ANIMAL MODELS

Saturated and Unsaturated Dietary Fats Differentially Modulate Ethanol-Induced Changes in Gut Microbiome and Metabolome in a Mouse Model of Alcoholic Liver Disease
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Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) ranks among major causes of morbidity and mortality. Diet and crosstalk between the gut and liver are important determinants of ALD. We evaluated the effects of different types of dietary fat and ethanol on the gut microbiota composition and metabolic activity and the effect of these changes on liver injury in ALD. Compared with ethanol and a saturated fat diet (medium chain triglycerides enriched), an unsaturated fat diet (corn oil enriched) exacerbated ethanol-induced endotoxemia, liver steatosis, and injury. Major alterations in gut microbiota, including a reduction in Bacteroidetes and an increase in Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria, were seen in animals fed an unsaturated fat diet and ethanol but not a saturated fat diet and ethanol. Compared with a saturated fat diet and ethanol, an unsaturated fat diet and ethanol caused major fecal metabolomic changes. Moreover, a decrease in certain fecal amino acids was noted in both alcohol-fed groups. These data support an important role of dietary lipids in ALD pathogenesis and provide insight into mechanisms of ALD development. A diet enriched in unsaturated fats enhanced alcohol-induced liver injury and caused major fecal metagenomic and metabolomic changes that may play an etiologic role in observed liver injury. Dietary lipids can potentially serve as inexpensive interventions for the prevention and treatment of ALD. (Am J Pathol 2016, 186: 765–776; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2015.11.017)
number of recent clinical and preclinical studies, alcohol intake and alcohol-induced liver injury are associated with qualitative (dysbiosis) and quantitative (bacterial overgrowth) alterations of gut microbiota. The molecular mechanisms by which the altered gut microbiota contribute to ALD are not well understood. Alcohol-mediated changes in the gut microbiota facilitate disruption of gut barrier integrity, resulting in increased intestinal permeability to bacteria-derived products, which eventually contributes to the development of ALD. Bacteria overgrowth may enhance ethanol production and metabolism with subsequent high concentrations of acetaldehyde (product of ethanol degradation), which is known to affect intestinal intercellular junctions. Mice that were protected from intestinal bacterial overgrowth and dysbiosis had decreased alcohol-induced endotoxemia and liver disease. Antibiotic treatment to sterilize the gut, as well as prebiotics or probiotics administration to normalize the gut microbiota community, attenuates alcohol-induced intestinal barrier leakage and decreases endotoxemia and hepatic injury in rodents. The link between the dietary fat and alcohol in ALD is increasingly recognized. Several studies, including those from our laboratory, have found that dietary unsaturated fat exacerbates alcohol-mediated intestinal permeability, liver steatosis, inflammation, and injury. These pathologic effects were prevented or blunted by dietary saturated fat, suggesting a significant contribution of specific dietary lipids in ALD development and progression. However, the exact mechanism(s) underlying these effects remains to be established. In the present study, we evaluated the response of the gut microbiota (in terms of composition and metabolic activity) to ethanol and different types of dietary fat in an experimental animal model of ALD. The results of the study contribute to understanding the complexity of the interplay among the diet, gut microbiota, and ethanol-induced fatty liver disease.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Treatments

C57BL/6N male mice obtained from Harlan Laboratories, Inc. (now Envigo RMS, Indianapolis, IN) were pair-fed control or ethanol-containing (5% ethanol v/v) diets ad libitum for 8 weeks (Figure 1A). Mice were fed a modified Lieber-DeCarli liquid diet (Research Diet, New Brunswick, NJ) that contained saturated fat (SF) or unsaturated fat (USF). The SF or USF diets were enriched in medium chain triglycerides (MCTs) and beef tallow (18:82 ratio) or corn oil, respectively (Figure 1B). The detailed dietary fatty acid composition has been described previously. Control mice were pair-fed SF or USF maltose-dextrin diets that were isocaloric with the ethanol diets. In the control group diets, the levels of protein, carbohydrate, and fat were held constant at 17%, 43%, and 40% of total energy, respectively. In the alcohol diets, ethanol (35% of total calories) was substituted for carbohydrate energy. At the end of the study period, the mice were anesthetized, and blood, liver, and intestinal tissue samples were collected. Stool samples were collected weekly throughout the study. Animals were housed in a pathogen-free barrier facility accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, and the study protocol was approved by the University of Louisville Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Blood and Liver Biochemical Analysis

Plasma endotoxin levels were measured with the limulus amebocyte lysate kit (Lanza, Walkersville, MD) according to
the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasma alanine aminotransferase and hepatic triglyceride levels were determined as described previously using commercially available reagents from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Middletown, VA).

Liver Histologic Examination and Staining

For histologic analysis, liver sections were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Tissue sections (5 μm) were prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Apoptotic cells were identified by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling assay using the ApopTag Peroxidase In Situ Apoptosis Detection kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Neutrophil accumulation in the livers was assessed by chloracacet esterase staining using a commercially available kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Macrophage infiltration was determined by F4/80 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) staining.

Fecal Metagenomic Analysis

The fecal microbial ecosystem was investigated using standard molecular techniques (16S rRNA Gene Sequencing and Analysis) as previously described. Briefly, bacterial genomic DNA was extracted from fecal samples belonging to mice from each exposure group using the MO BIO Powersoil DNA Extraction Kit (Carlsbad, CA). The V3-V5 16S rRNA gene variable regions were amplified by PCR using 454 adapter-linked and bar-coded primers 357F and 926R, as described previously. Amplicons were purified using a SPRI bead clean-up step, quantitated by picogreen assay, normalized, pooled, and then sequenced on a 454 instrument using the FLX Titanium chemistry. Filtered data were analyzed using both operational taxonomic unit and taxonomic binning of classified sequences. The Ribosomal Database Project classifier was used to identify the taxonomic classification of the sequence reads.

Fecal Metabolomic Analysis

Metabolite Sample Preparation

The fecal samples were weighed and homogenized after adding ice-cold 80% methanol at a concentration of 20 mg/mL. The homogenized samples were sonicated for 30 minutes, vortexed for 10 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 4°C for 10 minutes at 9000 x g. The supernatant (650 μL) was transferred into a plastic tube, which was then dried overnight in a centrifugal evaporator (Speed-Vac; Thermo-Fisher, Waltham, MA). The extracted metabolites were then dissolved in a 70-μL mixture of acetonitrile and N-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-N-methyltri-fluoroacetamide mixed with 1% tert-butylmethylchlorosilane. The mixture was sonicated for 1 hour followed by incubation at 80°C for 30 minutes. The samples were then transferred to gas chromatography vials for analysis. The derivatization was performed just before comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GC×GC-TOF MS) analysis.

GC×GC-TOF MS Analysis

The LECO Pegasus 4D GC×GC-TOF MS instrument (LECO Corp., St Joseph, MI) was equipped with an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and a Gerstel MPS2 autosampler (Gerstel, Inc., Linthicum, MD), featuring a LECO two-stage cryogenic modulator and secondary oven. The primary column was a 60 m × 0.25 mm 1dC × 0.25 μm 2dC, DB-5 ms GC capillary column [phenyl arylene polymer virtually equivalent to (5%-phenyl)-methylpolysiloxane]. A second GC column of 1 m × 0.25 mm 1dC × 0.25 μm 2dC, DB-17 ms [(50%-phenyl)-methylpolysiloxane] was placed inside the secondary GC oven after the thermal modulator. Both columns were obtained from Agilent Technologies. The helium carrier gas (99.999% purity) flow rate was set to 2.0 mL/minute at a corrected constant flow via pressure ramps. The inlet temperature was set at 280°C. The primary column temperature was programmed with an initial temperature of 60°C for 0.5 minutes and then was ramped at 5°C per minute to 270°C and kept for 15 minutes. The secondary column temperature program was set to an initial temperature of 70°C for 0.5 minutes and then ramped at the same temperature gradient used in the first column to 280°C accordingly. The thermal modulator was set to +15°C relative to the secondary oven, and a modulation time of PM = 2 seconds was used. The mass range was set as 29 to 800 m/z with an acquisition rate of 200 mass spectra per second. The ion source chamber was set at 230°C with the transfer line temperature set to 280°C, and the detector voltage was 1450 V with electron energy of 70 eV. The acceleration voltage was turned on after a solvent delay of 674 seconds. The split ratio was set at 25:1.

GC×GC—TOF MS Data Analysis

The GC×GC—TOF MS data were processed using instrument control software ChromaTOF version 4.50.8.0 (LECO Corp.) for peak picking and tentative metabolite identification, followed by retention index matching, peak merging, peak list alignment, normalization, and statistical significance test using MetPP software. For metabolite identification using ChromaTOF, each chromatographic peak was tentatively assigned to a metabolite if its experimental mass spectrum and a database spectrum have a spectral similarity score of ≥0.600. The retention index matching in MetPP was performed using the iMatch method with the P value threshold set at ≤0.001. The pairwise two-tail t-test and two-way analysis of variance test (where appropriate) with sample permutation were used to determine whether a metabolite had a statistically significant abundance difference between sample groups.

Statistical Analysis

Biochemical data are expressed as means ± SEM. Two-way analysis of variance followed by the Tukey
multiple-comparison test were used to evaluate differences between the experimental groups. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.01 for Windows (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).

**Results**

Characteristics of Liver Disease Caused by Ethanol and Dietary USF

Compared with SF and ethanol, long-term USF and ethanol feeding resulted in an early stage of ALD characterized by hepatic steatosis (Figure 2, A and B), liver injury with increased plasma ALT levels (Figure 2C), and elevated plasma LPS levels (Figure 2D). USF and ethanol—induced liver steatosis and injury were accompanied by increased hepatic neutrophil (Figure 3, A and B) and macrophage (Figure 3, C and D) infiltration. There were minor differences in hepatic cell apoptosis between animals fed SF and ethanol vs USF and ethanol (Figure 3, E and F). As we have previously reported, intestinal inflammation and increased gut permeability with intestinal tight junction and mucous layer alterations were the characteristics of intestinal impairment caused by USF and EtOH administration in this animal model.\(^{11,32}\) Importantly, the pathologic changes that occurred in the liver and the intestine in response to ethanol and dietary USF were not observed in mice fed ethanol and dietary SF, suggesting the differential effects of diverse types of dietary lipids on ethanol-mediated intestinal and liver injury.

Association of Ethanol and Dietary USF—Induced Liver Injury with Gut Microbiota Alterations

The gut microbiota have been increasingly recognized as a critical factor in the ALD development in mice and humans.\(^{12,15,19–21,36}\) To investigate whether the observed differences in liver injury between animals fed SF and ethanol and those fed USF and ethanol in our model were associated with the differences in the intestinal microbiota, we performed fecal metagenomic analysis. The 16S rRNA comparative sequence analysis of fecal samples revealed a clear difference in the bacterial composition between animals fed SF and ethanol compared with those fed USF and ethanol with regard to the phylum levels for Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria (Figure 4A). Specifically, mice fed USF and ethanol compared with those fed SF and ethanol had a notable decrease in Bacteroidetes (approximately sevenfold) without noticeable changes in the abundance of Firmicutes. As a result, the proportional representation of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, which is, in general, considered to be of significant relevance in the gut microbiota composition,\(^{39}\) was notably altered in mice fed USF and ethanol but not in those fed SF and ethanol (Figure 4B). Notably, neither the SF diet nor the USF diet alone had a significant effect on fecal Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes abundance. Our data suggest that under our experimental conditions a combination of ethanol and

---

**Figure 2** Effects of different dietary fat profile on liver steatosis, injury, and endotoxemia in response to chronic alcohol feeding. A: Representative images of hepatic hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. B: Liver triglyceride (TG) levels. C: Plasma alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels. D: Plasma lipopolysaccharide (LPS) levels. Data are expressed as means ± SEM. \( n = 6 \) to 7 animals per group. *\( P < 0.05 \), two-way analysis of variance, followed by the Tukey multiple-comparison test. Original magnification, ×200 (A). EtOH, ethanol; SF, saturated fat; USF, unsaturated fat.
dietary USF had a major effect on the abundance of Bacteroidetes with limited effects on Firmicutes. Furthermore, in parallel with the decrease in the abundance of Bacteroidetes, USF and ethanol feeding resulted in expansion of the gram-negative Proteobacteria and gram-positive Actinobacteria phyla. These two phyla comprised approximately 40% of the total bacterial abundance in mice fed USF and ethanol at the end of experimental protocol. Importantly, this major expansion of Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria was not observed in mice in the SF, USF, or SF and ethanol groups. Furthermore, compared with the baseline (the beginning of the experiment), 8 weeks of ethanol administration resulted in a minor increase in Firmicutes in animals fed SF and USF diets, whereas a notable decrease in Bacteroidetes and an increase in Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria occurred only in mice fed a USF and ethanol diet (Figure 4C). Hence, consistent with the recently published studies and extending existing evidence, our study indicates that the types of dietary fat play a critical role in ethanol-mediated changes of the composition of the gut microbiota.

Effects of Ethanol and SF or USF on the Fecal Metabolome

To further investigate the potential role of the intestinal microbiota in experimental ALD, we evaluated the gut microbiota metabolic functionality and activity by performing the fecal metabolomic analysis. Metabolites derived from a complex network of microbial metabolic pathways represent chemical characterization of microbiota functional status. Characterization of the function of the microbiota may be more informative and important to the status of host-microbial interaction than the specific microbial composition. In our experiment, the animals were fed diets that contained similar sources and amounts of protein and carbohydrate and different types of dietary fat. The SF diet was enriched in MCTs and beef tallow fat; most free fatty acids in this diet were octanoic (caprylic, C8H16O2) and decanoic (capric, C10H20O2) fatty acids.
acids. The USF diet was enriched in corn oil; octadecadienoic (linoleic, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and octadecenoic (oleic, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) fatty acids were the major fatty acids in this diet. At the end of experiment, we observed noticeable ethanol-induced changes in fecal metabolites in mice fed SF and USF diets compared with baseline and control animals fed SF or USF diets; significant differences in certain fecal metabolites were also seen between the SF and ethanol and USF and ethanol groups.

We examined the fecal metabolome changes that occurred in experimental animals in response to long-term ethanol exposure and different types of dietary fat feeding. Hence, we compared the metabolome status of mice fed SF or USF diets at baseline (T0, initiation of 5% v/v ethanol feeding) and at the end of 8 weeks of alcohol administration (T8). Compared with baseline, the fecal metabolome changes associated with the ethanol exposure were characterized by a significant decrease in numerous amino acids in mice fed SF and USF diets, specifically, lysine, methionine, tyrosine, phenylalanine, and serine in the SF and ethanol group and serine and glycine in the USF and ethanol group (Table 1). There also were changes in fecal lipid metabolites at the end of 8 weeks of alcohol feeding (T8) compared with baseline (T0), including a moderate increase in hexadecanoic (palmitic, C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) acid that occurred regardless of the type of dietary fat and an increase in trans-9-octadecenoic (elaidic, trans-oleic, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and octadecadienoic (linoleic, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) acids in the SF and ethanol and USF and ethanol groups, respectively. SF and ethanol feeding resulted in low abundance of several long chain fatty acids (LCFAs) (Table 2). The low levels of medium chain fatty acids (MCFAs) [octanoic (caprylic, C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and hexanoic (caproic, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)] and short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) [pentanoic (valeric, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), butanoic (butyric, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), and propionic (propionic, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)] were observed in USF and ethanol but not in SF and ethanol.

Next, we evaluated the effects of ethanol and different types of dietary lipids or their combination on the abundance of fecal metabolites at the end of the experiment (Table 3 and Figure 5, A–E). Importantly, diet had a major effect on the relative abundance of the observed changes in the fecal metabolites.

Table 1  Significant Changes of Fecal Amino Acids in Response to Ethanol and Dietary SF or USF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>CAS</th>
<th>$t_\text{R}$ (seconds)</th>
<th>$t_\text{R}$ (seconds)</th>
<th>Fold change*</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF and ethanol (T8) vs baseline (T0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Lysine</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>56-87-1</td>
<td>2478.1</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Methionine</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>63-68-3</td>
<td>2033.9</td>
<td>1.053</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Tyrosine</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;20&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60-18-4</td>
<td>2747.8</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Phenylalanine</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>63-91-2</td>
<td>2174.0</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>−2.4</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Serine</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>56-45-1</td>
<td>2054.0</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USF and ethanol (T8) vs baseline (T0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Serine&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>56-45-1</td>
<td>2054.0</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Glycine</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>56-40-6</td>
<td>1512.0</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results are presented as a ratio of the abundance between the fecal metabolites after 8 weeks of SF and ethanol or USF and ethanol feeding (T8) and baseline (T0). All molecules differ significantly ($P < 0.05$).

<sup>†</sup>$\alpha$-Serine abundance differs significantly between mice fed SF and ethanol and those fed USF and ethanol at the end of 8 weeks of the experiment ($P < 0.05$).
After 8 weeks of feeding, dietary fat differentially altered the levels of numerous free fatty acids [eg, heptadecanoic (margaric, C\textsubscript{17}H\textsubscript{34}O\textsubscript{2}) and hexadecanoic (palmitic, C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{32}O\textsubscript{2})] and the amino acid L-serine, with increased levels in animals fed SF compared with USF diets. When ethanol was added to the diets, a significant reduction in hexadecenoic (palmitoleic, C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{30}O\textsubscript{2}) acid was observed in animals fed USF diets; the levels of heptadecanoic (margaric, C\textsubscript{17}H\textsubscript{34}O\textsubscript{2}) acid were lower in mice fed SF and ethanol compared with mice fed USF and ethanol. The fecal free fatty acids might be from the diet or may be products of microbial metabolism. Because animal fed the ethanol and control diet received the same amount of food (data not shown), the differences in certain free fatty acids between SF and ethanol versus SF and USF and ethanol versus USF are most likely due to the alterations of bacterial biosynthesis resulting from the gut microbiota dysbiosis and/or bacterial overgrowth that is frequently observed in ALD. There were significant differences in the abundance of several fecal free fatty acids between the mice fed SF and ethanol and USF and ethanol at the end of 8 weeks of feeding.
experimental feeding. Among LCFAs, hexadecenoic (palmitoleic, C16H30O2) acid was significantly decreased in the USF and ethanol group compared with the SF and ethanol group. Long-term USF and ethanol administration resulted in significantly decreased levels of fecal MCFAs, including hexanoic (caproic, C6:0) and octanoic (caprylic, C8:0) acids. Remarkably, the levels of octanoic (caprylic, C8:0) acid (known to have some antimicrobial properties41) were markedly reduced (approximately 48-fold) in mice fed USF and ethanol compared with mice fed SF and ethanol. Another important observation is that the levels of butanoic (butyric, C4H8O2) acid were significantly lower in mice fed USF and ethanol compared with mice fed SF and ethanol, with a significant interaction between a diet and ethanol (two-way analysis of variance).

**Discussion**

Dietary fat and alcohol play important roles in the pathogenesis of ALD. Indeed, the alcohol-nutrient interactions may help to explain why only some individuals who drink heavily develop ALD. The protective effects of dietary SF and deleterious effects of dietary USF, primarily linoleic acid from corn oil, on ethanol-induced liver injury and intestinal barrier disruption are increasingly recognized and well documented in experimental animal models of ALD.11,21,32,42 However, the underlying mechanism(s) by which different types of dietary fat potentiate or attenuate ALD is not completely understood. Crosstalk between the gut and liver is an important determinant of alcohol-induced liver disease.46 Recent studies have implicated the alterations of gut microbiota in ALD development and progression.12,19,21 The gastrointestinal microbiome is composed of trillions of organisms,47 which perform a diverse range of metabolic functions,48 including production of numerous metabolites that serve as the nutritional sources for microbes and important messengers between the microbiota and the host.49 Therefore, the host-microbe metabolic homeostasis is essential for the host health. The focus of the current study was to evaluate the changes in the gut microbiome and metabolome that occurred in response to varying dietary fat in combination with ethanol and to evaluate how these changes affect endotoxemia and liver health in an animal model of ALD.

The most significant finding of the current study is that compared with a USF diet rich in corn oil, the diet rich in SF (mainly MCTs) prevented ethanol-induced changes in the gut microbiota and associated liver injury. Importantly, mice fed USF and ethanol developed profound liver steatosis and injury, whereas mice fed SF and ethanol were protected against ALD. Similar to our animal findings, recently published human studies reported low abundances of Bacteroidetes and higher mortality rates, whereas dietary intake of USF is associated with a higher mortality associated with alcoholic cirrhosis.55 However, the underlying mechanism(s) by which different types of dietary fat potentiate or attenuate ALD is not completely understood. Crosstalk between the gut and liver is an important determinant of alcohol-induced liver disease.46 Recent studies have implicated the alterations of gut microbiota in ALD development and progression.12,19,21 The gastrointestinal microbiome is composed of trillions of organisms,47 which perform a diverse range of metabolic functions,48 including production of numerous metabolites that serve as the nutritional sources for microbes and important messengers between the microbiota and the host.49 Therefore, the host-microbe metabolic homeostasis is essential for the host health. The focus of the current study was to evaluate the changes in the gut microbiome and metabolome that occurred in response to varying dietary fat in combination with ethanol and to evaluate how these changes affect endotoxemia and liver health in an animal model of ALD.

**Figure 5**  Effects of dietary fat, ethanol (EtOH), and their combination on changes of fecal free fatty acids after 8 weeks of experiment. A: Heptadecanoic (margaric, C17H34O2) acid. B: 9-cis-Hexadecenoic (palmitoleic, C16H30O2) acid. C: Octanoic (caprylic, C8H16O2) acid. D: Hexanoic (caproic, C6H12O2) acid. E: Butanoic (butyric, C4H8O2) acid. Results are presented as fold-changes over the saturated fat (SF) set as 1. *P < 0.05. USF, unsaturated fat.
Proteobacteria in alcoholics with dysbiosis and in patients with cirrhosis (both hepatitis B and alcohol related). In contrast, an ethanol-mediated increase in Bacteroidetes has been observed in experimental rodent ALD. However, it is difficult to compare results from different experimental studies directly because the discrepancies might be due to several factors, including different animal models of ALD (eg, ad libitum versus intragastric ethanol administration), different durations of alcohol feeding, and variability in the specific dietary components (eg, diets containing different types of fat and/or fermentable fibers). The alterations in the gut microbiota community observed in our study were associated with liver inflammation and injury, suggesting that USF and ethanol—mediated expansion of the Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria phyla plays a pathogenic role in the development of ALD. The exact mechanism(s) underlying these effects remain to be determined.

Alcohol-induced alterations of the gut microbiota are linked with endotoxemia and increased gut permeability. This might be one potential mechanism of how impaired gut microbiota contribute to ALD. It is well known that ethanol-induced endotoxemia results in activation of hepatic resident (Kupffer cells) and infiltrating macrophages, leading to over-production of proinflammatory cytokines and subsequent liver inflammation and injury. In the context of this study, the increase in Proteobacteria phylum (gram-negative bacteria) provides a possible link between the alterations of the gut microbiota and hepatic inflammation via endotoxin (LPS), a component of the gram-negative bacteria outer membrane. It is noteworthy that unlike dietary SF, USF feeding promoted ethanol-mediated reduction of commensal bacteria (eg, Lactobacillus species) that produce beneficial factors for maintaining barrier function in intestinal epithelial cells. An increase in gram-negative Akkermansia muciniphila, a bacteria involved in maintaining the intestinal mucous layer integrity, has been found in experimental ALD. We have recently reported that Corynebacterium and Alcaligenes were the most significantly overgrown bacterial genera in response to alcohol feeding among the Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria phyla, respectively. Although, alcohol-mediated changes in the gut microbiota have been extensively studied in experimental and human ALD, their causal role in the development and progression of ALD is poorly understood. Inoculation of germ-free animals with single or groups of bacteria may help to determine the possible causal role of specific bacteria in alcohol-induced liver injury.

In the present study, the differences in the microbial phyla between mice fed SF and ethanol compared with those fed USF and ethanol were associated with the noticeable changes in the fecal metabolites, including a decrease in several LCFAs, MCFAs, and SCFAs. It has been demonstrated that the low levels of microbial LCFAs may compromise the growth of Lactobacillus, a bacteria with known beneficial effects in ALD, via multiple mechanisms, including maintaining gut barrier integrity. In our study, the most prominent alteration (approximately 50-fold decrease in USF and ethanol versus SF and ethanol) was observed in the abundance of octanoic acid, which possesses some antibacterial properties. Therefore, the deficiency of octanoic acid most likely contributes to the expansion of certain types of bacteria and overall changes in the gut microbial population caused by USF and ethanol. The protective effects of the SF diet on ethanol-mediated changes of the gut microbiota might be also attributed to octanoic acid because it was the major fatty acid in the SF diet. Further studies will be needed to test these hypotheses.

Through the process of fermentation, colonic bacteria are able to produce SCFAs, with acetate, propionate, and butyrate being the major SCFAs in the mammalian gut. The type and amount of produced SCFAs depend on numerous factors, including diet, intestinal transit, and microbiota composition. In the present study, low SCFA levels (butyrate specifically) were found in mice fed USF and ethanol compared with those fed SF and ethanol, even though both groups received a similar amount of carbohydrate and proteins. Thus, the low SCFA levels were likely due to the marked changes in the gut microbiota composition induced by the ethanol and USF diet. Given that SCFAs play an important role in intestinal and host health, the decrease in fecal SCFAs may contribute to the intestinal barrier disruption observed in mice fed USF and ethanol. Low SCFA levels may compromise mucosal immune tolerance and intestinal epithelia energy supply because normal epithelia derive 60% to 70% of their energy from SCFAs, particularly butyrate. In addition, butyrate possesses anti-inflammatory properties in part via inhibition of NF-κB in human colonic epithelial cells and may serve as a potent histone deacetylase inhibitor. In experimental ALD, butyrate supplementation protected against alcohol-mediated intestinal tight junction disruption and liver inflammation. SCFAs produced by the gut microbiota can be found in hepatic, portal, and peripheral blood. These SCFAs affect lipid, glucose, and cholesterol metabolism in various tissues, including liver, by reducing plasma and hepatic fatty acid content, lowering cholesterol, and potentially improving insulin sensitivity.

Further investigations into the mechanisms by which ethanol alters bacterial fermentation and SCFA production and how these metabolites affect the intestine and the liver are necessary. Alcohol-mediated alterations in both gut microbiota composition and functionality in association with the increased gut permeability have been recently reported in humans. Compared with control individuals, the fecal metabolome of alcohol-dependent individuals was characterized by the presence or absence of certain compounds (eg, alcohols, alkanes, and benzenes or 2-methyl-1-butanol and methanethiol). Another comprehensive fecal metabolome analysis in humans has revealed numerous alcohol-induced alterations, including a decrease in propionate, isobutyrate, and camphene, a natural product and hepatic steatosis attenuator, among others.

The data obtained in our study contribute to the increasing body of evidence suggesting that the alterations of the host-microbiome and nutrient-microbiome interactions are critically involved in the pathogenesis of numerous diseases, including...
ALD. Our findings support the concept that alterations in the gut microbial community and metabolic activity are important factors in ALD pathogenesis. Importantly, the findings from this study indicate that the ethanol-mediated gut dysbiosis can be therapeutically targeted by dietary manipulations (eg, modulation of dietary lipids) that may offer a novel prevention and therapeutic approach to ALD management. The study has several strengths, such as simultaneous metagenomic and metabolomic analysis that identifies novel mechanisms underlying the complex interaction of the gut microbiota and the host and the evaluation of the gut-liver interactions in response to different types of dietary fat that allows us to better understand the role of nutrition in ALD development and progression. A limitation of the study is that the gut microbial community has been examined in the fecal samples. Although the stool microbiota (intestinal lumen microbiota) may have no direct contact with the epithelial cells, they can act through co-metabolism or metabolic exchange with the host. Still, the role of the adherent mucosa-associated microbiota that most likely affect intestinal epithelial cell function and intestinal barrier integrity needs to be further investigated.

To summarize, long-term alcohol consumption is accompanied by alterations in gut microbiota composition and metabolic potential, and dietary factors likely play a critical role in shaping these changes. However, the exact mechanism(s) and the contributions of ethanol, products of ethanol metabolism, specific dietary nutrients, and their combination to the gut dysbiosis and/or alterations of microbial metabolic activity need to be further defined. The molecular mechanism(s) by which ethanol modulates microbial metabolic activity also remains to be established. Therefore, further research is required on the interactions among the specific types of dietary lipids, gut microbiota community structure, metabolic function, and ALD development and progression.
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