Macrophage Infiltration Is a Causative Factor for Ligamentum Flavum Hypertrophy through the Activation of Collagen Production in Fibroblasts
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Ligamentum flavum (LF) hypertrophy causes lumbar spinal canal stenosis, leading to leg pain and disability in activities of daily living in elderly individuals. Although previous studies have been performed on LF hypertrophy, its pathomechanisms have not been fully elucidated. In this study, we demonstrated that infiltrating macrophages were a causative factor for LF hypertrophy. Induction of macrophages into the mouse LF by applying a microinjury resulted in LF hypertrophy along with collagen accumulation and fibroblasts proliferation at the injured site, which were very similar to the characteristics observed in the severely hypertrophied LF of human. However, we found that macrophage depletion by injecting clodronate-containing liposomes counteracted LF hypertrophy even with microinjury. For identification of fibroblasts in the LF, we used collagen type I \(^{a2}\) linked to green fluorescent protein transgenic mice and selectively isolated green fluorescent protein—positive fibroblasts from the microinjured LF using laser microdissection. A quantitative RT-PCR on laser microdissection samples revealed that the gene expression of collagen markedly increased in the fibroblasts at the injured site with infiltrating macrophages compared with the uninjured location. These results suggested that macrophage infiltration was crucial for LF hypertrophy by stimulating collagen production in fibroblasts, providing better understanding of the pathophysiology of LF hypertrophy.
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cultures have attempted to investigate the function of LF fibroblasts, the in vitro cultures comprised not only many fibroblast-like cells but also various types of cells, including chondrocytes and osteoblasts. Thus, a method of selectively identifying fibroblasts is necessary to clarify their properties in the disease process of LF hypertrophy.

In addition to fibroblasts, macrophages have been reported to contribute to fibrotic diseases in several organs. For instance, macrophages secrete cytokines and growth factors, such as TGF-β1, leading to enhanced collagen production in fibroblasts and the deterioration of fibrosis. Macrophage infiltration and the TGF-β1 expression have been reported to also occur in the hypertrophied LF, suggesting that macrophages are associated with the process of LF hypertrophy. However, whether they are causative or merely a consequence of LF hypertrophy remains unclear because human samples from patients with LSCS patients had advanced histologic changes, including excessive collagen accumulation.

In the present study, to investigate the interplay between fibroblasts and macrophages on LF hypertrophy, we used collagen type I α2 linked to green fluorescent protein (COL1a2-GFP) transgenic mice and examined the involvement of GFP-positive fibroblasts in the disease process of LF hypertrophy in vivo. In addition, we induced macrophage infiltration in the mouse LF and investigated the influence on fibroblasts.

**Materials and Methods**

**The Human LF**

Human samples were collected at surgery from 7 male and 8 female patients with LSCS (mean age, 77.7 years; range, 71 to 86 years) as the severely hypertrophied LF (≥4 mm) and 16 male and 14 female patients with lumbar disk herniation (mean age, 39.3 years; range, 28 to 62 years) as the nonhypertrophied LF (<2 mm) and mildly hypertrophied LF (≥2 mm) (n = 15 per group) (Table 1). To measure the LF thickness, axial T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging was performed before surgery. For the histologic analysis, half of each LF was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and dehydrated in sucrose solution. The LF was embedded in OCT compound, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and cut into 10-μm sections using a cryostat. The other half was used for a quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR).

**Table 1** Demographic Data of Human Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Nonhypertrophied LF</th>
<th>Mildly hypertrophied LF</th>
<th>Severely hypertrophied LF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, means ± SEM (range), y</td>
<td>30.6 ± 1.2 (28 to 40)</td>
<td>48.1 ± 1.5 (42 to 62)</td>
<td>77.7 ± 1.4 (71 to 86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, no.</td>
<td>Male 10</td>
<td>Male 8</td>
<td>Male 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 5</td>
<td>Female 7</td>
<td>Female 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of patients</td>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>LSCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of harvested LF, no.</td>
<td>L3/4 3</td>
<td>L4/5 6</td>
<td>L5/S 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF thickness, means ± SEM mm</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.09</td>
<td>5.102 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LF, ligamentum flavum; LDH, lumbar disk herniation; LSCS, lumbar spinal canal stenosis.
**Table 2** Primers Used for Quantitative RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene (accession no.*)</th>
<th>Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL1A1 (NM_000088.3)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-TCCTCAGGTGCTCTTGGCAACATCTCC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-CACAAGAAAAGGAACTAGTCTCCT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL1A2 (NM_001901.2)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-ACGTTCCTGATTCAGTCTCC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-TGCTTCTTAACTTCTCCCTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL3A1 (NM_000909.3)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-ATGAGAACAGGCTCAACCC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-AAAGGATATGGCAGAGGAGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β1 (NM_00660.3)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-TAAATCTCGATGAGGAGGACTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-GGTTTTCAAGACATTCCTGTC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH (NM_01256799.2)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-GACACAAAGGAGGAGGAGGACC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-TTGATGTCATGACAAAGGTCC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mouse</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1a1 (NM_007742.3)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-ACCTCCTGGAAAGCAACGAGGACC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-TGGAGTGCTTGGCCAAGGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1a2 (NM_007743.2)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-CTTCTGAGTGTTAGCAGAGCC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-CTTCCACTCGATGAGGAGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1a1 (NM_009930.2)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-TAATGGAAGACCTTGGATAGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-ACTTATGGTGGTCATCTGTC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acta2 (encoding αSMA) (NM_007392.3)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-CTTACACTAGGATGATCTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-GTGAGACTTCTCGTACAGTGC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgfβ1 (NM_011577.1)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-GGCTCAGAGGCTCTCTTATTGGC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-ACACCTAGGAAAGGGAGGAGA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pdgfa (NM_006808.3)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-AGACAGATGTTGAGATTAGGAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-ACCGAGGGAAACACACCGACG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnfa (NM_013693.2)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-TTATCTGTTGCTGGTGATTCG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-TGGAATCTAGGCTTGATGTT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il10 (NM_013693.2)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-GGGCTGACTCTTCTACTTGT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-CCATGAGGAAAGGGAGGAAA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il6 (NM_013693.2)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-GCTCTCTTACAGATAGTCTCTGTCAAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-CCACAGTGAGAAGGTCTCCAACAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgf (NM_010217.2)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-GGCATTCAACAGGTTGAGGAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-CACCAGTTGAGGAGGAGGAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gapdh (NM_008084.3)</td>
<td>Forward 5'-GAGTTCAACACAGACCACTCCTCTCT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse 5'-GGTTTTCTTTACCTCTGGAGGATG-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Accession numbers are from the National Center for Biotechnology Information gene database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene).**

Macrophages Bring about LF Hypertrophy

Animals

Eight-week-old female C57BL/6 wild-type mice were used in this study (Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan). COL1a2-GFP transgenic mice (C57BL/6 background) were generated and kindly donated by Dr. Yutaka Inagaki. As described previously, after the mice were transcardially fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, the lumbar spine was removed and immersed in the same fixative. The spine was decalcified in EDTA and dehydrated in sucrose. The sample was then embedded in OCT compound, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and cut into 10-μm sections on a cryostat. In all animal experiments, the mice were anesthetized intraperitoneally with an anesthetic mixture (medetomidine, 0.3 mg/kg; midazolam, 4 mg/kg; and butorphanol, 5 mg/kg). The animal protocols were approved by the Committee of Ethics on Animal Experiment in the Faculty of Medicine, Kyushu University, in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Experimentation.

Histologic Analysis

The sagittal sections of the human and mouse samples were subjected to hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Elastica–van Gieson (EVG) staining, and the thickness of the mouse LF was measured (n = 8 per group). For immunostaining, the sections were stained with primary antibodies against Iba1 (1:200; rabbit; macrophage marker; Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan) and GFP (1:200; pig; Frontier Institute, Hokkaido, Japan). The sections were then incubated with Alexa Fluor—conjugated secondary antibodies (1:200; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Nuclear counterstaining was performed using Hoechst 33342 (1:1000; Invitrogen). All images were obtained using a BZ-9000 digital microscope (Keyence, Osaka, Japan).

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from LF cells using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and cDNA was synthesized from the total RNA using PrimeScript Reverse Transcriptase (TaKaRa, Osaka, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RT-qPCR was performed using 20 μL of reaction mixture with primers specific to the genes of interest (Table 2) and SYBR Premix Dimmer-Eraser (TaKaRa). The mRNA levels in the human samples (n = 15 per group) and the mouse samples (n = 8 per group) were normalized to those of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase mRNA. In the analysis of human samples, the severely hypertrophied LF specimens were divided into dorsal and dural layers as described previously. RT-qPCR was performed after obtaining samples (1 mm in width) from each layer of the severely hypertrophied LF and from the dural layer of the nonhypertrophied LF.

Experimental Procedures

To induce macrophage infiltration, after exposing the LF, a microinjury was applied to the dorsal layer of the mouse LF.
with a sharp 30-gauge needle tip. Histologic and gene expression analyses were performed at 1, 2, and 6 weeks after microinjury. The control group received sham surgery that only exposed but did not injure the LF (n = 8 per group).

To deplete macrophages, clodronate-containing liposomes (clodronate-lip) and control liposomes in phosphate-buffered saline (Katayama Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan) were injected i.p. (100 μL/10 g body weight, n = 8 per group) at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 days after microinjury (based on their half-life of approximately 48 hours) without anesthesia.

**Laser Microdissection**

At 2 weeks after microinjury, the LFs of COL1a2-GFP transgenic mice were immediately frozen in dry ice/hexane and stored in a deep freezer at −80°C as described previously. The tissues were cut into 10-μm-thick sections using a cryostat at −20°C and were mounted on polyethylene naphthalate membrane slides. The sections were fixed in ice-cold acetone for 2 minutes. GFP-positive cells were dissected with a laser microdissection (LMD 6500 system; Leica, Tokyo, Japan) and were transferred by gravity into a microcentrifuge tube cap placed directly beneath the section. The tube cap was filled with 75 μL of RLT buffer (Qiagen). For each sample, 500 cells were dissected from one series of sections.

**Statistical Analysis**

Wilcoxon’s rank sum test was used to compare the median values of the data between two groups for the RT-qPCR results after LMD. To analyze the differences among three groups in the LF thickness of the mouse LF with and without microinjury and the RT-qPCR results of human

---

**Figure 2** Infiltrating macrophages were associated with the progression of human ligamentum flavum (LF) hypertrophy. A: T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI T1WI; upper panels), macroscopic images (middle panels), and Elastica–van Gieson (EVG) staining (lower panels) of human samples: the nonhypertrophied, mildly hypertrophied, and severely hypertrophied LF. The three lower panels are magnifications of the boxed areas in the middle panels. The dashed yellow lines indicate the outline of the LF. B–E: High-magnification views of the boxed areas in the lower panels in A. Immunohistochemical staining of macrophages (Iba1, red) and cell nuclei (Hoechst, blue) in the nonhypertrophied and severely hypertrophied LF. F: The quantitative RT-PCR–based evaluation of the gene expression of collagen and growth factors in the three groups (the nonhypertrophied LF and the dural and dorsal layers of the severely hypertrophied LF). Data are expressed as means ± SEM (F). *P < 0.05 (analysis of variance with Tukey-Kramer post hoc test). n = 10 per group. Scale bars: 2 cm (A, upper panels); 4 mm (A, middle panels); 500 μm (A, lower panels); 200 μm (B–E).
samples and of our microinjured model with and without macrophage depletion, a one-way factorial analysis of variance was performed with the Tukey-Kramer post hoc test. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. The data were presented as means ± SEM. All statistical analyses were performed using the JMP software program version 11 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

**Results**

**Infiltrating Macrophages Observed in the Human Hypertrophied LF Area**

To investigate the factors associated with LF hypertrophy, we performed a histologic analysis and RT-qPCR of human LF specimens obtained from patients with LSCS and lumbar disk herniation. In the nonhypertrophied LF, EVG staining of the sagittal sections revealed a dense and regular bundle of elastic fibers in the dorsal and dural layers (Figure 2A). In contrast, the severely hypertrophied LF was separated into two distinct layers: the dural layer was mostly composed of rich elastic fibers, whereas the dorsal layer had excessive collagen accumulation without elastic fibers (Figure 2A). In addition, in this dorsal layer, a number of infiltrating Iba1- and F4/80-positive macrophages, which have been reported to induce collagen production in fibrotic diseases, were observed (Figure 2, B–E and Supplemental Figure 1A). RT-qPCR revealed that the gene expression of collagen and fibrosis-related factors significantly increased in the dorsal layer of the severely hypertrophied LF compared with the nonhypertrophied LF (Figure 2F). In contrast, in the dorsal side of the severely hypertrophied LF without macrophage infiltration, the gene expression levels of these factors were similar to the levels in the nonhypertrophied LF. These results suggested that the infiltrating macrophages secreted fibrosis-related factors and contributed to LF hypertrophy.

**Fibroblasts in the LF of COL1a2-GFP Transgenic Mice**

It has been difficult to identify fibroblasts in immunohistochernical analyses because of a lack of feasible specific markers. To solve the problem, COL1a2-GFP transgenic mice that express GFP in collagen type I-producing cells have been developed and used for modeling fibrosis and rheumatoid arthritis. In the present study, we used the transgenic mice to identify LF fibroblasts and found that most cells in the normal LF were GFP- and vimentin-positive fibroblasts (Figure 3, A–C and Supplemental Figure S2).

**Microinjury-Induced Macrophage Infiltration and LF Hypertrophy in the Injured Area**

To examine the influence of macrophages on LF hypertrophy, we induced macrophage infiltration in the dorsal layer of the mouse LF by applying a microinjury. In the present study, we applied the microinjury selectively in the caudal half of the LF in COL1a2-GFP transgenic mice and left the rostral half intact (Figure 4A). At 6 weeks after microinjury, H&E staining of the sagittal sections revealed that the LF thickness in the injured area of the microinjury group was significantly increased compared with the sham-operation group (Figure 4, B and C). The LF thickness at the intact area of the microinjury group was comparable with that of the sham-operation group. Notably, collagen accumulation was observed on the dorsal side of the injured area on EVG staining, which was similar to the characteristics observed in the severely hypertrophied LF of human (Figures 2A and 4B). Macrophage infiltration was not observed in immunohistochernical analyses of the LF specimens from the sham-operation group or in the intact area of the microinjury group; however, there were numerous Iba1- and F4/80-positive macrophages in the injured area of the microinjury group at 1 week after...
microinjury (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure 1B). As infiltrating macrophages withdrew, GFP-positive fibroblasts gradually increased in number to fill the injured area and finally banked at the dorsal layer at 6 weeks after microinjury (Figure 4D). These results suggested that infiltrating macrophages were closely associated with LF hypertrophy via collagen production.

**Infiltrating Macrophages Stimulated Collagen Production in Fibroblasts at the Injure Area**

Because macrophages are unable to produce collagen, we hypothesized that the collagen-producing activity in LF fibroblasts was enhanced in the injured area with macrophage infiltration. To verify this hypothesis, GFP-positive fibroblasts were selectively isolated from the intact and injured areas of the LF in the microinjury group using LMD, and their profiles were compared (Figure 5A). RT-qPCR revealed that the collagen expression in the fibroblasts in the injured area was significantly higher compared with the intact area (Figure 5B). In addition, the expression of α-smooth muscle actin (SMA), which is a marker of myofibroblasts that are closely involved in the pathogenesis of fibrosis via an increased deposition of collagen, significantly increased in the fibroblasts in the injured area (Figure 5B). These findings suggest that the fibroblasts were significantly activated by the infiltrating macrophages, which enhanced their collagen production ability.

**Macrophage Depletion Counteracted LF Hypertrophy by Microinjury**

To clarify whether the infiltrating macrophages were crucial for LF hypertrophy, we depleted the circulating macrophages by administering clodronate-lip after creating a microinjury model (Figure 6A). Notably, even at 6 weeks after microinjury, H&E and EVG staining of the sagittal sections revealed that LF hypertrophy and collagen accumulation were negated when macrophages were depleted (Figure 6B and C).
addition, in the immunofluorescence analyses, neither macrophage infiltration nor an increase of GFP-positive fibroblasts toward the dorsal layer was observed even at 6 weeks after microinjury (Figure 6D). Furthermore, RT-qPCR revealed that the increased gene expression of Col1a1, Col1a2, Col3a1, Tgfb1, Pdgfa, Tnfa, Il1b, and Il6 was canceled out in the injured group under macrophage depletion (Figure 7, A–C). These findings strongly suggested that macrophage infiltration was significantly involved in the development of LF hypertrophy via the stimulation of fibroblasts.

Discussion

In this study, the macrophage depletion experiments with clodronate-lipid directly showed that infiltrating macrophages induced LF hypertrophy. In addition, using COL1a2-GFP transgenic mice, we found that the normal LF was mainly composed of fibroblasts and their number increased in the hypertrophied LF. Furthermore, the LMD revealed that the collagen expression was enhanced in fibroblasts adjacent to the infiltrating macrophages in the model of microinjury-induced LF hypertrophy.

The LF has been believed to be mainly composed of fibroblasts based on previous in vitro studies, which reported that spindle-shaped and collagen type I—producing cells were grown after the human LF was minced and cultured. However, no studies have yet fully clarified the in vivo cellular composition of the normal LF or the in vivo role of fibroblasts in LF hypertrophy. One of the main reasons for this has been a limitation of selective cell isolation methods, which combine transgenic reporter mice, quantitative and qualitative analyses of fibroblasts were successfully performed by combining with flow cytometry in the previous study. In the present study, GFP-positive fibroblasts were selectively isolated by LMD, and the collagen expression in LF fibroblasts varied according to the region with infiltrating macrophages (Figure 5, A and B). These analytical strategies, which combine transgenic reporter mice with selective cell isolation methods, will be useful in studies of fibrotic diseases.

Previous histologic studies using human LF samples reported the fibrotic characteristics, such as the increased collagen fibers, calcification, and ossification, especially at the dorsal layer of the hypertrophied LF. In addition to these, we found the increased expression of fibrosis-related factors, including TGF-β1, platelet-derived growth factor, and connective tissue growth factor, in the dorsal layer of the human hypertrophied LF.
(Figure 2F). Sairyo et al.²⁹ reported that the dorsal layer of the LF was subjected to approximately 1.5 times higher mechanical stress compared with the dural layer during lumbar motion, suggesting that mechanical stress was involved in the fibrotic changes at the dorsal layer. However, although we previously succeeded in establishing a LF hypertrophy model by applying consecutive mechanical stretching stress to the mouse LF, no excessive collagen accumulation was observed at the dorsal

![Figure 6](image-url) Macrophage depletion attenuated the synthesis of collagen and ligamentum flavum (LF) hypertrophy after microinjury. **A:** The schedule of clodronate-lip injection for the depletion of macrophages. **B:** Sagittal sections of the microinjured LF under macrophage depletion (hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining) and Elastica–van Gieson (EVG) staining. The dashed black line indicates the outline of the LF. The boxed area indicates the area of microinjury. The asterisk indicates the dorsal layer of the microinjured LF. The right panel is a magnification of the boxed area in the left panel. **C:** Bar graphs showing the thickness of the LF in the three groups (the noninjured LF and the microinjured LF with and without macrophage depletion). **D:** Immunohistochemical staining of fibroblasts (green fluorescent protein (GFP), green), macrophages (Iba1, red), and cell nuclei (Hoechst, blue) in the microinjured LF of collagen type I α2 linked to GFP transgenic reporter mice under macrophage depletion. The asterisks indicate the area of microinjury. The dashed white lines indicate the outline of the LF. Data are expressed as means ± SEM (C). *P < 0.05 (analysis of variance with Tukey-Kramer post hoc test). n = 8 per group.

![Figure 7](image-url) Macrophage depletion negated the increased expression of collagen and fibrosis-related factors following microinjury. **A–C:** The quantitative RT-PCR–based evaluation of the gene expression of collagen, fibrogenic cytokines, and inflammatory cytokines in the three groups (the noninjured ligamentum flavum and the microinjured ligamentum flavum with and without macrophage depletion). Data are expressed as means ± SEM. *P < 0.05, (analysis of variance with Tukey-Kramer post hoc test). n = 8 per group.
layer of the hypertrophied LF.16 Thus, we hypothesized that a factor other than mechanical stress was associated with the progression of LF hypertrophy and focused on macrophages, which were suggested to worsen the fibrotic pathology through the secretion of fibroblast-stimulating growth factors in several fibrosis models.11–13 In contrast to the previous model of mechanical stress without macrophage infiltration,16 we confirmed LF hypertrophy along with collagen accumulation by macrophage infiltration in this study (Figure 4, B–D). We therefore hypothesize that the pathomechanism of LF hypertrophy is biphasic: mechanical stress is significantly involved from the early stage of LF hypertrophy, whereas macrophage infiltration is a trigger for the induction of further hypertrophy via stimulating collagen synthesis.

Macrophages have been reported to contribute to the progression of fibrosis in several organs.11–13 They are roughly classified into proinflammatory M1 and anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages, and previous studies have reported that M2 macrophages were important for stimulating collagen synthesis and worsening fibrosis.13,30 For example, transferring M2 macrophages, but not M1 macrophages, induced collagen accumulation and worsened renal fibrosis.13,30 In CX3CR1-deficient mice in which the infiltration of M2 macrophages was inhibited, skin fibrotic lesions were significantly suppressed compared with wild-type mice.31 Considering that a histologic characteristic of LF hypertrophy is also abnormal collagen deposition (Figure 1), we speculate that M2 macrophages play an important role in LF hypertrophy.

TGF-β1 has been considered a particularly important factor for stimulating collagen synthesis in fibrotic diseases of several organs.32,33 In addition, this cytokine also promotes the differentiation of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts.34–36 Myofibroblasts are known to express α-SMA and contribute to the development of fibrosis by producing abnormal collagen.32,35 In the human hypertrophied LF, α-SMA–positive myofibroblasts were reported to be observed.37 Hur et al37 reported that TGF-β1 increased the gene expression of α-SMA and collagen in cultured human LF cells. These results suggested that TGF-β1 was associated with LF hypertrophy through the promotion of collagen production and myofibroblast differentiation. In the present study, we found that the increased expression of TGF-β1 induced by microinjury was significantly suppressed in our macrophage depletion model (Figures 5 and 7), strongly indicating that the main source of this cytokine in LF hypertrophy was infiltrating macrophages. Therefore, we suggest that infiltrating macrophages are crucial for the progression of LF hypertrophy via TGF-β1 secretion associated with collagen production and myofibroblast differentiation.

The present study has several limitations. The origin of the increase in fibroblasts in the hypertrophied LF remains unclear. Fibroblasts are largely classified into two types: interstitial resident fibroblasts and bone marrow–derived fibroblasts (ie, circulating fibrocytes). It is controversial which type of fibroblasts deeply contribute to collagen deposition in fibrotic diseases.15,23,38 Further studies are needed to determine whether the proliferation of resident fibroblasts or the infiltration of circulating fibrocytes is mainly involved in LF hypertrophy to clarify the nonsurgical therapeutic targets in LF hypertrophy. In addition to this limitation, it is not clear whether the accumulation of mechanical stress indeed causes microinjury at the dorsal layer of the human LF. However, we believe that our model of LF hypertrophy with macrophage infiltration reveals the pathologic characteristics of human LF hypertrophy and is therefore useful for obtaining a better understanding of its pathogenesis.

In conclusion, we showed, for the first time, that macrophage infiltration was a causative factor for LF hypertrophy via collagen accumulation in mice. In addition, we showed in vivo that fibroblasts were a source of collagen production in LF hypertrophy using the COL1a2-GFP transgenic mice. Furthermore, the experiments using LMD revealed that infiltrating macrophages were associated with LF hypertrophy via activating fibroblasts.
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