1 is a serine/threonine kinase involved in DNA damage-induced checkpoint and in cell-cycle progression through both S and G2/M phases.

We focused our study on Chk1 based on its importance as central regulator of cell-cycle progression and cellular response to DNA-damaging chemotherapy. Although both Chk1 and FOXM1 were overexpressed in all three cell lines and down-regulated in all nonneoplastic pleural tissues, Chk1 showed a more significant P value. Finally, its selective chemical inhibitor (as UCN01) is now under clinical trial. To determine whether MM pharmacological approach could benefit from Chk1 inhibition, we first confirmed Chk1 overexpression at protein level in an independent set of MM samples and then we knocked-down Chk1 in vitro in combination or not with doxorubicin treatment. Interestingly, the same proportion of Chk1-overexpressing MM could be observed at both gene and protein expression investigations.

In response to silencing alone or in association with doxorubicin treatment, our data showed different cell-cycle profiles in the three cell lines. Inhibition of Chk1 in the absence of the DNA-damaging agent increased cell death in all cell lines indicating that Chk1 played also a role in survival of MM cells. This finding is in agreement with a previous report documenting the induction of apoptosis in H1299 cells by Chk1 anti-sense although other studies observed no increase of apoptotic cells after siRNA treatment.

Chk1 knockdown induced an S phase block in Met5a cells, and a weak arrest in S phase in MSTO-211H cells.

Figure 3. Chk1 overexpression in MM tissues compared with nonneoplastic mesothelial samples. A: Chk1 mRNA expression profile in tissues and cell lines. Relative quantity (RQ) and SD are represented for each sample. B: Chk1 immunoreactivity is shown for representing cores of nonneoplastic mesothelium, and low- and high-expressor MM. Expression of Chk1 protein is detectable in both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments. Original magnifications: X250 (B, left), X40 (B, middle and right), X400 (B, insets).

Figure 4. Chk1 siRNA sensitizes MM cells to apoptosis and to doxorubicin toxicity. Cells were transfected with either control siRNA (scr) or Chk1 siRNA (siChk1) alone or in combination with 500 nmol/L doxorubicin (+ dox) and harvested after 48 hours for immunoblotting and FACS analysis. A: Met5A, MSTO-211H, and H2452 cells lysates were immunoblotted for Chk1 detection. Actin was probed as loading control. B: Chk1 knockdown increases cell death rate in MM cells. Met5A, MSTO-211H, and H2452 cells transfected with either siChk1 or scr were stained with PI and analyzed for DNA content profile by FACS analysis. The percentages of cells in sub-G1, G1, S, and G2-M phases were obtained through Cell-Quest software. The percentage of cells treated with siChk1 relative to scr-transfected cells (ratio) is shown for each cell line. C: Chk1 siRNA sensitizes p53-null cell to doxorubicin toxicity. Met5A, MSTO-211H, and H2452 cells were transfected as described above and incubated with 500 nmol/L doxorubicin. The percentage of cells in each phase was determined and values of siChk1-treated cells are expressed as ratios relative to corresponding controls (see text and Supplemental Figure S2 for details at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). Results represent mean values from three independent experiments and error bars indicate SD.
only. This effect may be explained by the different genetic background of these three cell lines. In fact, in the nontumorigenic cells Met5a there is a preservation of both wild-type p16/CDKN2A and p53 proteins (Sanger Institute, Somatic Mutation in Cancer Database). Conversely, both H2452 and MSTO-211H harbor a mutation for CDKN2A. Moreover, H2452 cells bear a truncating mutation in p53 gene, whereas MSTO-211H cells do not. Because CDKN2A and p53 are both involved in cell-cycle regulation and G1/S transition, different genetic abnormalities can therefore induce different cell-cycle pattern after Chk1 silencing.

MM cell line sensitivity toward the DNA-damaging agent doxorubicin was also ascertained. Doxorubicin alone increased cell death in all cell lines, as measured by sub-G1 cells, although to a lesser extent in the p53-mutated H2452. In this cell line there was a sustained fraction of cells in mitosis (G2/M), confirming the previous findings about the inefficiency of DNA-damaging agents to induce cell division arrest and apoptosis in tumor cells lacking p53. When the combination of Chk1-siRNA and doxorubicin was added in H2452 cells, G2/M phase decreased and higher apoptotic and S phase-blocked cells were present. In the p53-proficient cell line MSTO-211H the combination doxorubicin/siChk1 did not increase either cell death rate (sub-G1 phase) or replicating cells (S phase), suggesting that in presence of intact p53 pathway Chk1 silencing does not improve anti-tumor drug effectiveness. Taken together, these observations indicate that incubation of MSTO-211H and H2452 cells with Chk1 siRNA sensitize them to apoptosis induction, and Chk1 silencing improves the response of p53-null H2452 cells to the DNA-damaging drug doxorubicin.

Further supporting Chk1 knockdown as potential therapeutic approach in MM to impair cell-cycle progression and to sensitize tumor cells to chemotherapeutic agents, the present investigation documents a general increase in mRNA levels of other genes involved in the G2/M phase, such as FoxM1, cyclin B1, and its partner p34-Cdc2. FoxM1 is a transcription factor that regulates the expression of G2/M-specific genes, including cyclin B, eventually responsible for entry into mitosis. FoxM1 has been previously found overexpressed in cervical squamous carcinoma and in human breast cancer, thereby underscoring its importance in cell proliferation and transformation.

Cyclin B1 (CCNB1) complexes with p34 (cdc2), its catalytic partner of the mitosis-promoting factor (MPF) kinase complex, to induce the entry into mitosis and G2-M transitions. Although cyclin B1 overexpression plays a crucial role in different tumors, its involvement in mesothelioma, together with p34-cdc2, was demonstrated only in vitro and a decrease in cell proliferation was associated with reduced cyclin B1/cdc2 activity. We found overexpression of S phase players such as cyclin H (CCNH) and cdc6 transcripts, whereas a G1-protein such as cyclin D2 was underexpressed as previously reported in mesothelioma. Indeed a G1-cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p18 (CDKN2C) was overexpressed.

Finally, we investigated differences in gene expression related to histotype. We found two genes associated with epithelioid MM (TFDP2 and ABL1) and one (TWIST1) with nonepithelioid MM. TFDP2 interacts with retinoblastoma gene (RB) and induces gene activation. RB interacts with E2F family of transcription factors to arrest cells in G1. The involvement of TFDP2 in mesothelioma carcinogenesis has not been demonstrated yet, but previous reports showed that it is overexpressed in HPV-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinomas, along with up-regulated retinoblastoma-binding protein (p18) and replication factor-C genes. In addition it plays a role in uncontrolled proliferation of ovarian cancer cells.

ABL1 is a proto-oncogene coding for a cytoplasmic and nuclear tyrosine kinase. Chromosomal rearrangements of ABL1 or viral transduction are responsible for malignant transformation, such as in myeloid leukemia. Abl1 overexpression has not been previously reported in MM tissues but its role has been investigated in oral squamous cell carcinomas in which it is correlated to a worse outcome. Moreover chromosomal rearrangements of Ab1t into the chimeric Bcr/Abl oncogene are the target for tyrosine kinase inhibitor compounds such as Imitinib, STI571, or CEP7148B. This observation is noteworthy and should be further investigated for therapeutic purposes.

Of interest, TFDP2 and Abl1t are genes involved in cell-cycle regulation at G1-S checkpoint through interaction with pRb pathway. Our finding suggests that epithelioid MM could deregulate pRb pathway to trigger cell-cycle progression. TWIST1 encodes a nuclear protein with a helix-loop-helix domain shared by DNA binding proteins and acts as a transcription factor. Twist1 play a crucial role in regulating the metastatic process and it is involved in the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) through the loss of adhesion mediated by E-cadherin. This role is supported by our result, because we documented Twist1 overexpression in biphasic and sarcomatoid MM compared with the epithelioid group.

Previous gene expression studies in MM patients performed on an oligonucleotide array-based platform did not reveal a number of significant differences in expression of cell-cycle-related genes between MM and normal counterparts, indeed down-regulation of genes involved in just pRb pathway could be identified. In particular, overexpression of some cell-cycle control genes (Aurora kinase A and B) as well as loss of p16/INK4A were recently related to a more aggressive clinical course of MM.

Microfluidic card technology has the recognized advantage to be specific and accurate in mRNA quantification. The low-density array platform we used is based on real-time RT-PCR technology, which is now considered the gold standard for gene expression quantitative studies. Therefore this technology could be useful to investigate gene expression signatures in MM, with higher accuracy and lower interlaboratory variability than array-based platforms.

In conclusion, we identified deregulation of cell-cycle-related genes mostly occurring at the G2/M phase. We confirmed up-regulation at protein level of an overexpressed gene (Chk1) in an independent set of MM patients. Moreover, we showed that the siRNA-mediated
knockdown of Chk1 could sensitize MM cells to cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis induction. Deregulation of cell-cycle checkpoints is now recognized as a salient feature of the malignant transformation process and checkpoint alterations in tumors provide an opportunity for developing a therapeutic strategy that combines conventional cancer treatment with inhibitors of cell-cycle checkpoints.\(^{46-47}\) This is based on the premise that pharmacological impairment of checkpoint function may selectively sensitize tumors with intrinsic checkpoint defects to drug-induced genotoxic stress.\(^{19-48}\)

Moreover, chemical Chk1 inhibitors (as UCN01) are now under clinical testing in combination with standard chemotherapy for solid tumors (NCT00367777),\(^{49-51}\) and lymphomas (phase II, NCI-04-C-0173). Consistent with this issue, we showed that inhibition of Chk1 in MM and lymphomas (phase II, NCI-04-C-0173). Consistent with this issue, we showed that inhibition of Chk1 in MM cancer cells potentiate the effects of DNA-damaging agents, in particular in p53-deficient ones. This finding could offer a novel therapeutic approach for patients with mesothelioma.
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