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Focal adhesion kinase (FAK) regulates cell migration, proliferation, and apoptosis. FAK protein is reduced at the edge of migrating gut epithelial sheets in vitro, but it has not been characterized in restitutive gut mucosa in vivo. Here we show that FAK and activated phospho-FAK (FAK397) immunoreactivity was lower in epithelial cells immediately adjacent to human gastric and colonic ulcers in vivo, but dramatically increased in epithelia near the ulcers, possibly reflecting stimulation by growth factors absent in vitro. Transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, but not fibroblast growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, or vascular endothelial growth factor, increased FAK levels in Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells. Epithelial immunoreactivity to TGF-β and phospho-Smad3 was also higher near the ulcers, varying in parallel with FAK. The TGF-β receptor antagonist SB431542 completely blocked TGF-β-induced Smad2/3 and p38 activation in IEC-6 cells. SB431542, the p38 antagonist SB203580, and siRNA-mediated reduction of Smad2 and p38α prevented TGF-β stimulation of both FAK transcription and translation (as measured via a FAK promoter-luciferase construct). FAK397 levels were directly related to total FAK protein expression. Although gut epithelial motility is associated with direct inhibition of FAK protein adjacent to mucosal wounds, TGF-β may increase FAK protein near but not bordering mucosal ulcers via Smad2/3 and p38 signals. Our results show that regulation of FAK expression may be as important as FAK phosphorylation in critically influencing gut epithelial cell migration after mucosal injury. (Am J Pathol 2008, 173:385–399; DOI: 10.2353/ajpath.2008.070729)
protein and FAK activation and enhances cell adhesion.\textsuperscript{17} Furthermore, inhibition of FAK activation via transfection of FRNK, a nonphosphorylatable, truncated FAK, decreases adhesion and wound healing in 293 nonmalignant colon cancer cells exposed to gastrin-releasing peptide.\textsuperscript{18} Activated FAK\textsuperscript{397} levels vary with differentiation and cell migration in Caco-2 and HT-29 human colon cancer cells.\textsuperscript{19} In leukocytes, however, FAK overphosphorylation was associated with cessation of motility.\textsuperscript{20} In summary, modulating either FAK activity or abundance may influence and serum-deprived for 6 hours were exposed to TGF-\textbeta (1 ng/ml; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, and Sigma) in medium containing 0.5% fetal bovine serum for 1 hour before lysis. Preliminary dose response studies had demonstrated that this concentration of TGF-\textbeta was optimal for FAK induction (not shown). For pharmacological signal inhibition, cells were pretreated for 1 hour with either the TGF-\textbeta receptor I (ALK5) antagonist, SB431542 (0.5 \textmu mol/L; Tocris, Ellisville, MO), or the p38 inhibitor, SB203580 (10 \textmu mol/L; EMD Biosciences, Gibbstown, NJ), dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (0.1% final volume). Control cells were treated with equal volumes of DMSO. Signal activation was quantitated by Western immunoblot.

**FAK Expression**

To monitor FAK expression in response to growth factors, Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells were grown to 60 to 70% confluence before serum starvation (24 hours for Caco-2 cells and 6 hours for IEC-6 cells). The cells were exposed to basic FGF (5 ng/ml + 10 U heparin, EMD Biosciences), PDGF-BB (2.5 ng/ml; Oncogene Science, Cambridge, MA), TGF-\textbeta1 (1 ng/ml, Sigma) or VEGF (5 ng/ml, EMD Biosciences) in medium containing 0.5% fetal bovine serum for 24 hours before lysis. In some experiments, TGF-\textbeta signals were inhibited by pretreatment with their receptors.\textsuperscript{33} We therefore further hypothesized that growth factors released at ulcer sites \textit{in vivo}, but absent \textit{in vitro}, might cause this increase in FAK protein levels near but not precisely at the ulcer border not identified \textit{in vitro} in migrating intestinal epithelial cells. Of the growth factors we examined \textit{in vitro}, only TGF-\textbeta increased FAK protein expression in both Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells. Indeed, TGF-\textbeta immunoreactivity also co-localized with FAK immunoreactivity in human gut mucosal ulcers as well as expanding intestinal epithelial IEC-6 rat cell monolayers, supporting a role for TGF-\textbeta in FAK protein induction. Additional studies further bolstered this model, demonstrating that TGF-\textbeta stimulates FAK expression via Smad and p38 MAP kinase pathways in IEC-6 cells.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells and Cell Culture**

IEC-6 cells, originally derived from rat jejunum,\textsuperscript{34} were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD) and maintained as per their recommendations. Human colon cancer Caco-2\textsubscript{expt} cells were originally obtained from Dr. Michelle Peterson.\textsuperscript{35,36} All cells were propagated in tissue culture flasks, but were studied in dishes precoated with type I collagen (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) as previously described.\textsuperscript{37}

**Growth Factor Treatment**

**TGF-\textbeta Signal Activation**

For these experiments, IEC-6 cells grown to 70% confluence and serum-deprived for 6 hours were exposed to TGF-\textbeta (1 ng/ml; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, and Sigma) in medium containing 0.5% fetal bovine serum for 1 hour before lysis. Preliminary dose response studies had demonstrated that this concentration of TGF-\textbeta was optimal for FAK induction (not shown). For pharmacological signal inhibition, cells were pretreated for 1 hour with either the TGF-\textbeta receptor I (ALK5) antagonist, SB431542 (0.5 \textmu mol/L; Tocris, Ellisville, MO), or the p38 inhibitor, SB203580 (10 \textmu mol/L; EMD Biosciences, Gibbstown, NJ), dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (0.1% final volume). Control cells were treated with equal volumes of DMSO. Signal activation was quantitated by Western immunoblot.
SB431542 or SB203580. In other experiments, Smad2 and p38α protein levels were decreased with specific siRNA. Changes in FAK protein abundance and activated FAK397 levels were monitored by Western blot, FAK mRNA abundance by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), and FAK promoter activity by luciferase assay using a FAK promoter-luciferase construct created as described below.

**Smad2 and p38α Protein Inhibition with siRNA**

Rat Smad2 and p38α (MAPK14) SMARTpool and non-targeting (NT1) duplexes were purchased from Dharmacon (Dallas, TX). siRNA was introduced into IEC-6 (100 nmol/L) with a mix of Oligofectamine and Plus Reagent in OptiMEM by a slight modification of the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). After 6 hours, the OptiMEM was supplemented with an equal volume of 2× fetal bovine serum medium and the cells allowed to expand for 24 hours before serum starvation for 6 hours and treatment with 1 ng/ml of TGF-β1 in medium with 0.5% fetal bovine serum for a further 24 hours. At that time, cells were lysed for Smad2, p38α, total p38, and total FAK/FAK397 protein level analysis by Western immunoblot.

**Western Immunoblot**

For Western blotting, cells were collected in lysis buffer (20 mmol/L sodium phosphate, 50 mmol/L NaCl, 5 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate, pH 7.4, supplemented with 1 mmol/L phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride, 1 mmol/L Na3VO4, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, and 1 μg/ml aprotinin). After centrifugation, supernatant protein concentrations were measured by the BCA method (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Equal protein samples were resolved by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and electrophoretically transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. The following primary antibodies were used: 1) for FAK expression and activation, total FAK (BD Transduction, San Jose, CA), or FAK clone 4.47 (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY), FAKY397 (Biosource International, Camarillo, CA), and α-tubulin (EMD Biosciences) as control; 2) to ascertain Smad2 and p38α inhibition by siRNA, Smad2/3, and Smad2 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, and Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, respectively), p38α and total p38 antibody (Cell Signaling) and α-tubulin or GAPDH (Biosign, Saco, ME) antibodies as control; and 3) for signal activation, a pSmad3 antibody that recognizes Smad2 and Smad3 phosphorylated at serines 423/425 and phospho-p38 antibody (both from Cell Signaling). To prevent skewing of the data because of problems with incomplete stripping, duplicate samples were analyzed for the total and activated forms of FAK, p38, and Smad and each normalized to the corresponding α-tubulin or GAPDH control. After incubation with the appropriate secondary antibody, the protein bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Health Care, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). Imaging and analysis of band density were performed on a Kodak 440CF Image Station (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY). All exposures used for densitometric analysis were within the linear range of the system.

**Luciferase Assay for FAK Promoter Activity**

To assemble the FAK-promoter-luciferase construct we first obtained a human genomic DNA-BAC clone from BACPAC Resources (Children’s Hospital of the Oakland Research Institute, Oakland, CA) with an insert containing the human FAK promoter, exon 1, and intron 1 sequences as indicated in the GenBank data base. The BAC plasmid was cut with Sacl and Stul (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) releasing a fragment 1.65 kb in size that was subcloned into the pBluescript II SK (+) vector (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) for amplification. The pBSK-FAK-promoter clone was subsequently cut with Narl, end-filled, and then cut with Kpnl (New England Biolabs) to produce a 1.3-kb fragment that was subcloned into a pGL2 Basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI) to generate the FAK-promoter-luciferase construct used in the dual luciferase reporter assay System (Promega). The 1.3-kb fragment was sequenced using T3 and T7 primers (New England Biolabs) to verify that it matched the FAK genomic sequence in GenBank (not shown).

**RT-PCR for FAK Expression**

RT-PCR for FAK was performed as described previously. Briefly, RNA was isolated from control and TGF-β-treated Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells with the Qiagen Total RNA isolation kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) with digestion of DNA with DNase I. Complete digestion was tested for by standard PCR with primers for the control 18S gene (5'-CGCG-TACCATCCTCAAGGAA-3' and 5'-GCTCGAATTCGCGGGCT-3'; Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA). The FAK primer pairs were designed with the help of the MIT Prime3 online primer designer protocol from the human FAK gene sequence. The primers: 5'-ATTGTCGGCTGGAATGTRCT-3' and 5'-GCTGAGGTAAAACGTCGAAAA-3' (IDT) yielded a 167-base product. RT-PCR was performed on the cDNA template transcribed from total RNA extracted from Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells with the TaqDNA polymerase kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) and 10 mmol/L DNTP Mix (Invitrogen). Identity of the FAK product was confirmed by sequencing. RT-PCR was performed with SYBR Green (Invitrogen) using the ABI 7700 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Relative FAK mRNA levels were determined by the comparative C_t method (Applied Biosystems User Manual) after ascertaining that the amplification efficiencies of the FAK and 18S control primers were similar (K = 0.147).

**tFAK, FAK397, TGF-β, and Phospho-Smad3 (pSmad3) Immunohistochemistry in Human Gastric and Colonic Ulcers**

A SNOMED search of archival stomach and colonic ulcers, followed by microscopic examination of the hema-
tyr2, 45 for FAK 397, 58 for TGF-


to archival tissue. Studies Subcommittee for this research involving access of the requirement for informed consent from our Human Fisher Scientific, Hanover Park, IL). We obtained a waiver (Sigma) and mounting with Geltol (ThermoShandon, before light counterstaining with Mayer's hematoxylin ing was continuously monitored for maximal development and amino-ethyl carbazol chromogen (all from the extensive washing in PBS, the slides were incubated with


g/mL, R&D Systems), phospho-Smad3 antibody (Cell Signaling), or cytokeratin control antibodies (AE1, AE3, and broad spectrum; Zymed, South San Francisco, CA). Rabbit or mouse γ-globulin (2 μg/mL, Sigma and Invitro- gen, respectively) was used as a negative control. After extensive washing in PBS, the slides were incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody, streptavidin-peroxidase and amino-ethyl carbazol chromogen (all from the VectaStain universal rapid kit, Vector). Intensity of stain- ing was continuously monitored for maximal development before light counterstaining with Mayer's hematoxylin (Sigma) and mounting with Geltol (ThermoShandon, Fisher Scientific, Hanover Park, IL). We obtained a waiver of the requirement for informed consent from our Human Studies Subcommittee for this research involving access to archival tissue.

A total of 224 slides were examined: 89 were immuno- stained for tFAK, 45 for FAK397, 58 for TGF-β, 85 for pSmad3, and 5 for cytokeratins as controls. In addition, numerous negative controls using mouse or rabbit iso- type serum in place of the primary antibodies were per- formed simultaneously with immunostaining of specific marker proteins. Immunoreactivity was evaluated by ex- amining each slide for areas of mucosal epithelium ad- jacent to ulcerated regions. A single reviewer assigned scores on a scale of 0 to 4 for each of the three defined ulceration zones. A score of zero meant that no immuno- staining was observed; a score of 1 indicated mild but discernible immunostaining; a score of 2 represented moderate immunostaining, distinguishable from a score of 1 or 3; whereas a score of 3 meant more intense immunostaining, distinguishable from a score of 2 or 4; a score of 4 was assigned to maximum staining intensity, exemplified by cytokeratin controls. Some slides showed multiple areas acceptable for evaluation; all such areas were scored.

FAK, FAK397, and TGF-β Immunocytochemistry in Expanding IEC-6 Cell Monolayers

To assess FAK and TGF-β protein abundance in motile cells, confluent cell monolayers were scraped with a razor blade and the cells were allowed to migrate over the wound edge for 24 hours. The cell monolayers were fixed with PLP (periodate, lysine, formaldehyde), and then permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X on ice. Staining was accomplished using blocking serum, secondary antibody, streptavidin-peroxidase, and chromogen (3,3’-diaminobenzidine) from the Vectastain universal rapid kit (Vector Laboratories). Cells were incubated with specific primary antibodies for total FAK (Upstate), activated tyrosine-phosphorylated FAK397 (Biosource), and TGF-β (R&D Systems) for 2 hours at 37°C. After optimal color development, cells were counterstained with hematoxylin and mounted in aqueous medium (Geltol).

Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM; differences between groups were evaluated with the Student’s t-test. Western blot results are shown as percentage of DMSO/NT1 control but P values were calculated using the raw data, the densitometric ratio of the signal of interest to its specific control. Scored differences in immunostaining density of a given marker protein in the three ulcer zones of all slides were statistically as- sessed by χ² analysis. Statistical significance was set a priori at P < 0.05.

Results

Total and Active FAK Immunoreactivity Are Lower at the Immediate Healing Edge of the Epithelium but Greatly Increased in Epithelium Adjacent to Benign Human Ulcers

We reported previously that immunoreactive total and activated FAK protein abundance is lower in motile Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells.5,22,31 We now sought to deter- mine whether FAK protein at the edge of healing gut mucosa decreases similarly in vivo. We stained for FAK and activated FAK397 in 30 benign human gastric and ischemic and malignant colon ulcers. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate representative examples.

In gastric ulcers, immunohistochemical staining for to- tal FAK (Figure 1, top) demonstrated a consistent pattern with three zones: zone 1: very low FAK immunoreactivity in the more flattened squamous-appearing epithelial sheet actually migrating over the ulcer bed; zone 2: con- siderably increased immunoreactivity in the epithelium adjacent to the ulcer; and zone 3: a zone of moderate FAK immunoreactivity within the epithelium distant from the ulcer (×100 magnification). Parallel stains for FAK397 displayed the same pattern (Figure 1, bottom left), with markedly lower levels in the squamous migrating epide- nial sheet (zone 1) but higher levels of FAK397 near the ulcer zone (zone 2), suggesting that levels of activated FAK may reflect protein abundance under these conditions. Zone 3 lies beyond the margin of the micrograph in this higher magnification (×200) and is not shown. Cyto- keratin staining intensity in migrating cells was not differ- ent from that behind the migrating front (Figure 1, bottom right).

Colonic epithelium also expresses FAK. Figure 2 depicts a representative nonmalignant colonic ulcer.
Although somewhat lower in relative intensity from that observed in gastric ulcers, the distribution of FAK immunoreactivity was similar in colonic ulceration to that seen in gastric ulcers. We observed decreased total FAK immunoreactivity at the edge of the ulcer (zone 1) and much greater intensity in the epithelium adjacent to the ulcer (zone 2) (Figure 2A, top; $\times 100$). Magnification ($\times 200$) clearly demonstrates the zone 1 to zone 2 transition in this micrograph (Figure 2A, bottom left). Although more distant from the colonic ulcer than in gastric tissue but similar in intensity, zone 3, the area behind the colonic ulceration, exhibits relatively low staining for total FAK (Figure 2A, middle). The cytokeratin control (Figure 2A, bottom right) shows equal intensity of staining throughout. Again, FAK$^{397}$ immunostaining patterns (Figure 2B) paralleled those seen with total FAK with moderate staining at the ulcer margin (zone 1) and higher expression in the epithelium adjacent to the ulcer zone (zone 2) (Figure 2B, top; $\times 100$) and a similar transition between zones (zone 2, bottom left; $\times 200$). Staining was nearly absent in zone 3 distal to the ulcer (Figure 2B, bottom right; $\times 200$).

Figure 1. Benign human gastric ulcers exhibit three zones of FAK and phospho-FAK (FAK$^{397}$) immunoreactivity in vivo. Top: In this representative ulcer, expression of total FAK (purple/brown stain) is extremely low immediately adjacent to the ulcer bed (zone 1), greatly elevated at the healing edge (zone 2), and again much lower in the distant mucosa (zone 3). Bottom left: Similarly, immunostaining for phosphorylated FAK$^{397}$, lower at the tip of the migrating epithelial sheet (zone 1), is increased in the healing mucosa (zone 2). Staining is most prominent at the basal aspect of the cells where they contact the basement membrane matrix and where FAK would be expected to be functional. Bottom right: Intensity of staining in the cytokeratin control was similar throughout. Original magnifications: $\times 100$ (top); $\times 200$ (bottom).

Figure 2. Colonic ulcers show a similar pattern of FAK immunoreactivity. A: Intensity of staining for total FAK shows an increasing gradient from low near the ulcer bed (zone 1) to high in the region adjacent to it (zone 2) (top). This transition (arrow) is demonstrated more clearly when the area is magnified (bottom left). Also magnified, zone 3, away from the ulcer bed, exhibits very low staining for total FAK (middle) while staining for the control cytokeratin remains even throughout (bottom right). B: FAK$^{397}$ immunostaining is similarly reduced at the ulcer margin (zone 1) with a transition to higher staining in the adjacent zone (zone 2). Again, the transition zone is clearly seen under magnification (zone 2, bottom left), and FAK$^{397}$ staining is reduced in cells distal to the ulcer (bottom right). In both the total and FAK$^{397}$ micrographs, zone 3, although not contiguous to zones 1 and 2 was taken from the same section but removed slightly because mucosal sloughing obscured the transition between zones 2 and 3. Arrows point to enlarged transition areas in both the total and FAK$^{397}$ immunostains. Hematoxylin was used as a counterstain in both the gastric and colonic ulcer micrographs, representative of 41 human ulcers. Original magnifications: $\times 100$ (A, B, top); $\times 200$ (A, B, bottom).

Semiquantitative scoring of staining density in 67 IFAK and 43 FAK$^{397}$ total gastric and colonic samples yielded the following median scores: 0 (no immunoreactivity) for zone 1, 2 (moderate immunoreactivity) for zone 2, and 1 (mild immunoreactivity) for zone 3. $\chi^2$ analysis, both overall and pair wise between zones 1 and 2 and 2 and 3, and
TGF-β Increases FAK Protein Levels in IEC-6 and Caco-2 Cells

Several growth factors are released and activated at mucosal wound sites. Therefore, we next tested the possibility that one or more of these factors may be responsible for the elevated FAK immunoreactivity observed in epithelial cells immediately adjacent to the migrating front. Levels of immunoreactive FAK were quantitated by Western blot in lysates of Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells exposed to bFGF, PDGF-BB, TGF-β1, and VEGF for 24 hours. Of these growth factors, only TGF-β had any effect on FAK levels in IEC-6 (Figure 3A, bars on left) and Caco-2 (Figure 3A, bars on right) cells (P < 0.05 for each, n = 3 to 5). To determine whether the increased levels of tFAK resulted in greater FAK activation, membranes were also probed with an antibody specific for activated FAK. As shown in Figure 3B, the extent of FAK activation 24 hours after exposure to TGF-β paralleled the increase in tFAK over control in both IEC-6 (145 ± 6% for IFAK and 138 ± 9% for FAK397, P < 0.02 for each, bars on left) and Caco-2 (207 ± 26% and 196 ± 16% of control for IFAK and FAK397, respectively, P < 0.01, bars on right) cells. The average ratio of the FAK397 to IFAK signal density was near 1 for each cell type (0.96 ± 0.08 for IEC-6 and 1.02 ± 0.23 for Caco-2 cells, n = 4), suggesting that the level of activated FAK is directly dependent on the amount of total FAK present under these in vitro conditions.

TGF-β and pSmad3 Immunoreactivity Parallel that of FAK in Human Colonic Ulcers

TGF-β expression has been reported to be increased in healing ulcers, but differential immunoreactive TGF-β distribution in the ulcer area has not been investigated to date. In another representative colonic ulcer, the pattern and distribution of TGF-β staining (Figure 4A) mirrored that of FAK seen previously: very light staining at the migrating front (zone 1), and increasing staining immediately behind the front (zone 2) that fades at a distance from the ulcer (zone 3). For comparison with Figure 4A, immediately adjacent sections of this ulcer were immunostained for total FAK (Figure 4B) and activated FAK397 (Figure 4C); staining distribution is similar in all three panels. To ascertain that the TGF-β visualized in the ulcer region was functional, both gastric and colonic ulcers were immunostained for pSmad3, a downstream effector of TGF-β signals. In the colonic ulcer shown, pSmad immunoreactivity followed the pattern seen with FAK, FAK397, and TGF-β: lightest staining at the ulcer margin (zone 1), darker staining in the cells near the ulcer (zone 2), and intermediate staining away from the ulcer (zone 3) (Figure 5, top). The transition area from zone 1 to zone 2 is shown at higher magnification in the lower left panel and a mouse isotype-negative control in the right lower panel of Figure 5. Similar localization patterns for TGF-β and pSmad 3 were observed in gastric ulcers (data not shown). Scored median values for 41 TGF-β immunostains were 0 for zone 1, 2 for zone 2, and 1 for zone 3 and 0, 1, and 0 for the corresponding zones in 85 specimens scored for intensity of pSmad3 immunoreactivity. Overall and pair-wise differences were highly significant (P < 0.0001 by χ²; Table 1). Although these results do not identify the source of the TGF-β, such co-localization would be consistent with the model that TGF-β induces epithelial cell FAK expression during ulcer healing.

TGF-β Increases FAK Immunoreactivity in Motile Cells of Expanding IEC-6 Cell Monolayers

Intestinal epithelial motility itself decreases FAK expression in vitro. To determine whether exogenous TGF-β can alter FAK immunoreactivity in motile cells in vitro, we first determined whether TGF-β immunoreactivity was lower in...

**Table 1.** Immunohistochemistry Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Zone 1</th>
<th>Zone 2</th>
<th>Zone 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total FAK</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0/0–1</td>
<td>2/1–2</td>
<td>1/0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAK397</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0/0–1</td>
<td>2/1–3</td>
<td>1/0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0/0–2</td>
<td>2/0–3</td>
<td>0/0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSmad3</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0/0–1</td>
<td>1/0–2</td>
<td>0/0–2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

zones 1 and 3 showed these differences to be highly significant (P < 0.0001). Score modes and ranges are shown in Table 1.
motile cells and then examined FAK immunostaining intensity in TGF-β-treated IEC-6 cells migrating across a linear wound. As reported previously, FAK immunoreactivity was much lower in the cytoplasm of untreated cells that had migrated across the wound edge than in those behind it (Figure 6, top left). TGF-β immunoreactivity was also lower in the cells at the migrating front (zone 1), higher intensity in zone 2, and lower intensity distal to the ulcer (zone 3). For comparison, total FAK immunostaining in an immediately adjacent section reveals the same low-high-lower pattern of staining intensity in epithelial cells bordering the ulcer (B), and FAK397 immunoreactivity is similarly low in the ulcer zone and elevated beyond it (C). Original magnifications: ×100 (A, C), ×200 (B).

Figure 5. The pattern of colonic epithelial cell phospho-Smad3 (pSmad3) immunoreactivity mirrors that of FAK and TGF-β. Top: Immunoreactivity for pSmad3 is low immediately adjacent to the ulcer bed (zone 1), elevated at the healing edge (zone 2), and intermediate in intensity in the distant mucosa (zone 3). Bottom left: Higher magnification of the transition area from zone 1 at the tip of the migrating epithelial sheet to the healing mucosa (zone 2). Bottom right: A mouse isotype control showing lack of color development in epithelial cells. Original magnifications: ×100 (top, bottom right), ×200 (bottom left).

TGF-β Enhances FAK Promoter Activity and FAK mRNA Expression

We next sought to determine whether TGF-β increases FAK protein at the transcriptional level. The reported sequence of the FAK promoter and upstream region contains several known TGF-β-responsive transcriptional binding sites (Ap-1, Sp-1, p53, among others) and possible Smad binding elements. Both Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells transfected with a pGL2-FAK promoter luciferase construct exhibited an ~1.5-fold increase in luciferase activity. Luciferase activity was normalized to the Renilla control; results are expressed as percentage of the respective nontreated control (n = 4, P = 0.007 for each; Figure 7A). To further confirm these findings, RNA was extracted for RT-PCR from both Caco-2 and IEC-6 cells treated for 6 hours after TGF-β treatment. As shown in Figure 7B, FAK mRNA levels, as a ratio of the 18S control, were significantly higher after exposure to 1 ng/ml of TGF-β in IEC-6 cells. Similar results were obtained after 6 hours. In Caco-2 cells, mean C_T was significantly lower in cells exposed to TGF-β (13.06 ± 0.8 versus 18.17 ± 1.9 in controls, P = 0.037, n = 5; data not shown), reflecting the greater mRNA abundance in the treated cells. Thus, FAK protein levels in intestinal epithelial cells appear to be regulated at the transcriptional level by either motility or TGF-β treatment.
TGF-β-Stimulated Smad2/3 Phosphorylation Is Inhibited by the TGF-β Receptor I (ALK5) Blocker SB431542 but Not by SB203580, a p38 Inhibitor

Several signaling pathways are activated after binding of TGF-β to its receptor.42–45 We initially examined the Smad pathway, which is most classically identified with TGF-β action. After 1 hour, TGF-β induced Smad 2/3 activation (∼15-fold, \( P = 0.0004 \)) as assessed by Western blotting using an antibody that recognizes serine 423/425 phosphorylation on both Smad2 and Smad3 (Figure 8). A 1-hour pretreatment with 0.5 \( \mu \)mol/L SB431542 not only abolished this rise but also significantly lowered (to 30 ± 5%; \( P = 0.0001 \)) basal Smad2/3 phosphorylation when compared to the DMSO control. The p38 inhibitor SB203580 had no effect on Smad2/3 phosphorylation in response to TGF-β, indicating that it did not have a nonspecific effect at the 10 \( \mu \)mol/L dose used46 and suggesting that p38 activation is not required for Smad2/3 phosphorylation in IEC-6 cells. In this, and all subsequent figures, densitometric analysis is shown in the bars and a typical Western blot is shown above the bars.

TGF-β-Stimulated p38 Activation Is Blocked by either SB431542 or SB203580

We then sought to determine whether activation of p38, a MAP kinase known to mediate the transcriptional effects of TGF-β in a number of cell types,47–49 may play a role in FAK induction in our cells. p38 phosphorylation was significantly enhanced (223 ± 51%, \( P = 0.05, n = 4 \)) after 1 hour of exposure to TGF-β, an effect that was totally abolished by TGF-β receptor inhibition (Figure 9A). SB203580 inhibits p38 activity at a level subsequent to its phosphorylation but inhibition can be documented by assessing HSP27 accumulation as a surrogate.50–52 As shown in Figure 9B, the TGF-β-stimulated increase in HSP27 accumulation (189 ± 21% versus DMSO control, \( P = 0.006, n = 5 \)) was completely negated by either the TGF-β receptor blocker or the specific p38 inhibitor.

Smad or p38 Signal Inhibition Abolishes the TGF-β-Mediated Increase in FAK Protein Expression/Activation and FAK Promoter Activity

We next examined the effects of chemical Smad and p38 signal inhibition on tFAK and FAK397 expression in IEC-6 cells. As expected, tFAK protein levels were significantly increased (135 ± 9% as compared to the DMSO control, \( P = 0.001, n = 13 \)) by TGF-β after 24 hours. FAK397 levels were enhanced by 150 ± 11% (\( P = 0.006, n = 10 \)) over control. Exposure to either SB431542 or SB203580 completely blocked the increases in tFAK and FAK397 (Figure 10, A and B). Although changes in FAK397 levels were essentially parallel to those of tFAK, treatment with SB431542 led to consistent, nonsignificant elevations in FAK397 (Figure 10B). This activation was not seen with SB203580.
or when either Smad2 or p38α protein expression was lowered with specific siRNA (data not shown), suggesting that this may be a response to TGF-β receptor blockade. To determine whether inhibition occurs at the level of the FAK promoter, cells transfected with the pGL2-FAK promoter luciferase construct were exposed to TGF-β, with and without SB431542 or SB203580 pretreatment. Cells transfected with the empty pGL2 vector exhibited little luciferase activity (Figure 10C). Luciferase activity, expressed as a ratio of the control Renilla activity, was higher in cells containing the FAK promoter construct. These levels were further increased by exposure to TGF-β (P = 0.008, n = 4). Luciferase activity was no different from non-TGF-β-treated control in cells treated with either inhibitor. Thus, TGF-β-mediated increases in FAK expression depend on TGF-β receptor activation and activation of at least one of its downstream effectors, p38 MAPK.

Lowering Smad2 or p38α Protein Abundance with Specific siRNA also Inhibits the TGF-β Effect on FAK Protein Expression/Activation

Chemical inhibitors may have nonspecific effects. Also, although Smad2/3 phosphorylation is one of the primary events associated with TGF-β receptor activation, lack of FAK induction with TGF-β receptor I kinase inhibition only suggests that the Smad signaling pathway is involved because p38 activity is also decreased by such inhibition. Thus, to ascertain more specifically the contributions of Smad and p38 signaling to TGF-β induction of FAK, we blocked expression of each with the appropriate siRNA. Smad2 siRNA lowered Smad2 expression to 23 ± 5% (P = 0.0006, n = 19) of the nontargeting (NT1) control (data not shown). This decrease completely ablated the TGF-β-mediated increase in FAK expression from 154 ± 11% of the NT1 control (P < 0.001) to 89 ± 9% of that seen in cells treated with Smad2 siRNA alone (Figure 11A). FAK397 immunoreactivity was increased by 126 ± 8% by a 24-hour exposure to TGF-β, an effect that was also blocked by siRNA to Smad2 (to 109 ± 12% of its respective control, not shown). p38α expression was lowered to 15 ± 3%, and total p38 to 27 ± 5% of NT1 levels (P = 0.0008) by p38α siRNA (data not shown). p38 protein inhibition also blocked TGF-β-induced FAK expression to 90 ± 10% of the p38 siRNA-treated control (Figure 11B) and activation (to 87 ± 17% of its respective control, not shown). The combined results of chemical and siRNA inhibition of Smad and p38 signaling suggest that each of these signaling pathways plays an important role in FAK induction in response to TGF-β.

Figure 8. TGF-β-mediated Smad2/3 activation is blocked by a TGF-β receptor antagonist but not by p38MAPK inhibition. IEC-6 cells were pretreated with SB431542 (0.5 µmol/L) or SB203580 (10 µmol/L) for 1 hour before TGF-β exposure, also for 1 hour. The TGF-β-induced rise in Smad2/3 serine phosphorylation (~15-fold, *P = 0.0001 versus DMSO vehicle-treated control) is totally abolished by SB431542. The TGF-β receptor blocker also significantly lowers basal Smad2/3 phosphorylation (**P = 0.0001). The p38 inhibitor, SB203580, has no effect on Smad2/3 activation (**P = 0.0001 versus SB203580 control). Bars represent densitometric analysis of five separate experiments; a representative Western blot is shown above. In this and subsequent figures, results are presented as percentage of control but statistics were calculated using the original densitometric ratios of the protein of interest and its respective GAPDH or α-tubulin control.

Figure 10. Smad2/3 or p38 signal inhibition abolishes TGF-β-mediated FAK protein expression. A: A 24-hour exposure to TGF-β increases immunoreactive FAK protein levels in IEC-6 cells (135 ± 9% compared to DMSO-treated controls, *P = 0.001). Pretreatment with SB431542, a TGF-β receptor blocker, or SB203580 to block p38 activation, negates this effect. B: FAK397 levels are correspondingly elevated by TGF-β exposure and similarly blocked by either SB431542 or SB203580. Bars represent densitometric analyses of 6 to 12 individual experiments, typical Western blots for total FAK or FAK397, and tubulin. C: Western blots for FAK activity. C: Luciferase activity (fold increase) with SB431542 or SB203580. Bars represent luciferase activity, normalized to that of the Renilla control and expressed as fold increase over the pGL2 empty vector (n = 4).
TGF-β Mediates FAK Protein Induction via Parallel Smad and p38 Pathways

Smad2/3 was maximally phosphorylated in response to short-term TGF-β stimulation in the presence of the p38 inhibitor, SB203580, indicating that p38 is not upstream of Smad. Lowering Smad2 expression with specific siRNA had no effect on total p38 protein levels (112 ± 15% of NT-treated control cells, n = 12). TGF-β-stimulated p38 phosphorylation was similar in NT1-treated (146 ± 15%, P = 0.002) and Smad2 siRNA-treated cells (158 ± 12%, P = 0.01) (Figure 12), suggesting that each pathway mediates the effect of TGF-β on FAK induction independently. Taken together, the data demonstrate that, although immunoreactive tFAK/activated FAK397 protein abundance is low in epithelial cells at the migrating edge of human gastric and colonic ulcers, it is higher in the area immediately adjacent to it and that this increase may be the result of TGF-β stimulation of FAK promoter activity via both Smad and p38 signaling pathways.

Discussion

Immunohistochemical examination of human gastric and colonic ulcers corroborated our in vitro findings that FAK and activated FAK397 protein levels are decreased in motile gastrointestinal epithelial cells. Furthermore, these immunostains also delineated a zone of enhanced FAK protein expression behind the migrating front and a third zone of decreasing FAK protein immunoreactivity away from the ulcer. TGF-β and pSmad3, a downstream index of TGF-β function, exhibited identical immunostaining patterns. Indeed, TGF-β stimulated FAK expression in Caco-2 and IEC-6 intestinal epithelial cells and in migrating cells of IEC-6 wounded monolayers via Smad and p38 signaling. Although it would be interesting to repeat these studies in primary human intestinal epithelial cells, these are difficult to maintain in culture under sterile conditions, but both human Caco-2 cells and non-transformed rat IEC-6 cells are commonly used to model normal human intestinal epithelial biology.

Cells modify focal adhesions in response to changes in composition, two- and three-dimensional structure, and physical forces of the extracellular matrix environment. Cells during dynamic tissue conditions such as wound healing, cells may remain spread with clustered integrins but without mature focal adhesions to adapt to changing environmental conditions. FAK is a key component of focal adhesions and its activation is known to regulate focal adhesion maturation and disassembly and thus, cell adhesion and motility. Results of this study support data previously published.

Figure 9. TGF-β receptor antagonism or p38MAPK inhibition blocks TGF-β-stimulated p38 activation. A: p38 phosphorylation, significantly increased by TGF-β (*P = 0.05 versus DMSO control, n = 4), is abolished by pretreatment with SB431542. SB203580, known to block p38 activity at a step beyond phosphorylation, has no effect (**P = 0.001 versus SB203580). HSP27 accumulation was therefore used as a surrogate index of p38 activation/inhibition. B: TGF-β-stimulated accumulation of HSP27 (*P = 0.006 versus DMSO control, n = 5) is completely abrogated by either SB431542 or SB203580. Densitometric analysis is shown in the bars, representative Western blots are shown above.

Figure 11. Lowering Smad2 and p38α protein expression with specific siRNA also abolishes TGF-β-mediated FAK protein expression. A: Introduction of Smad2 siRNA into IEC-6 cells decreased Smad2 protein expression to 23 ± 5% of that in the nontargeting siRNA (NT1) treated control (P = 0.0003, not shown). This reduction in Smad2 protein completely inhibits the TGF-β-induced increase in FAK expression. B: p38α siRNA decreased p38α protein (to 15 ± 3%) and total p38 protein (to 27 ± 5%) levels compared to the NT1 siRNA-treated controls (P = 0.008 versus NT1, not shown). Lowering p38α expression also blocks the TGF-β effect. Densitometric analysis of total FAK, expressed as a ratio of α-tubulin and as a percentage of the NT1 control is shown in the bars. Representative Western blots for FAK, Smad2, and p38α and their respective α-tubulin or GAPDH controls are shown above the corresponding bars.
lished by us and others\textsuperscript{31,60} that achievable levels of phosphorylated (active) FAK are dependent on the amount of total FAK available for activation. Further, the data presented above suggest a mechanism whereby epithelial cell responses to environmental challenges such as ulcer formation may be modulated by alterations in FAK protein expression that may significantly impact mucosal restitution and gastric wound healing.

Although it has been generally believed that FAK protein levels remain relatively constant and that FAK activation is most important to its function,\textsuperscript{61} several recent publications suggest that this may not always be the case. FAK expression in SW620 cells is enhanced by Ep88 (EGFR pathway substrate 8) affecting cell motility.\textsuperscript{62} In a neuroblastoma cell line, NMYC, a transcription factor important in cell survival, was shown to bind to the FAK promoter and increase FAK mRNA.\textsuperscript{63} On the other hand, ephrin-A1 down-regulates FAK in human glioma U251 cells.\textsuperscript{64} Our present and previous results demonstrate that FAK protein levels can be both down-regulated (by motility) and up-regulated (by exposure to TGF-\(\beta\)) in intestinal epithelial cells.

Growth factors are activated and active in both upper and lower gastrointestinal mucosal lesions.\textsuperscript{40} Some growth factors activate FAK. For example, EGF increased FAK\textsuperscript{397} levels and FAK at the lamellipodial edge but did not increase total FAK expression in MKN28 human gastric epithelial cells derived from gastric tubular adenocarcinoma.\textsuperscript{75} This is consistent with our observation that EGF failed to enhance FAK expression in either IEC-6 or Caco-2 cells. In myofibroblasts, TGF-\(\beta\)-stimulated FAK\textsuperscript{397} in adherent cells. This was followed by induction of fibronectin and integrin receptor expression and activity.\textsuperscript{65}

The precise localization of TGF-\(\beta\) in the gastrointestinal tract remains controversial. Some reports indicate that it is present mainly in goblet and endocrine cells in gastric tissue. Other authors suggest that TGF-\(\beta\) may be released from fibroblasts or macrophages in granulation tissue at the ulcer base.\textsuperscript{32} However, TGF-\(\beta\) may be released from fibroblasts or macrophages in granulation tissue at the ulcer base.\textsuperscript{32} However, TGF-\(\beta\), -2, and -3 mRNA have been found in mouse jejunum and colon and localized by immunohistochemistry to the villus tip in the small intestine and the surface epithelium in the colon.\textsuperscript{66,67} TGF-\(\beta\) transcripts have been documented in IEC-6 cells.\textsuperscript{68} In the rat acetic acid gastric ulcer model, TGF-\(\beta\)-\(\alpha\) levels reached a peak after 2 days by immunohistochemistry.\textsuperscript{69} but in the same model, TGF-\(\beta\) mRNA levels were reported to be elevated from days 3 to 18.\textsuperscript{70} Regardless of its source, TGF-\(\beta\) has been shown to mediate intestinal healing \textit{in vitro} (IEC-6) and \textit{in vivo} (TGF-\(\beta\)II-DN transgenic mice).\textsuperscript{71} Indeed, both TGF-\(\beta\) and its receptors are strongly expressed in tissues with well-healed ulcers \textit{via} \textit{in situ} hybridization and RT-PCR.\textsuperscript{40} Our immunohistochemistry results are consistent with the literature in that we identified TGF-\(\beta\)-immunoreactivity in epithelial cells around gastrointestinal ulcers. However, to our knowledge, this is the first report of differential, co-localized TGF-\(\beta\) and FAK immunoreactivity in different zones along the ulcer margins.

Interestingly, TGF-\(\beta\) FAK interactions have been described in a number of cells, despite their apparent disparate actions, ie, growth inhibition and apoptosis with TGF-\(\beta\) versus cell differentiation and anti-apoptosis with FAK.\textsuperscript{56,72,73} All of these processes, however, involve interaction with the extracellular matrix and may thus share elements of the same signaling pathways. TGF-\(\beta\)/FAK interactions are often related to changes in cell phenotype and/or behavior. For example, TGF-\(\beta\) stimulation of FAK\textsuperscript{397} enhances migration in keratinocytes and hepatocarcinoma cells.\textsuperscript{74,75} TGF-\(\beta\)-induced fibroblast differentiation to myoblasts was shown to require adhesion-dependent FAK activation by some investigators,\textsuperscript{73} although others have suggested that in some cases FAK effects on differentiation may be mediated by interactions with another growth factor.\textsuperscript{76} Finally, TGF-\(\beta\) acts synergistically with integrins to activate FAK and induce phenotypic changes in rat podocytes.\textsuperscript{77} All of these studies examined FAK activation whereas the present investigation focuses on FAK protein expression. However, our results show that the amount of FAK protein available to be activated may be critical to the amount of phosphorylated/activated FAK generated by an activating stimulus. Thus, motility- and TGF-\(\beta\)-modulated changes in FAK protein per se may substantially regulate the amount of active FAK and thus in turn the eventual behavior and phenotype in the healing gut mucosa.

Our results also implicate both the Smad and the p38 MAPK pathways in the transduction of the TGF-\(\beta\)-induced increase in FAK protein expression. The best-described classical TGF-\(\beta\) signaling pathway involves TGF-\(\beta\) binding to its receptor, a type I/II heteromeric serine/threonine kinase, and induction of Smad 2/3 phosphorylation. Smads have intrinsic transcription-inducing activity.\textsuperscript{42} In the basal state, Smad2/3 are found in the cytoplasm but after activation, they form a complex with Smad4 and are shuttled to the cytoplasm where they bind DNA at a number of transcription sites such as AP-1 or Sp-1.\textsuperscript{78} A specific Smad3/4 binding sequence (SBE, a CAGA motif) has also been described.\textsuperscript{79} TGF-\(\beta\) has also been reported to regulate gene expression via activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK), particularly p38,\textsuperscript{47,49,80}

The published FAK promoter and upstream sequences contain several sites, AP-1, Sp-1, and putative SBEs among others, which may transduce TGF-\(\beta\)-mediated FAK expression.\textsuperscript{41} Indeed, when cloned into a luciferase construct, the
promoter not only drove luciferase expression in response to TGF-β and in parallel to changes in FAK mRNA and protein levels but also proved sensitive to inhibition of this effect by specific TGF-β receptor I and p38 antagonists. Treatment of IEC-6 cells with TGF-β resulted in a significant increase in Smad2/3 and p38 phosphorylation that could be abolished with SB431542. This compound inhibits the activin receptor-like kinase (ALK) activity of the TGF-β receptor I and is closely related to the p38 inhibitor SB203580. Both chemicals act as competitive ATP-binding inhibitors but SB431542 is a selective inhibitor of Smad phosphorylation with an IC_{50} of 94 nmol/L whereas SB203580 only inhibits Smad phosphorylation at doses higher than required to inhibit p38. Thus, although SB203580, at the 10 μmol/L dose used here, inhibited TGF-β-stimulated p38 activation, it did not alter Smad phosphorylation. This is consistent with a previous analysis of ALK5 and p38 inhibition in vascular smooth muscle cells.82 Both compounds, however, abolished the TGF-β effect on FAK induction as assessed by Western blot and luciferase assays.

TGF-β- and p38-mediated gene expression may be either Smad-dependent or -independent, depending on the cell type studied. For instance, in renal epithelial carcinoma A498 cells Smad activation induced collagen 1α1 expression whereas p38 induced fibronectin. TGF-β enhanced fibronectin mRNA in transformed human proximal tubular epithelial HKC cells, an effect that was blocked by both the p38 inhibitor, SB202190, and the ALK5 inhibitor, SB431542 but not by Smad knockdown.81 On the other hand, in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma PANC-1 cells, TGF-β regulation of biglycan gene expression via p38 activation also required Smad activation.81 Results of the luciferase assays indicate that inhibition of either p38 or Smad signaling can abolish the TGF-β-induced stimulation of FAK expression, suggesting that each pathway plays an individual role at the level of FAK transcription. To further define the specific roles of Smad and p38 activation in the regulation of FAK protein expression by TGF-β, we lowered expression of each with specific siRNA. Reducing either Smad2 or p38α protein levels abrogated the TGF-β-induced increase in FAK protein. The fact that Smad2/3 was maximally phosphorylated in the presence of the p38 inhibitor SB203580 does argue against p38 being upstream of Smad. The possibility that Smad activation may be necessary for p38 activity was also excluded because TGF-β-stimulated p38 phosphorylation was not affected by Smad2 protein ablation. Thus, our results are consistent with reports that suggest a role for each of these signals, independently or in concert, in TGF-β stimulation of various proteins in other cells. They differ from those of Horowitz and colleagues83 who have reported that TGF-β activation of FAK was dependent on Smad but independent of p38 in myoblasts. Certainly, different cell types may exhibit different signal pathways. In addition, however, signals that activate a kinase such as FAK would not necessarily be expected to control its expression, as examined in our study, whereas signals that stimulate expression may not necessarily activate the expressed protein. Indeed, although FGF-2 was shown to up-regulate both FAK protein abundance and activation in rodent lenses, VEGF only stimulated FAK activation in cardiac myocytes.84,85

In summary, FAK seems critically regulated at the protein level in human gastric and colonic ulcers. Protein levels are low at the migrating edge, higher immediately adjacent to the leading edge, and lower further away from the ulcer. TGF-β immunoreactivity displays a similar distribution pattern, and TGF-β induces FAK expression in vitro via Smad and p38 signaling, suggesting that TGF-β may have an autocrine or paracrine effect on FAK protein abundance in vivo. The absence of TGF-β at the migrating epithelial edge may contribute to the decrease in FAK in vivo in these cells because our in vitro studies suggest that migrating intestinal epithelial cells may still be responsive to exogenous TGF-β. In zone 2, adjacent to the ulcer, FAK induction by TGF-β via Smad and p38 signaling may prevent anoikis or may induce differentiation to either a more migratory phenotype or one that is more sensitive to activation by other locally produced factors (Figure 13).86,87 Modulation of FAK, Smad, and p38 signaling might therefore be expected to modulate TGF-β effects, but this is beyond the scope of the current investigation and awaits future study.

Re-epithelialization, a process initially dependent on cell migration or restitution is essential to gastrointestinal ulcer healing. Migrating epithelial cells in the vicinity of the ulcer maximize their response to the changes in their environment by modifying focal adhesion structure and function.43 Because FAK is an important component and regulator of focal adhesions, alterations in FAK protein levels, driven by motility and/or exposure to growth factors such as TGF-β, may contribute to this plasticity and, in concert with FAK activation, significantly impact the biology of mucosal restitution and wound healing.
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