IL-6 Overexpression in ERG-Positive Prostate Cancer Is Mediated by Prostaglandin Receptor EP2
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Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most diagnosed cancer in men and multiple risk factors and genetic alterations have been described. The TMPRSS2–ERG fusion event and the overexpression of the transcription factor ERG are present in approximately 50% of all prostate cancer patients, however, the clinical outcome is still controversial. Prostate tumors produce various soluble factors, including the pleiotropic cytokine IL-6, regulating cellular processes such as proliferation and metastatic segregation. Here, we used prostatectomy samples in a tissue microarray format and analyzed the co-expression and the clinicopathologic data of ERG and IL-6 using immunohistochemical double staining and correlated the read-out with clinicopathologic data. Expression of ERG and IL-6 correlated strongly in prostate tissue samples. Forced expression of ERG in prostate tumor cell lines resulted in significantly increased secretion of IL-6, whereas the down-regulation of ERG decreased IL-6 secretion. By dissecting the underlying mechanism in prostate tumor cell lines we show the ERG-mediated up-regulation of the prostanooid receptors EP2 and EP3. The prostanooid receptor EP2 was overexpressed in human prostate cancer tissue. Furthermore, the proliferation rate and IL-6 secretion in DU145 cells was reduced after treatment with EP2-receptor antagonist. Collectively, our study shows that the expression of ERG in prostate cancer is linked to the expression of IL-6 mediated by the prostanooid receptor EP2. (Am J Pathol 2016, 186: 974–984; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2015.12.009)
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fused and subsequently the overexpression of ERG remain controversial. Early studies have described an association of ERG rearrangement with greater invasion, PCa-specific death, and ERG as a prognostic factor for disease recurrence. In contrast, recent studies have shown ERG expression is indicative of lower pathologic grade, lower Gleason score, longer recurrence-free survival, or have described no correlation with outcome. These divergent results seem to depend on the detection techniques used (fluorescent in situ hybridization, RT-PCR, or immunohistochemistry), sample acquisition technique, and sample size. Taken together, TMPRSS2–ERG fusion and ERG overexpression represent a molecular subtype of PCa, but the functional consequences and interplay with other tumor-derived factors remain to be clarified.

In addition to chromosomal alterations, various growth factors and cytokines impact tumor development and progression. Of such cytokines, IL-6 is a frequent product of tumors. IL-6 affects growth, differentiation, and apoptosis in various cancers, including those of the prostate. Effects of IL-6 are mediated by the IL-6 receptor complex (glycoprotein 80). Upon IL-6 binding, gp130 dimerizes and initiates intracellular signaling through Janus kinase activation, which is followed by phosphorylation, nuclear translocation, and DNA binding of STAT3. Patients with PCa show increased serum levels of IL-6 and the probability of a biochemical recurrence increases with higher serum levels of IL-6. Furthermore, PCa progression is accelerated after long-term IL-6 exposure in vitro, supporting the notion that IL-6 is a potential biomarker for PCa development and progression. However, the mechanisms governing IL-6 expression in PCa are poorly understood. Both IL-6 as part of chronic inflammation and IL-6 expressed through autocrine and paracrine activation via STAT3 phosphorylation have been described.

Another important key product of tumors, including those of the prostate, is prostaglandin E2 (PGE2). PGE2 is a lipid compound and end product of eicosanoid synthesis, which involves the enzymes cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and COX-2. COX inhibitors such as indomethacin and celecoxib have been shown to have antineoplastic effects. PGE2 binds to a family of four receptors, termed prostaglandin receptors, EP1 to EP4. EP1 to EP4 are encoded by the genes PTGER1 to PTGER4 and share significant sequence homology. Despite these similarities, prostanoid receptors are linked to a diverse set of intracellular signaling events. EP1 is Gs-coupled and, hence, binding of this receptor increases intracellular Ca2+ levels and results in activation of phospholipase A2. EP2 and EP4 are Gi-coupled proteins and activate adenyl cyclase, followed by activation of protein kinase A. In contrast, EP3 is coupled to Gαs protein and binding decreases the formation of cAMP. Expression of prostanoid receptors is deregulated in many human tumor entities. In prostate cancer cell lines, deregulation and effects of different prostanoid receptors are discussed controversially. Vo et al showed that PGE2 mediates its effects on migration mediated by EP4. In contrast, Kashiwagi et al showed that EP3 contributes to castration resistance in prostate cancer. To date, only Huang et al, using a small cohort size, have reported an up-regulation of both EP2 and EP4 in PCa and independent effects of EP3 and EP4 on in vitro migration of the PCa cell line PC-3.

Here, we evaluated if the transcription factor ERG controls the expression of the cytokine IL-6 in PCa. We show that the expression of ERG in human prostate cancer significantly correlates with IL-6 expression and EP2 expression in prostate tissues. We further provide evidence that ERG controls IL-6 production in PCa cell lines by effects mediated mainly by the prostanoid receptor EP2.

Materials and Methods

Ethical Standards

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Uppsala/Örebro, Sweden (2009/016) and the Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital of Bonn, Germany (2013/010), and all patients provided their informed consent before their inclusion in the study. The study therefore is in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

Cell Lines and Reagents

Immortalized PCa cell lines (DU145, PC-3, and VCaP) originally were obtained from the ATCC and cultured according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DU145 and PC-3 cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% l-glutamine. VCaP cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. All cell lines were verified recently by Multiplexion (Heidelberg, Germany). Unless otherwise stated, chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany).

Patient Cohort

PCa tissues were obtained during radical prostatectomies performed at the University Hospital of Örebro, Sweden, between 1989 and 2005, and immediately fixed in 20% formalin. For tissue microarray construction three representative 0.6-mm cores from the circled cancer tissue specimens as well as one benign focus were selected randomly and were reviewed by the study pathologist (S.P.). Clinicopathologic data of the patient cohort were previously described by Nowak et al, and are summarized in Table 1.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical detection of different proteins was performed using the Ventana Discovery XT automated
staining system (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ). Paraffin-embedded tissue microarray blocks were sectioned into 4-μm slices, deparaffinized, and antigens were demasked in EDTA buffer (pH 8.4). Primary antibodies used were specific for ERG (clone EPR3864, ready to use; Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ), EP1 to EP4 (all polyclonal rabbit Ig; all Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI), or IL-6 (polyclonal rabbit Ig; Abcam, Cambridge, MA). Secondary staining was conducted using a biotin-free detection kit (UltraMap anti-Rb Detection Kit). Color was developed using alkaline phosphatase (UltraMap anti-Rb Alk Phos; Ventana Medical Systems) for IL-6 or 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (UltraView Universal Diaminobenzidine Detection kit; Ventana Medical Systems) for all other antigens. Finally, slides were counterstained with Hematoxylin II and Bluing Reagent (Ventana Medical Systems).

### Table 1 Clinicopathologic Characteristics of Patients Included in the Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>311</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, median (range), year</strong></td>
<td>63 (45–74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up time, median (range), months</strong></td>
<td>122 (46–273)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PSA at diagnosis, median (range), ng/mL</strong></td>
<td>8.8 (3–52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** Gleason group**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 + 4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 + 3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pT stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1c</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRPC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PSA relapse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall death</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCa-specific death</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>87.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PSA, prostate-specific antigen; pT, pathological tumor stage.

### Expression Analysis

ERG and IL-6 protein expression were assessed and quantified manually as well as digitally. The manual expression analysis was performed by a pathologist (S.P.). ERG protein expression was distinguished into positive and negative, and the IL-6 expression was graduated according to the Remmele score into four categories: negative, low, intermediate, and high positive. For the digital expression analysis slides were digitized (Pannoramic Desk; 3DHistech, Budapest, Hungary). Semiautomated discrimination of tumor areas and expression analysis of ERG, IL-6, and EP1 to EP4 was performed using Definiens Tissue Studio 2.1 image analysis software (Definiens, Munich, Germany).

### Transfection

For overexpression of ERG, 1 μg DNA of the pBabe—green fluorescent protein vector inserted with ERG or the empty control pBabe vector was used and transfected using ScreenFect A (Genaxxon Bio Science, Ulm, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of 5000 cells were plated in antibiotic-free medium in a 96-well plate. After 24 hours the medium was changed. Supernatants were taken after a further 24 hours and IL-6 levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Clones stably transfected with ERG-pBabe vector or empty vector were selected by repeated flow-sorting green of fluorescent protein—positive cells (FACSAriaIII; BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany).

Transient knockdown of ERG or PTGER2 was performed by ScreenFect A—mediated lipofection (Incella, Eggensstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany), with 50 pmol target-specific siRNA (SMARTpool siGENOME) or scrambled siRNA (both Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

### Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

Levels of IL-6 in cell culture supernatants were determined by standard sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using matched antibody pairs (eBioscience, San Diego, CA). Color reactions were developed by incubation with 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine substrate (Biologend, San Diego, CA), and stopped using 0.5 mol/L H2PO4. OD was read with a microplate reader (Epoch; BioTek Instruments, Bad Friedrichshall, Germany) at 450 nm. Pge2 levels in cell culture supernatants were determined by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

### Western Blot Analysis

Cultured cells were harvested and lysed in RIPA buffer (150 mmol/L NaCl, 1.0% IGEPAL NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mmol/L Tris, pH 8.0) for 1
hour and centrifuged at 30,000 × g for 30 minutes. Protein concentration was determined using the Bio-Rad (Munich, Germany) BCA assay. Proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, blocked in 5% milk, and incubated overnight with their respective primary antibodies diluted in Tris-buffered saline/0.05% Tween-20/5% milk. After extensive washing with Tris-buffered saline/0.05% Tween-20, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated antibodies against rabbit or mouse Ig, and developed using ECL Western Blotting Reagent (GE Healthcare, Frankfurt, Germany).

Real-Time PCR

RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and mRNA was transcribed into cDNA (iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit; Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

mRNA expression of various genes was measured by real-time PCR (LightCycler 480; Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using the following primer sequences: ERG, 5'-GCTGCACCCCCTGT-3' (forward) and 5'-ATAAAA-GCTGCACCCCCCTGT-3' (reverse); β-actin 5'-AGTCCT-GTGCCATCCACGAAACT-3' (forward) and 5'-CACT-GTGTGGCCGTACAGGTCTT-3' (reverse); PTGER1 (EP1), 5'-ATCATGGTGCTGTCGTGCAT-3' (forward) and 5'-TACACCCAAGGGTCAGAGAT-3' (reverse); PTGER2 (EP2), 5'-CTCGCCTGCAACTTCAGTGT-3' (forward) and 5'-AGGCCCTAAGGATGGCAAAGAC-3' (reverse); PTGER3 (EP3), 5'-GACACACACGGAGAAGCAGA-3' (forward) and 5'-CTGCTCCATCCCGCTCG-3' (reverse); PTGER4 (EP4), 5'-CTGCTCCATCCCGCTCG-3' (forward) and 5'-CTGCTCGAGCCGTCGTCCTC-3' (reverse); PLAU (uPa), 5'-GGTCAATCCATTTCTCCTGGCT-3' (forward) and 5'-GTGGCATCCACGAAACT-3' (reverse); hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase-15 (NAD) (HPGD), 5'-AACCTCTGAGACTCTGTCTTCATCC-3' (forward) and 5'-CCAAAATGTCCAGTCTTCCAAAGT-3' (reverse); IL-1β, 5'-CAACAGCCCTGCTGGGAT-3' (forward) and 5'-CATGGCCACAAAACTGACG-3' (reverse). Expression levels were calibrated to levels of β-actin as housekeeping gene in the same sample.

In Silico Promoter Analysis

Promoter regions of indicated genes ranging from the transcription start site (0 kb) to −7 kb upstream were screened for ERG-binding sites (EBS) (Jaspar database ID MA0474.2) using the JASPAR core database (Find Individual Motif Occurrences, http://jaspar.genereg.net, last accessed November 17, 2015).

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism V (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Statistical significance was calculated using the t-test or one-way analysis of variance with the Tukey post-test, and correlations were calculated using the Pearson correlation coefficient. *P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

ERG Protein Expression Strongly Correlates with IL-6 Expression

Tissue microarrays consisting of benign and tumor tissues were immunostained against ERG and IL-6. All four combinations of ERG and IL-6 staining could be distinguished (Figure 1, A–D). ERG immunostaining was located in the nucleus of prostate cells and was dichotomized into positive or negative immunostaining. Thirty-nine percent of all cancer cells showed a positive expression of ERG whereas none of the benign tissue samples had a positive ERG expression, underlining the fact that ERG is specific for malignant prostate tissue (Table 2). IL-6 immunostaining was located in the cytoplasm of prostate cells and staining intensity was determined. Fourteen percent of the tumor samples were considered negative or weakly IL-6—positive, 48% were classified as intermediate-positive, and 34% of the tumor showed strong IL-6 staining. In contrast, the benign tissue showed a lower IL-6 intensity: 28% of the samples were considered low positive, 60% as intermediate, and only 7% were strong positive for IL-6 (Table 2). Having assessed the expression of ERG and IL-6 separately, we analyzed the co-expression of these two parameters in all foci. The IL-6 intensity was significantly higher in ERG-positive foci than in ERG-negative foci (Figure 1E). We further confirmed this correlation by digital quantification. Here, all tumor samples were stratified according to quartiles of ERG expression (Figure 1F). IL-6 expression in samples of the first quartile of ERG expression was significantly lower than in the other quartiles; moreover, samples in the second quartile showed lower IL-6 expression than ERG-high—expressing tumors (Figure 1F).

ERG Controls the Secretion of IL-6 in Prostate Cancer Cell Lines

The observed co-expression of ERG and IL-6 in prostate cancer tissue prompted us to test if ERG controls the expression of IL-6. To this end, DU145 cells were transfected with an ERG-encoding expression vector. Clones stably overexpressing ERG or containing the empty control vector were selected by repeated flow-sorting green fluorescent protein—positive cells. Forced expression of ERG in these cells led to a significant increase in ERG mRNA and protein expression (Figure 2, A and B). ERG-overexpressing DU145 cells showed significantly higher IL-6 production compared
with control cells (Figure 2C). To further show that ERG expression drives IL-6 production we used VCaP harboring the \textit{TMPRSS2--ERG} translocation. siRNA-mediated knockdown of ERG in these cells led to a robust abrogation of ERG transcripts and ERG protein, whereas transfection with scrambled siRNA did not reduce ERG (Figure 2D). In VCaP cells harboring the \textit{TMPRSS2--ERG} fusion, knockdown of ERG significantly reduced the expression of IL-6 (mean reduction, 27-fold) (Figure 2E).


derg Overexpression Is Correlated to Prostaglandin Receptors

To further address the mechanisms mediating IL-6 up-regulation, we subjected cells stably transfected with ERG to expression analysis. Given the unresolved link between prostaglandin-receptor signaling and IL-6 expression, we focused on genes that could be functionally linked to prostaglandin signaling. DU145 cells expressing ERG expectedly showed a strong up-regulation of the transcription factor ERG in comparison with control cells on mRNA level and protein (Figure 3, A and B). Furthermore, corroborating the work of Mohamed et al,\textsuperscript{20} forced expression of ERG led to a marked down-regulation of the prostaglandin-catabolizing enzyme HPGD (Figure 3A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foci ((n = 398))</th>
<th>Malignant (n = 119)</th>
<th>Benign (n = 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERG positive (n = 119)</td>
<td>39% ((n = 119))</td>
<td>0% ((n = 0))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERG negative (n = 279)</td>
<td>61% ((n = 184))</td>
<td>100% ((n = 95))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 negative</td>
<td>3.3% ((n = 10))</td>
<td>4.2% ((n = 4))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 low ((0–3))</td>
<td>14.2% ((n = 43))</td>
<td>28.4% ((n = 27))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 intermediate ((4–8))</td>
<td>48.2% ((n = 146))</td>
<td>60% ((n = 57))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 high ((9–12))</td>
<td>34.3% ((n = 104))</td>
<td>7.4% ((n = 8))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As an essential enzyme of prostaglandin metabolism, HPGD catalyzes the oxidation of prostaglandins into inactive metabolites. The down-regulation of HPGD in ERG-overexpressing cells prompted us to evaluate different elements of prostaglandin metabolism. To this end we also analyzed the mRNA expression level of prostaglandin-receptor genes \textit{PTGER1} to \textit{4} in \textit{DU145} cells. In response to overexpression of ERG, the mRNA expression of the prostaglandin receptor EP4 (\textit{PTGER4}) increased by 2.8-fold, whereas transcription of genes encoding the other prostanoid receptors was less than twofold. We further tested the mRNA expression of \textit{PLAU}, a gene encoding for the urokinase-type plasminogen activator, which is up-regulated by prostaglandins and thus aggravates prostate tumor growth.\textsuperscript{21} However, and in contrast to the aforementioned IL-1\textbeta, \textit{PLAU} expression was not regulated more than twofold compared with control cells (Figure 3A).

After we examined the gene expression level of the prostanoid receptors (\textit{PTGER1} through 4), we evaluated the protein expression of these receptors. The protein expression of EP2 and EP3 strongly increased in ERG-overexpressing \textit{DU145} cells. In contrast, the protein expression of EP4 did not increase (Figure 3B). The results do not indicate one specific prostanoid receptor in ERG-expressing cells, therefore further regulatory mechanisms have to be verified.

DU145 cells stably transfected with ERG strongly up-regulated the transcription of the cytokine IL-1\textbeta (Figure 3A). Notably, in addition to its multiple functions as an inflammatory mediator, IL-1\textbeta is a potent inducer of COX, which catalyzes the formation of prostaglandins.\textsuperscript{22} In DU145 cells transfected with ERG, we detected a significantly higher release of IL-1\textbeta (Figure 3C). Forced expression of ERG in DU145 directly up-regulated the production of Pge2, compared with untransfected DU145 cells (Figure 3D).

Figure 2  ERG controls the production of IL-6 in prostate tumor cell lines. A and B: \textit{DU145} cells were transfected with an ERG expression vector, empty vector, or left untreated. ERG overexpression was measured by real-time PCR (A) and Western blot analysis (B). C: IL-6 content in cell culture supernatants was analyzed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. D: ERG protein expression 48 hours after transfection with ERG-specific or scrambled siRNA in VCaP cells. E: Knockdown of ERG in VCaP cells abolishes IL-6 production, as measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Results are presented as means ± SD, representative of at least three experiments. **\textit{P} < 0.01, ****\textit{P} < 0.001. ERG, erythroblast transformation-specific-related gene.
The complex regulation of prostanoid-receptor transcripts by the transcription factor ERG prompted us to screen the promoter regions of the genes of these receptors as well as IL-1β for occurrence of EBS. By using the publicly available JASPAR database we screened the DNA upstream to a distance of 7 kb of the respective transcription start site for screening against the ERG-binding motif (ID MA0474.2).23 By using this approach we identified two EBS at −4.4 kb and −6.4 kb in the promoter region of PTGER1, as well as two EBS at −6.3 kb and 6.8 kb in the PTGER2 promoter, using a threshold for each of 90%. In contrast, no EBS could be identified in the promoter regions of PTGER3 or PTGER4. Moreover, the promoter of IL-1β, which among the tested genes showed the strongest mRNA regulation by ERG (Figure 3A), harbors four EBS within a distance of 4.2 kb from the transcription start site (Figure 3E).

Prostaglandin Receptors Mediate Proliferation and IL-6 Production of ERG-Expressing Cells

Having shown that prostanoid receptors EP2, EP3, and EP4 are expressed in DU145 cells transfected with ERG, we further determined which of these three receptors controls the proliferation of tumor cells. We cultured DU145 cells transfected with ERG expression vector or the control construct for 48 hours in the presence of prostanoid-receptor–specific antagonists and tested the cell-proliferative capacity. ERG-expressing DU145 cells showed significantly increased proliferation compared with control DU145 cells (Figure 4, A–C). DU145–ERG cells incubated with concentrations of 25 and 50 nmol/L of the EP2-specific antagonist PF04418948 showed no more significant difference in proliferation compared with control vector–transfected cells (Figure 4B). In contrast, DU145–ERG cell proliferation in response to incubation with EP3 or EP4 was significantly higher than the control cells at all concentrations tested (Figure 4, B and C), indicating that neither EP3 nor EP4 are involved in the regulation of prostaglandin-mediated proliferation. Taken together with our previous results, only EP2 shows protein overexpression in DU145–ERG cells, as well as a decrease in proliferation after treatment with an EP2 antagonist.

We next cultured DU145 cells transfected with ERG in the presence of prostanoid-receptor antagonists (50 nmol/L each) or the combination of inhibitors and analyzed the effects on IL-6 expression. Culture of ERG-transfected DU145 cells in the presence of all tested prostanoid-receptor antagonists showed a significant reduction in IL-6 expression (Figure 4D). Furthermore, EP2-receptor antagonist alone significantly reduced IL-6 production, underlining the important role of EP2. Culture of DU145-ERG cells in the presence of either EP3 or EP4-receptor antagonist did not modulate IL-6 expression.

To further confirm these findings in three different prostate cancer cell lines we silenced EP2 mRNA expression by transfection with EP2-specific siRNA and analyzed the proliferation and IL-6 production of these cells. All three cell lines

Figure 3  Forced overexpression of ERG in DU145 cells results in up-regulation of prostanoid receptors EP2 and EP3. **mRNA expression profile of prostanoid receptors (EP1 through EP4), prostaglandin catabolism (HPGD), urokinase (uPa), and IL-1β in prostate tumors stably transfected with ERG relative to levels in empty vector over, the promoter of IL-1β, which among the tested genes showed the strongest mRNA regulation by ERG (Figure 3A), harbors four EBS within a distance of 4.2 kb from the transcription start site (Figure 3E).

Prostaglandin Receptors Mediate Proliferation and IL-6 Production of ERG-Expressing Cells

Having shown that prostanoid receptors EP2, EP3, and EP4 are expressed in DU145 cells transfected with ERG, we further determined which of these three receptors controls the proliferation of tumor cells. We cultured DU145 cells transfected with ERG expression vector or the control construct for 48 hours in the presence of prostanoid-receptor–specific antagonists and tested the cell-proliferative capacity. ERG-expressing DU145 cells showed significantly increased proliferation compared with control DU145 cells (Figure 4, A–C). DU145–ERG cells incubated with concentrations of 25 and 50 nmol/L of the EP2-specific antagonist PF04418948 showed no more significant difference in proliferation compared with control vector–transfected cells (Figure 4B). In contrast, DU145–ERG cell proliferation in response to incubation with EP3 or EP4 was significantly higher than the control cells at all concentrations tested (Figure 4, B and C), indicating that neither EP3 nor EP4 are involved in the regulation of prostaglandin-mediated proliferation. Taken together with our previous results, only EP2 shows protein overexpression in DU145–ERG cells, as well as a decrease in proliferation after treatment with an EP2 antagonist.

We next cultured DU145 cells transfected with ERG in the presence of prostanoid-receptor antagonists (50 nmol/L each) or the combination of inhibitors and analyzed the effects on IL-6 expression. Culture of ERG-transfected DU145 cells in the presence of all tested prostanoid-receptor antagonists showed a significant reduction in IL-6 expression (Figure 4D). Furthermore, EP2-receptor antagonist alone significantly reduced IL-6 production, underlining the important role of EP2. Culture of DU145-ERG cells in the presence of either EP3 or EP4-receptor antagonist did not modulate IL-6 expression.

To further confirm these findings in three different prostate cancer cell lines we silenced EP2 mRNA expression by transfection with EP2-specific siRNA and analyzed the proliferation and IL-6 production of these cells. All three cell lines
stably transfected with ERG showed increased proliferation as well as IL-6 production compared with control vector—transfected cells (Figure 4E). EP2-knockdown resulted in significantly reduced proliferation and IL-6 production in all ERG-overexpressing cell lines to levels comparable with their respective control cells (Figure 4E). Consequently, we asked whether prostaglandin as ligand for the prostanoid receptors is sufficient to drive IL-6 expression in prostate tumor cells. To this end, we cultured untransfected DU145 cells in the presence of recombinant Pge2 or medium alone. The addition of Pge2 was able to increase IL-6 production in DU145 to levels comparable with ERG-transfected DU145 cells (Figure 4F).

**ERG Expression Correlates with EP2 Expression**

Given the established effects of prostanoid-receptor inhibition on proliferation and IL-6 expression, we tested the expression of all four receptors in prostate tumor tissue. Tissue microarrays were immunostained against all EP receptors and the frequencies of expressing cells as well as their expression levels were quantified digitally. Neither the frequencies nor the expression levels of the receptors EP1, EP3, or EP4 were significantly different in tumors compared with benign tissues (Figure 5, A, C, and D). In contrast, we observed strong and significant overexpression of EP2 in tumor biopsy specimens compared with benign prostate tissue (Figure 5B). Furthermore, we observed a moderate but significant correlation between the expression level of EP2 in biopsy specimens and the expression of IL-6 (Figure 5F) in the same patient. Moreover, EP2 expression frequency correlated with the frequency of ERG-positive cells (Figure 5F).

**Discussion**

In this study we investigated the mechanisms driving expression of IL-6 in PCa tissue and PCa cell lines. Here,
we showed that in PCa samples ERG overexpression strongly correlates with IL-6 expression. ERG-positive tissue samples show considerably higher amounts of IL-6 expression than ERG-negative samples.

To explain the strong co-expression of ERG and IL-6 we performed cell culture experiments in PCa cell lines illustrating that ERG expression controls IL-6 secretion: an overexpression in DU145 cells increased IL-6 production, whereas the post-transcriptional inhibition of ERG expression decreased IL-6 production in VCaP cells, which harbor the ERG translocation. To gain insights into the underlying mechanisms we focused on genes and pathways involved in IL-6 regulation. Testing the expression of a limited number of genes we found HPGD down-regulated in response to forced ERG expression. Vainio et al. showed that HPGD mRNA is up-regulated in androgen-independent and metastatic prostate cancer. In contrast, Mohamed et al. recently reported that ERG directly represses the expression of HPGD in PCa cell lines, which is consistent with the observed down-regulation of HPGD mRNA in response to forced ERG expression.
In addition, down-regulation of the Pge2-catabolyzing enzyme HPDG resulted in increased levels of Pge2 that were detectable in the supernatants of ERG-overexpressing cells. Moreover, corroborating the high correlation between ERG overexpression and IL-6 production in prostate tumors, we showed that ERG overexpression is sufficient for the expression of IL-6 and IL-1β. Recently, Li et al. showed that IL-1β produced by tumor cells increases the expression of COX-2 in stromal cells, resulting in production of prostaglandin E2. Whether in analogy to this autocrine effect IL-1β up-regulates COX subsequently to Pge2 or down-regulation of HPDG is responsible for increased Pge2 levels remains to be analyzed.

Among the four prostanoid receptors EP1 to EP4, wild-type DU145 cells express only the EP4 subtype. Forced ERG expression results in strongly increased protein expression of EP2 and EP3, although mRNA levels did not change significantly. The apparent discrepancies between mRNA and protein levels of prostanoid receptors have been reported previously. Although comparisons between mRNA and protein levels have to be taken with caution, we speculate that there may be post-transcriptional regulation of the prostanoid receptors. Estrogen is known to regulate the mRNA stability of several genes, including hormone receptors, and hence appears as a possible regulator.

Both up-regulated receptors EP2 and EP3 appeared as conceivable mediators of the ERG-mediated IL-6 production. A cell growth—supportive function of IL-6 has long been appreciated. However, the mechanism that drives IL-6 production in cancer is elusive. Consequently, we asked whether blockade of prostanoid receptors in ERG-expressing cells abrogates cell proliferation. We have shown that specific blockade of EP2, a target of ERG overexpression (Figure 3), resulted in abrogation of the proliferative capacity. In contrast, EP3, which also is up-regulated by ERG, seemed not to be involved in cell proliferation. Whether the increased proliferation in response to ERG is mediated by IL-6 resulting from prostanoid-receptor signaling or alternative pathways downstream of EP receptors, remains to be clarified. In silico screening the promoter regions of the four prostanoid receptors for occurrence of ERG-binding sites showed the presence thereof at two positions within a distance of 6.8 upstream of the transcription start of PTGER1 and PTGER2, using a conservative threshold of 90%. In contrast, the ERG binding site motif was not found within the promoter region of either PTGER3 or PTGER4, which corroborates the up-regulation of PTGER2. Moreover, among the transcripts and proteins tested, IL-1β appeared to be the most stringent regulated target of ERG, and the promotor region of IL1B contains four EBS within a range of 3.4 kb. The number of binding site occurrences does not necessarily reflect differences in regulation of gene expression regulation, however, the significance of these sequence motifs identified in silico remains to be evaluated in vitro.

Previously, in different cellular systems prostanoid receptors were implicated to regulate the cellular processes of tumor cell lines. In these studies, EP3, EP4, or both EP2 and EP4 were required for the prostaglandin-mediated development of castration-resistant prostate cancer, migration, and invasion. Here, we show that in addition to its effects on proliferation the blockade of either EP1 or EP2 independently resulted in a significant reduction of IL-6 production of ERG-expressing cells. By using primary patient material we could further show that in prostate tumors the receptor subtype EP2 is significantly more highly expressed compared with benign prostate tissue. Collectively, among the four prostanoid receptors, only the EP2 subtype is up-regulated in response to forced ERG expression, both pharmacologic inhibition of EP2 and siRNA-mediated knockdown reduces IL-6 production, and its expression correlates with ERG expression in human prostate tumors. Moreover, analyzing a conceivable association between ERG, IL-6, and EP2, we found moderate correlations among all three proteins in prostate material, thus underlining the mechanistic link between ERG driving the expression of EP2, which induces the subsequent production of IL-6.
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