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Alcohol-induced liver damage is a major burden for most societies, and murine studies can provide a means to better understand its pathogenesis and test new therapies. However, there are many models reported with widely differing phenotypes, not all of which fully regenerate the spectrum of human disease. Thus, it is important to understand the implications of these variations to efficiently model human disease. This review critically appraises key articles in the field, detailing the spectrum of liver damage seen in different models, and how they relate to the phenotype of disease seen in patients. A range of different methods of alcohol administration have been studied, ranging from ad libitum consumption of alcohol and water to modified diets (eg, Lieber deCarli liquid diet). Other feeding regimens have taken more invasive routes using intragastric feeding tubes to infuse alcohol directly into the stomach. Notably, models using wild-type mice generally produce a milder phenotype of liver damage than those using genetically modified mice, with the exception of the chronic binge-feeding model. We recommend panels of tests for consideration to standardize end points for the evaluation of the severity of liver damage—key for comparison of models of injury, testing of new therapies, and subsequent translation of findings into clinical practice. (Am J Pathol 2016, 186: 748–760; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2015.12.003)

The burden of alcohol and related liver disease is significant, in terms of both human and financial costs. In 2010, 7.2 deaths per 100,000 individuals globally were caused by alcohol-related cirrhosis, equating to 0.9% of deaths from all causes.1 The economic burden is much more difficult to calculate, and the World Health Organization estimated that in 2003, the total tangible cost of alcohol to European Union society was 125 billion euros, with nontangible costs (value placed on pain, suffering, and lost life because of social and health harms caused by alcohol) amounting to 150 to 760 billion euros.2 Although alcohol excess is a major cause of cirrhosis, as many as 60% of patients presenting with alcohol-induced liver damage also have evidence of concomitant acute alcoholic hepatitis (AAH).3 As the most dramatic presentation of alcohol-induced liver injury, AAH has a much higher short- and long-term mortality, approaching 20% and 50%, respectively, despite current medical therapy.4,5 The understanding of its pathogenesis, and hence development of novel therapies, has been, in part, hampered by the lack of relevant, reproducible, animal models of AAH.6

Although there are limitations of using animal models to investigate alcoholic liver injury, this approach does provide research opportunities not found in in vitro or clinical studies. Animal models allow control over multiple pathogenetic factors, such as the environment, the contribution of specific pathways, and the amount of alcohol consumed, which are difficult to replicate in human studies. Mice that are transgenic for key inflammatory and metabolic...
disease-modifying genes are widely available, and confer the ability to assess the impact of regulatory processes on the induction of alcoholic liver injury (Table 1). Although transgenic rats are available, their use has been restricted by a limited knowledge of their reproductive system and more difficult in vitro embryo manipulation, which is needed to develop transgenic breeds. Therefore, in this review, we will critically appraise the published models of acute murine alcohol-induced liver injury, paying particular attention to the parameters used to define the extent of liver damage, to highlight advantages of those models with the greatest promise for new treatment options.

**Phenotype of Disease**

Alcohol induces a broad spectrum of liver injury in patients, ranging from steatosis to more florid inflammation and hepatocyte necrosis, and finally to fibrosis and the development of hepatocellular carcinoma. The particular phenotype induced is determined, in part, by the quantity and duration of alcohol exposure and patient-specific factors. A variety of models have been used by researchers to model this spectrum, with each using a different method of alcohol administration to produce a wanted pattern of liver injury. In general, however, although many of the available murine models reproduce some of the early stages of liver injury, the development of fibrosis and cirrhosis is harder to replicate and commonly requires an injury additional to alcohol exposure. Thus, although steatosis has been achieved by ad libitum feeding for between approximately 1 week to several months, most models require a second insult alongside an extended course of alcohol administration to induce fibrosis, such as either concomitant genetic manipulation (Table 2) or the addition of a second chemical insult, such as carbon tetrachloride. Recently, a hybrid model of a solid chow high in cholesterol and saturated fat, along with intragastric feeding of a liquid high-fat/ethanol diet, has been developed by Lazaro et al. The intragastric feeding model was first described by Tsukamoto et al. and involves complex surgery to place a tube through the skin into the rodent’s stomach. This tube is then used to administer feed and alcohol to the mouse. It has been shown to produce higher BALs (between 100 and 500 mg/dL in rats) and a more severe liver injury than ad libitum feeding methods. The hybrid model produces a liver injury consistent with chronic alcoholic steatohepatitis—with a marked transaminase increase, and significant steatosis with inflammation and occasional neutrophil infiltration present. The addition of weekly alcohol binges induces an increased neutrophil infiltration with clustering seen around dead and fat-loaded hepatocytes. This provides a better representation of an AAH injury (Figure 1).
BiP (heavy chain immunoglobulin binding protein/Grp78) knockout, ad libitum high-fat diet + 4 g alcohol/kg body weight\(^{10}\)

CHOP knockout, intragastric infusion of high-fat diet + 18 g/kg per day increased to 29 g/kg per day of alcohol for a total of 4 weeks\(^{11}\)

Cyp2e1 knockout, intragastric infusion of high-fat diet + 14 g/kg per day increased to 28 g/kg per day of alcohol for a total of 4 weeks\(^{12}\)

Gsta4-4/Ppara double knockout, ad libitum 5% ethanol/LdC for 40 days\(^{13}\)

Hfe knockout, high-fat diet, and ad libitum water + alcohol at 20% v/v for 8 weeks\(^{14}\)

Hif1a knockout mice, ad libitum 6% ethanol/LdC diet for 4 weeks\(^{15}\)

Lipin1 knockout, ad libitum low-fat Ldc + alcohol for 4 weeks\(^{16}\)

Nrf2 knockout, ad libitum Ldc + 2.1% v/v alcohol for 3/7, 4.2% for 3/7, followed by 6.3% alcohol until the mice became moribund\(^{17}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic manipulation</th>
<th>Function of key gene</th>
<th>Liver injury indexes</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BiP (heavy chain immunoglobulin binding protein/Grp78) knockout, ad libitum high-fat diet + 4 g alcohol/kg body weight(^{10})</td>
<td>BiP mediates the unfolded protein response that reduces protein translation, enhances protein folding, and increases degradation of unfolded proteins. This serves as a model of ER stress with alcohol added to study the development of HCC.</td>
<td>Increased ALT to approximately 320 U/L in BiP KO mice compared with approximately 45 U/L in WT mice. Also showed increased lipid accumulation and increased rate of HCC.</td>
<td>HCCs were only found in the KO mice, suggesting that more than one insult needs to be present to induce carcinogenesis. Alcohol-induced stress was age related, with younger animals more resistant to stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOP knockout, intragastric infusion of high-fat diet + 18 g/kg per day increased to 29 g/kg per day of alcohol for a total of 4 weeks(^{11})</td>
<td>CHOP is a transcriptional regulator involved in apoptosis caused by ER stress.</td>
<td>WT and transgenic mice had significant changes in steatosis score, liver triglyceride levels (fivefold increase in WT but 50% decrease in CHOP(^{-/-}) mice), and ALT (112 U/L). CHOP(^{-/-}) mice had no apoptosis.</td>
<td>As a response to ER stress, CHOP up-regulates and causes apoptosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp2e1 knockout, intragastric infusion of high-fat diet + 14 g/kg per day increased to 28 g/kg per day of alcohol for a total of 4 weeks(^{12})</td>
<td>Cyp2e1 (cytochrome P450) is induced in the hepatocyte by ethanol and appears to correlate with the level of liver injury. Gsta4-4 is a detoxification enzyme that eliminates toxins via glutathione conjugation. Ppar-(\alpha) is a hormone receptor that regulates hepatic inflammation and lipid metabolism.</td>
<td>Produces increased hepatic injury with significantly increased inflammatory response, necrosis, and fibrosis.</td>
<td>Shows that CYP2E1 has a minimal role in early alcohol-induced liver injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gsta4-4/Ppara double knockout, ad libitum 5% ethanol/LdC for 40 days(^{13})</td>
<td>Model of iron overload consistent with hemochromatosis.</td>
<td>Produces profound steatohepatitis, significant fibrosis, and increased apoptosis.</td>
<td>Shows the importance of lipid peroxidation products mediating the early progression of ALD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hfe knockout, high-fat diet, and ad libitum water + alcohol at 20% v/v for 8 weeks(^{14})</td>
<td>HIF is a master controller adapting to hypoxia by controlling expression of hundreds of genes.</td>
<td>Increased steatosis, serum, and liver cholesterol and triglycerides.</td>
<td>Highlights a combined effect of iron overload, alcohol, and a high-fat diet cause significant steatosis, inflammation, oxidative stress, and apoptosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hif1a knockout mice, ad libitum 6% ethanol/LdC diet for 4 weeks(^{15})</td>
<td>Lipin-1 is a vital regulator of lipid metabolism.</td>
<td>Produces an ALT of 90 U/L with fibrosis in Lipin1 knockout mice after 4 weeks of feeding. An ALT of 3000 U/L and severe steatosis with increased number of Kupffer cells.</td>
<td>HIF1a induction provides protection against alcohol-induced fatty liver disease and modulating its activity may provide therapeutic potential. Suggests a role for treatments to enhance lipin-1 as a treatment for ALD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipin1 knockout, ad libitum low-fat Ldc + alcohol for 4 weeks(^{16})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrf2 knockout, ad libitum Ldc + 2.1% v/v alcohol for 3/7, 4.2% for 3/7, followed by 6.3% alcohol until the mice became moribund(^{17})</td>
<td>Nrf2 is a transcription factor that protects against oxidative stress.</td>
<td>Dose of ethanol and ADH deficiency are key factors in initiation and progression of alcoholic fatty liver disease. The ADH KO mice produced higher BALs(^{9}) and consequently increased hepatic lipid vacuolization. Deer mice and this model can be used to study chronic alcoholic liver injury.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(table continues)*
The length of high-fat diet administration has been investigated by Chang et al.,42 who fed mice for either 3 days or 3 months of high-fat diet with a single gavage of alcohol on the final day of feeding. This model increased ALT/AST in both models, with higher levels in the 3-month model. Increases in infiltrated neutrophils and serum free fatty acids were also seen; however, the activation markers of macrophages were only slightly increased by the alcohol binge compared with the model without the alcohol. This seems to partially correlate with the human picture of alcoholic hepatitis.

The diet composition is also important. Charles Lieber and Leonore deCarli developed their eponymously named diet to accentuate the liver injury that could be induced by alcohol administration, and it has since been shown that a diet that is high in saturated fats can reduce hepatic lipid accumulation, whereas a diet containing polyunsaturated fats promotes liver injury. You et al.43 found that adiponectin mediated the protective effect of saturated fats, which may provide therapeutic options that should be explored. However, recently, Chen et al.44 showed that, although saturated fats can reduce hepatic fat deposition, they increased fibrotic changes within the liver. More importantly, most murine studies follow a pair-fed diet protocol. This involves matching the amount of diet without alcohol that is provided to control mice to the amount of diet and alcohol that the main study mice consumed in the previous 24 hours. This provides a control group to show that the liver injury is because of the alcohol and not the high-fat diet. Ultimately, logistical issues may determine choice of regimen. ad libitum models require considerably less expertise and specialist equipment, whereas the more involved intragastric feeding model requires metabolic cages, single mouse housing, specialist infusion equipment, and surgery to be performed by the researcher.

In wild-type (WT) mice, the severity of liver damage is closely linked to the duration and quantity of alcohol consumption, both of which are strongly influenced by the method of alcohol delivery. The ad libitum methods are limited by the mouse's appetite, whereas the intragastric feeding method is limited by the length of time the mouse can tolerate a feeding cannula in its stomach. Consequently, the duration of each model is determined by both the tolerability of the model and the level of liver injury that is required. Thus, although there are advantages to using WT mice in such studies, the extended duration of alcohol exposure needed to generate more severe liver injury may be challenging, highlighting the potential advantages of using transgenic mice that have an increased susceptibility to the injurious effects of alcohol.

**Models of Alcohol-Induced Liver Damage Using Genetically Modified Mice**

To date, multiple different regulatory and metabolic genes have been knocked out to assess their impact on the process of liver injury (Table 1). Some of these affect normal pathways of ethanol metabolism or metabolism of harmful alcohol.
by-products of ethanol, such as the Nrf2 knockout mouse that is susceptible to oxidative stress caused by alcohol breakdown products. Others, such as the Hfe knockout mouse, which results in hepatic iron overload, augment the injurious effect of alcohol. Some of the more commonly used models with profound phenotypes are described in greater detail below, with a more comprehensive summary of models in Table 1.

Nuclear factor–erythroid 2–related factor 2 (Nrf2) protects cells against xenobiotic and oxidative stress, such that mice with this gene knocked out incur a severe, acute form of acute liver injury after alcohol ingestion. Mice are typically given 3 days of the LdC diet for acclimatization purposes, and then alcohol is added at increasing concentrations of 2.1%, 4.2%, and 6.4% v/v for 3-day blocks, respectively. This gives a total of 9 days of alcohol administration during which time significant amounts of hepatocellular damage were reported, as demonstrated by marked increases in ALT and development of clinical signs. The Nrf2−/− mouse thus provides a good model to study severe acute liver injury, as seen in the setting of AAH, where oxidative stress is an important factor. However, the high level of mortality reported necessitates close monitoring of mice. No evidence of liver fibrosis was presented in this model, which potentially limits its utility for both logistic and ethical reasons.

Other groups have targeted hepatic lipid homeostasis to exacerbate alcohol-induced liver injury. Lipin-1 is a vital regulator of lipid metabolism, acting as an enzyme in the triglyceride synthesis pathway and a transcriptional coregulatory protein that is highly up-regulated in alcoholic fatty liver disease. Hu et al demonstrated that administering alcohol to mice with deletion of lipin-1 led to the rapid onset of severe liver injury, as indicated by levels of serum ALT and inflammatory cytokines, and progression to alcoholic steatohepatitis. In this study, mice were fed the low-fat LdC diet, with and without ethanol for 4 weeks. WT mice typically developed only mild liver injury, whereas the lipin-1 knockout mice showed increased serum levels of ALT, AST, and free fatty acids, as well as microvesicular and macrovesicular steatosis, suggesting that lipin-1 may exert a protective role by limiting inflammation and promoting efficient lipid storage and metabolism.

Nishiyama et al also investigated fat deposition. They used a hepatocyte-specific hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α–null mouse to show that HIF-1 has a protective role that reduces accumulation of lipids in the liver after ingestion of an alcohol/LdC liquid diet. They were also able to show that HIF-1α suppresses sterol response element binding protein-1c activity and that is at least part of the reason that when HIF-1α is removed, steatosis increases. However, there are conflicting reports regarding the role of HIFs. Nath et al also used a HIF-1α–null mouse and found a reduced injury in this knockout mouse, whereas Ni et al achieved similar results using a HIF-1β–null mouse. The reasons for these contrasting results are not clear, although different housing conditions or development of sub-strains within the knockout populations has been suggested.

HIFs have been implicated in the tissue repair response within the liver. They may be involved in regulating the angiogenic effect of hepatic macrophages that induce liver sinusoidal endothelial cell proliferation and migration. This appears to be a key step in liver repair after an acute injury. Macrophages are likely to be the key to fully

### Table 2: Established Routes for Administration of Alcohol to Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>Liver histology findings</th>
<th>Change in serum ALT</th>
<th>Practical/resource issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ad libitum water + ethanol</td>
<td>Histologically normal liver or mild steatosis only</td>
<td>Minimal or no increase in ALT up to 160 U/L</td>
<td>Easy to deliver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad libitum Lieber-DeCarli diet + ethanol</td>
<td>Histological evidence of mild to moderate microsteatosis and macrosteatosis only</td>
<td>Variable increase in ALT from a minimal increase up to 350 U/L with long-term feeding</td>
<td>Easy to deliver, special diet needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute gavage</td>
<td>Histological evidence of mild steatosis and inflammatory injury only</td>
<td>An increase of between 30 and 50 U/L</td>
<td>Skill needed for gavage technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad libitum + gavage</td>
<td>Histological evidence of neutrophil infiltration into the liver. Steatosis with occasional areas of necrosis, but no fibrosis</td>
<td>Increase of up to 270 U/L</td>
<td>Skill needed for gavage technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intragastic infusion</td>
<td>Histological evidence of severe steatosis, inflammation, necrosis, and hepatic stellate activation</td>
<td>ALT up to 450 U/L</td>
<td>Specialist surgical skill needed, extensive amount of specialist equipment, and intensive monitoring needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALT, alanine aminotransferase.
understand the process of tissue repair in the liver. It has been shown that initially proinflammatory (Ly6Chigh) macrophages can switch to a Ly6Clow phenotype important in tissue repair after phagocytosis of apoptotic hepatocytes.

Further characterization of the mechanisms driving tissue repair in alcoholic liver injury is needed to identify targets for potential therapies.

Other pathways that have been targeted in the attempt to augment hepatic injury after alcohol exposure include peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-α (PPARα). PPARα is a nuclear hormone receptor and transcription factor that regulates hepatic inflammation and lipid metabolism. The role of this receptor is to stimulate fatty acid catabolism under fasting conditions, leading to the hypothesis that free fatty acid production associated with alcohol consumption would normally activate PPARα.17 The PPARα knockout mouse was given an ad libitum LdC liquid diet with 4% ethanol for up to 6 months, resulting in the development of an inflammatory cell infiltrate and fibrotic changes that were not seen in alcohol-fed WT mice. This was confirmed by both Picrosirius red and α-smooth muscle actin staining, and demonstration of induction of genes involved in fibrosis, including Thbs1, Colla1, and Colla2. PPARα transgenic mice with additional genetic alterations provide further options to investigate liver injury. The glutathione S-transferase A4-4/PPARα mouse has been described recently.13 Glutathione S-transferase A4-4 is an enzyme that protects against natural and environmental toxicants through glutathione conjugation, which protects against harmful aldehydes, including 4-hydroxynonenal. Ronis et al13 have used this double knockout in an ad libitum LdC/5% ethanol model to show the central role lipid peroxidation plays in mediating progression of alcohol-induced noninflammatory liver injury, stellate cell activation, matrix remodeling, and fibrosis.

Other alternatives to transgenic knockout mice include transfecting mice with adenoviruses to silence the expression of a specific gene, which reduces, but does not completely turn off, gene expression. The Postic group used this method to show that silencing the carbohydrate-responsive element-binding protein prevents alcohol-induced steatosis in an acute model of injury.52 Another strategy is to genetically alter mice to overexpress a certain gene. Butura et al53 used this method to investigate the role of the Cyp2e1 gene. They inserted approximately 20 extra copies of the gene into mice. They found that overexpression of this gene aggravates the liver injury with increased levels of oxidative stress.

**Fibrosis**

The generation of alcohol-induced fibrosis in mouse models is more challenging than steatosis and inflammation and often requires a second injurious element in addition to alcohol ingestion. Bataller and Gao54 have published a comprehensive review on liver fibrosis in alcoholic liver disease.

---

**Figure 1** Schematic representation of stages of liver injury induced by different alcohol administration methods. Methods of alcohol administration are indicated by blue bars, and pathological stage appears in the red boxes. The schematic also shows how various transgenic alterations or key genes (black text) can be used to induce a specific stage of liver injury and where certain cytokines are involved in developing a stage of injury. Thus, the identified targets show how it is possible to choose a transgenic mouse depending on what stage of injury is required for investigation. ADH, antidiuretic hormone; HSC, hepatic stellate cell; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α.
There are a variety of nonalcohol models that are used to induce liver fibrosis, with one of the most commonly used being carbon tetrachloride. This involves repeated i.p. injections of carbon tetrachloride over a period of weeks, although there are no studies directly comparing the liver fibrosis induced by carbon tetrachloride with alcohol. Nagy and colleagues were able to induce liver fibrosis by administering carbon tetrachloride and moderate alcohol intake at a level not usually producing a significant liver injury. This proves the additive effect of the two agents through common pathways. Roychowdury et al55 compared a high ethanol feeding regimen against a moderate ethanol regimen with the addition of carbon tetrachloride. They demonstrated that steatosis, inflammation, and apoptosis were more prevalent in the alcohol-only group compared with the group that also received carbon tetrachloride, which had more prominent fibrosis.

Chiang et al37 exposed mice to 2% alcohol ad libitum for either 2 days, 2 weeks, or 5 weeks, alongside administration of carbon tetrachloride, which resulted in characteristic hepatic extracellular matrix deposition and a change in sinusoidal architecture. Genetically modified mice deficient in the HFe (haemochromatosis protein) iron transporter, which causes accumulation of hepatic iron, develop a marked steatohepatitis and fibrosis on administration of a high-fat diet with ethanol.14 Versions of this dietary protocol have also been used by other groups combined with other genetic backgrounds. For example, Li et al17 treated Ppara knockout mice with a 4% ethanol/LdC diet, and after 4 to 6 months, reported fibrosis with a small amount of collagen deposition in perivenular and pericellular regions. In common with other models, a major drawback of this study was the length of time required for fibrosis to develop, as well as the relatively modest amount of fibrosis seen. Notably, other groups have demonstrated that similar or longer regimens are not able to induce significant fibrosis in WT mice, necessitating further study of specific transgenic animals and alternate models of alcohol delivery.54

**Mouse Variables That Affect Experimental End Points**

There are practical benefits in using a model where mice freely consume alcohol in large quantities. However, as noted above, most mouse strains are not inclined to voluntarily ingest alcohol, and this means that modified liquid diets, gavage, or intragastric infusion is often required. There are marked strain differences in murine attraction to alcohol, and one of the more comprehensive studies compared the consumption of unsweetened alcohol, sweetened alcohol, and sweetened water in 22 in-bred strains of mouse.56 The C57Bl/6J strain of mice freely consumed the most alcohol, drinking >10 g/kg per day compared with <2 g/kg per day consumed by DBA/2J mice. Moreover, it has been shown that C57Bl/6 mice would consume a diet containing a higher concentration of alcohol than other strains of mice.57 Patterns of alcohol consumption over time were also explored, and notably, mice with restricted daily access to alcohol consumed similar quantities to mice that had unlimited 24-hour access, with both groups having similar BALs.58,59 It is not clear why the C57Bl/6 mice are able to consume higher concentrations of alcohol, but there are parallels with consumption in humans, where there is a marked difference in susceptibility to alcohol-induced liver damage across ethnic groups.60

Sex is also an important factor in development of alcohol-induced liver injury. Female patients are more susceptible to developing more advanced alcoholic liver damage after both acute and chronic administration,61 and similarly female rodents develop more florid liver injury than males after exposure to ethanol.62 There are several different theories pertaining to this sex-specific difference, including different alcohol elimination rates, alcohol pharmacokinetics, and estrogen levels. Frezza et al63 were the first to show that in humans, females have decreased levels of gastric antiulcer hormone, which lessens the first-pass effect on alcohol and increases the bioavailability of ingested alcohol when compared with males. Female mice develop less liver fibrosis when exposed to other types of chronic liver damage, such as carbon tetrachloride injury or hepatitis C virus infection, suggesting that estrogens may have a protective effect in some disease settings.64,65 However, it still needs to be ascertained whether this also applies to alcoholic liver injury; however, it does appear that treatment with estrogen in females lacking ovaries reduces hepatic steatosis.66 Also, there are significant sex-specific differences in the response to alcohol at a proteomic level. Wang et al67 found that 90 protein levels were altered by either male or female mice undergoing chronic alcohol feeding, and this included several oxidative stress-related proteins. This is consistent with studies in rats that have found that oxidative stress is a possible reason for increased liver injury in females after ethanol feeding.68

Alcohol consumption is different from alcohol metabolism, but female mice seem to have an equal or increased consumption compared with males. Female mice will ingest more alcohol than their male counterparts if given free access to alcohol, although when access is restricted to a defined time period, their intake is similar.69 The females also achieve higher BALs after ingesting an equal amount of alcohol as male mice.69 This would also seem to mirror the human setting in which women need a lower alcohol intake to achieve equal blood levels to men (National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, [http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/womensfact/womensfact.htm](http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/womensfact/womensfact.htm), last accessed September 14, 2015). Also, women who drank a moderate amount of alcohol were at higher risk of developing alcoholic liver disease than men who drank a similar amount.70,71 All of the above results underline the
importance of sex in induction of an alcoholic liver injury and reinforce the need to use mice of a single sex in murine models to achieve consistent results.

Age is also an important variable when investigating the effects of alcohol ingestion. Vogt and Richie72 showed that glutathione levels take longer to recover after administration of alcohol in mice aged 24 months compared with mice aged 12 months. This would appear to be replicated by other studies.73,74 Glutathione is involved in the detoxification of alcohol, and this result would seem to indicate that older mice are less able to metabolize repeated alcohol doses. Further work is required to establish whether this results in increased toxicity and an increased liver injury. However, Ramirez et al75 found an increased liver injury in mice >24 months when compared with younger mice, although this may be because of decreased rates of autophagy in the older mice. It is not clear whether age reduces a human’s ability to metabolize alcohol. Wynne et al76 showed that age did not diminish the activity of alcohol dehydrogenase in the livers of male or female healthy volunteers. However, studies suggest that both age and ethnicity influence the severity of alcoholic liver disease in humans,77 and decline in mitochondrial function combined with accumulated oxidative damage in older individuals may render older livers more susceptible to damage from alcohol.78 Thus, age is a variable that should be investigated more fully in the context of alcoholic hepatitis.

Comparison of Mouse Models to Human AAH

Inflammation of the liver, caused by excess alcohol intake, occurs after sustained excessive intake and consists of a combination of signs, symptoms, and histological findings.79 Clinically, it causes a rapid onset of jaundice with fever, ascites, and proximal muscle loss that may be accompanied by an enlarged and tender liver. Unfortunately, none of these parameters can be used to demonstrate the relevance of a mouse model to human disease. In patients, serum ALT/AST, bilirubin, and international normalised ratio (INR) are commonly increased and liver histology will reveal the presence of hepatocyte ballooning, which represent amorphous eosinophilic inclusion bodies, called Mallory-Denk bodies,30 and a high number of infiltrating neutrophils. Bilirubinostasis is common and associated with susceptibility to infection80 and poor survival.82 Because of the long history of alcohol excess, steatosis and fibrosis are also commonly seen in human livers.

The level of neutrophil infiltration in the murine liver has been suggested as a measure of how representative a model is of the picture of AAH seen in patients. However, a mouse model that induces a neutrophil infiltration similar to that seen in AAH has been elusive.83 Moreover, greater neutrophil infiltration is associated with better survival in humans82 and, thus, may not be a sensible therapeutic target. Two older models that have been used in this context are the 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine and griseofulvin models. These produce ballooning of hepatocytes and accumulation of Mallory bodies but do not involve the administration of alcohol to the mice.

Lamle et al1 were able to induce inflammation within the livers of the Nrf2−/− mice that received LD and ethanol diet, which was characterized by histological finding of Kupffer cell and neutrophil infiltration of the liver. The chronic binge-alcohol feeding method also seems to induce a liver injury that is reasonably similar to human AAH, and Bertola et al31 describe increased serum ALT/AST, tumor necrosis factor, and hepatic neutrophil infiltration in this model, albeit without describing the other characteristic histological findings, such as hepatocyte ballooning found in human AAH.

Human alcoholic hepatitis (AH) commonly occurs after repeated, long-term alcohol ingestion with an acute flare-up producing the inflammation. It may be that our mouse models do not reflect this longer-term ingestion and, thus, do not produce the same phenotype of disease. This is supported by the findings of cirrhosis in human biopsy specimens, which is not normally reflected in the mouse models. An elevated bilirubin is not reproduced by any of the mouse models, which may indicate that this feature is linked to the more chronic features of the disease, although how this occurs still needs further clarification.

In the search for murine model/human disease crossover, Xu et al84 identified murine hepatic Fsp27 and the human homolog Cidec. Both genes are elevated in correlation within a setting of AAH, and Fsp27 is thought to be up-regulated by carbohydrate-responsive element-binding protein and Ppar-γ. Interestingly, Cidec up-regulation was found to correlate with the degree of hepatic steatosis, severity of disease, and mortality of the AH patients. Xu et al84 were able to show that knocking out Fsp27 in the mouse ameliorated the liver injury seen. This suggests that Cidec may be a therapeutic target that could reduce the level of liver injury sustained by patients with AH.

Standardization of End Points for Use in Models of Alcohol-Induced Liver Injury

The literature includes a range of different readouts and experimental end points that are used to quantify the nature and severity of alcohol-induced liver injury. This diversity can be useful for understanding pathogenesis but is challenging when trying to compare the phenotype of liver damage reported across different models. Moreover, there is value in tailoring the readouts to the focus of a particular study or clinical discipline, whether it is generation of steatosis, inflammation, fibrosis, or cancer. Certain analyses are useful in most studies, such as serum ALT levels, whereas other tests will be specific for the question being asked, such as the amount of fibrosis, as indicated by


### Table 3  Summary of Suggested Tests According to Phenotype of Liver Damage Being Established

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype of liver injury</th>
<th>Blood analyses</th>
<th>Histological assessment</th>
<th>Flow cytometry</th>
<th>PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steatosis</td>
<td>Serum AST/ALT, triglycerides, free fatty acids, and cholesterol</td>
<td>H&amp;E and oil red O staining</td>
<td>Fatty acid synthase</td>
<td>Chrebp/Srebpb, TNFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute alcoholic hepatitis</td>
<td>Serum AST/ALT, markers of synthetic function (PT or bilirubin), TNF, IL-6, and IL-10</td>
<td>CD45, CD68, CD11b, and MPO staining</td>
<td>Identification of inflammatory cells (ie, CD3, CD4, CD8, CD19, and CD45)</td>
<td>Sod1, Stat3, GRP78, and GRP94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>Van Gieson or Picrosirius red staining</td>
<td>α-SMA</td>
<td>Col1, MMP, and TIMP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; MPO, myeloperoxidase; PT, prothrombin time; SMA, smooth muscle actin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

\[\text{\(\alpha\)}\text{-smooth muscle actin. Details of some of the more common experimental parameters is given below and summarized in Table 3.}\]

### Overall Assessment of Murine Behavior and Well-Being

Murine behavioral patterns are often monitored with a view to animal welfare, although their assessment with standardized scoring systems can provide important information on the effect of alcohol on the mouse. Scoring systems monitor a variety of parameters, including a mouse’s coat, activity, breathing, movement, and feces/urine production. Performed reliably, such scoring systems have the potential to provide objective information on the severity of illness in mice, thus providing a censorable end point for experiments, whether they be inducible or noninducible, and can ethically and physiologically be performed on live animals.

### Biochemical Assessment of Liver Function

In the setting of severe liver injury, the most robust assessment of a model should include measurement of parameters of liver synthetic function, such as prothrombin time and serum bilirubin, glucose, and albumin levels. These provide important information on the severity of injury, and can be performed on peripheral blood samples while models are ongoing, thus allowing for the rigorous assessment of potential new therapies. However, because mice have approximately 50 to 60 mL/kg of circulating blood (approximately 1.5 mL for a 25-g mouse) (National Center for the Replacement Rararoar Mouse: decision tree for blood sampling, [http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/mouse-decision-tree-blood-sampling](http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/mouse-decision-tree-blood-sampling), last accessed September 14, 2015), there are limitations on the number of blood tests that can ethically and physiologically be performed on live animals.

### Assessment of Liver Damage and Hepatocyte Death

Liver damage, as opposed to function, can be assessed in a variety of ways, ranging from the measurement of serum ALT/AST to scoring of liver histology. Serum ALT/AST are commonly measured in studies and provide a standardized measurement of liver damage. This is generally used to compare the extent of liver damage across studies using different models and different strains of mice, although there is a strain-dependent difference in susceptibility to injury. For example, Mizuhara et al. have shown that ALT levels vary significantly between C57Bl/6 and BALB/c mice after induction of liver injury with concanavalin A. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of liver sections provides valuable information on the extent of tissue necrosis, inflammation, and steatosis, and TUNEL staining can allow quantification of the amount of apoptosis. Histological analysis for the presence of hepatocyte ballooning and the presence of Mallory bodies by ubiquitin staining is of particular relevance in the setting of AAH, whereas the analyses of superoxide dismutase 1 and malondialdehyde may provide useful insights into the level of oxidative stress during acute liver injury.

### Assessment of Liver Steatosis

Although hematoxylin and eosin staining gives a qualitative indication as to the extent of steatosis, quantitative assessment can be performed using Oil Red O staining of liver sections and digital imaging or morphometric analysis alongside quantification of hepatic triglycerides and lipids. The liver/body weight ratio can also provide an indication of the extent of steatosis, although it can be confounded by concomitant liver necrosis. More detailed analysis of steatosis can also include analysis of key molecules in pathways contributing to its development, such as sterol response element binding proteins, which are involved in cholesterol and fatty acid biosynthesis.

### Assessment of Liver Inflammation

Immunohistochemical staining of liver provides data on the extent and composition of liver-infiltrating inflammatory

\[\text{\(\text{IL-6, and IL-10}\)}\]
cells, which can be complemented by flow cytometric analysis of resident immune cells from liver cell digests. For example, neutrophil infiltration in models of alcoholic hepatitis has been assessed using both immunohistochemical staining and flow cytometric detection of Ly6G-positive cells in liver digests. Cell digest analysis can provide detailed quantitative information on the composition of the liver infiltrate and determination of the activation status of any infiltrating cells. This can also be supplemented with analysis of cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor, IL-6, and IL-10, from serum and liver tissues at message and protein levels to provide useful information on the level of inflammation and the impact of any therapeutic intervention. For example, in humans, IL-6, IL-8, tumor necrosis factor, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 have all been implicated in neutrophil infiltration in patients with alcoholic hepatitis, whereas in mice, IL-4 appears to promote neutrophil survival and hepatitis.

Assessment of Liver Fibrosis

Standardized assessment of liver fibrosis should include morphometric analysis of fibrotic areas by Picrosirius red or Van Gieson staining, real-time quantitative PCR for Col1 transcripts, and biochemical assays of fibrosis, such as hepatic hydroxyproline quantification. Useful additional insights can be gained by studying staining for activated hepatic stellate cells using α-smooth muscle actin and transcription levels of matrix metalloproteinases and their tissue inhibitors.

Additional Mechanistic Studies

Existing mouse models are useful in replicating human disease but, as discussed above, they have limitations. One interesting area that could be expanded on in the future is the use of genome-wide association studies to identify human pathways/molecules involved in alcoholic liver injury. Current results from these studies have helped identify an allele that has an association with alcoholic liver injury. Other studies have identified specific genes that have a role in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver injury, such as osteopontin. There is potential to expand on this work to identify further genes that put individuals at risk of developing severe alcoholic liver injury. This clinical information could be used to generate new transgenic mice to investigate pathways involved in alcohol metabolism, help future refining of animal models, and discover new treatments for alcoholic liver disease.

Thus, future mechanistic studies may consider useful biomarkers to identify individuals at risk of experiencing alcoholic liver injury. Manna et al. used metabolomics to show that indole-3-lactic acid and phenyl lactic acid are potential biomarker candidates. However, microarray data have identified that serum insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 could provide an easily measured biomarker for early detection of alcohol-induced liver injury. The Szabo group reported that miRNAs may serve as biomarkers that can differentiate between hepatocyte inflammation and injury. They found that different miRNAs can be elevated by alcoholic, drug-induced, or inflammatory liver disease.

Conclusion

Murine models of alcoholic liver disease are an invaluable tool that can be used to investigate the whole spectrum of alcohol-induced liver damage encountered in the human population. Murine models have several advantages that allow researchers to investigate the full time course and specific mechanisms of the disease in more depth than is possible from human studies. It is clear that before commencing any mouse model work, the human liver injury feature to be replicated must be identified. When this is known, a specific mouse model can be chosen by selecting a transgenic mouse, the alcohol administration method, and the duration/amount of alcohol required to replicate that clinical picture. However, researchers should exert caution and ensure that factors such as sex, age, and strain of mice are carefully considered. This is vital to ensure the mouse liver injury mirrors that seen in patients and, thus, provides a robust means in which to test new pathophysiological mechanisms or therapeutic agents.
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