Combination of Aβ Suppression and Innate Immune Activation in the Brain Significantly Attenuates Amyloid Plaque Deposition
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Anti-Aβ clinical trials are currently under way to determine whether preventing amyloid deposition will be beneficial in arresting progression of Alzheimer disease. Both clinical and preclinical studies suggest that antiamyloid strategies are only effective if started at early stages of the disease process in a primary prevention strategy. Because this approach will be difficult to deploy, strategies for secondary prevention aimed at later stages of disease are also needed. In this study, we asked whether combining innate immune activation in the brain with concurrent Aβ suppression could enhance plaque clearance and could improve pathologic outcomes in mice with moderate amyloid pathologic disorder. Starting at 5 months of age, tet-off amyloid precursor protein transgenic mice were treated with doxycycline (dox) to suppress further amyloid precursor protein/Aβ production, and at the same time mice were intracranially injected with adeno-associated virus 1 expressing murine IL-6 (AAV1-mIL-6). Three months later, mice treated with the combination of Aβ suppression and AAV1-mIL-6 showed significantly less plaque pathologic disorder than dox or AAV1-mIL-6 only groups. The combination of AAV1-mIL-6 + dox treatment lowered total plaque burden by >60% versus untreated controls. Treatment with either dox or AAV1-mIL-6 alone was less effective than the combination. Our results suggest a synergistic mechanism by which the up-regulation of mIL-6 was able to improve plaque clearance in the setting of Aβ suppression. (Am J Pathol 2017, 187: 2886–2894; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2017.08.010)

The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer disease (AD) postulates that Aβ accumulation in the brain triggers a pathologic cascade that leads to neurodegeneration and progressive cognitive impairment.1–3 Recent biomarker and amyloid positron emission tomography imaging studies suggest that brain Aβ amyloidosis may begin several decades before overt neurodegeneration and cognitive deficits.4,5 From these observations, significant time and effort has been devoted in the development of anti-Aβ therapies. Numerous studies of anti-Aβ immunotherapy have shown efficacy in preventing amyloid deposition in AD mouse models (reviewed in Golde et al6,7); however, when advanced to human clinical trials, these approaches have largely failed to stabilize or improve cognitive function.7–11 These failures have led to the assumption that by the time patients are clinically diagnosed with AD, it may be too late for anti-Aβ therapies to be effective.3,7 Given that timing is critical, prevention trials are now under way in genetically identified at-risk patients (APOE4 and PSEN1 E280A carriers) and in cognitive normal subjects with preexisting amyloid pathologic disorder (A4 study12). Promising results were recently reported in a phase Ib trial of anti-Aβ antibody aducanumab in patients with mild disease, showing reductions of amyloid signal in the brain and some cognitive benefit.13 Despite this success, clinical failures continue to beset the AD field, because Merck & Co (Kenilworth, NJ) recently halted their trial of β-site amyloid precursor protein enzyme (BACE)1 inhibitor verubecestat in mild-to-moderate AD for lack of...
efficacy. Clearly, more aggressive or novel treatment strategies are needed for patients with moderate or advanced disease.

We had previously demonstrated that innate immune activation in the brain by overexpression of mIL-6 and other cytokines can significantly attenuate amyloid deposition in amyloid precursor protein (APP) mouse models. These studies suggested that cytokine up-regulation enhanced microglial-mediated Aβ clearance to attenuate amyloid deposition only when initiated in young APP mice before amyloid deposition. Immune activation was far less effective in reducing pathologic disorder when tested after plaque onset. We hypothesized that a combination approach may offer improved efficacy at these stages when considerable pathologic disorder already exists. Here, we tested whether combining immune activation with Aβ reduction would be more effective than either treatment alone in mice harboring moderate preexisting plaque load. We took advantage of the tet-off APP transgenic line to genetically suppress APP/Aβ production mid-life and simultaneously induced microglial activation with the use of adeno-associated virus (AAV1)-mediated murine (m)IL-6 overexpression. After 3 months of treatment, mice were harvested for histopathologic analysis to determine how the combination approach compared with Aβ suppression or AAV-mIL-6 treatment alone.

Materials and Methods

Mice

APP transgenic mice, under the control of the tet-off promoter (tet off-APPswe/ind, line 102) were used for these studies. The tet off-APPswe/ind mice were mated to mice expressing tetracycline transactivator (tTA) under the control of the Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent kinase II promoter—tTA line B. Male APP/tTA double-transgenic mice were then mated with wild-type Friend leukemia virus B female mice to generate experimental mice for these studies (n = 5 to 8 females per group). All animal procedures were approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Doxycycline Administration

Doxycycline (dox) treatment was started at 5 months of age and continued for 3 months until harvest at 8 months of age. Dox was formulated in mouse chow (Purina 5001 chow; Bio-Serv, Flemington, NJ) at a concentration of 50 mg/kg.

AAV1 Preparation and Hippocampal Injections

AAV1 expressing mIL-6 or enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under the control of the cytomegalo virus enhancer/chicken β-actin promoter was generated and injected in both sides of the hippocampus as described previously. For stereotactic injections, mice (n = 5 to 8 females per group) were anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane in 1% oxygen and secured into a Kopf apparatus (Model 900 Small Animal Stereotactic Instrument; David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). The coordinates for hippocampal injection were −1.7 caudal, −1.6 lateral, and −1.2 ventral from the bregma. A UMP2 Microsyringe Injector and Micro4 Controller were used to inject 2 μL of AAV (AAV1-mIL6 at 7.10E+12 viral particles/hippocampus and AAV1-EGFP at 6.3E+12 viral particles/hippocampus) at a constant rate over a 10-minute period. After an additional 10 minutes were allowed, the needle was raised slowly, the scalp incision was closed aseptically, and mice were revived under a heating lamp.

Immunoblotting, Cytokine ELISA, and Aβ ELISA

For measurements of Aβ levels in mice, frozen left hemibrains were sequentially extracted in Tris-buffered saline (TBS), TBS buffer containing 1% Triton X-100 (TBSx), and 5 mol/L guanidine in 50 mm Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, as described previously. Aβ levels from forebrain lysates (cortex + hippocampus combined) of APP/tTA mice were measured biochemically using human Aβ end-specific sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as described previously. IL-6 cytokine levels were analyzed from TBSx-solubilized forebrain lysates (cortex + hippocampus combined) using BD OptiELISA ELISA kits (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). To detect Aβ levels, TBSx-extracted protein samples were separated on Bis-Tris 12% XT gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), then probed with CT20 antibody (dilution 1:1000; Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL) as previously described. Relative band intensity was quantified using ImageJ software version 1.43 (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

Immunohistochemical Staining and Image Processing

After tissue harvesting, the right hemi brain was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 hours, paraffin embedded, and 5-μm sections were used for immunostaining using the following antibodies as described before: pan-Aβ antibody 33.1.1 (dilution 1:1500; Mayo Clinic), Aβ 1-40 specific monoclonal antibody (mab) 13.1.1 (dilution 1:500, Mayo Clinic), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; dilution 1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), Iba-1 (dilution 1:1000; Wako, Richmond, VA), and EGFP (dilution 1:1000; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Target antigen retrieval was performed by steaming the sections for 30 minutes in deionized water. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked for 5 minutes with 0.03% hydrogen peroxide. Sections were then blocked with 5% normal goat serum for 20 minutes. Subsequently, sections were incubated 1 hour at room temperature in primary antibodies, then incubated in secondary antibodies (Envision-Plus—labeled polymer-horseradish peroxidase; Dako, Carpentaria, CA), for 30 minutes at room temperature. Peroxidase labeling was visualized with the chromogen solution 3, 3′-diaminobenzidine. Sections immunostained for microglia (Iba1) and astrocytes (GFAP)
were further stained with 1% Congo red (Sigma-Aldrich) to visualize amyloid plaques. Additional sections were also stained with 1% Thioflavin S (Thio-S, practical grade; Sigma-Aldrich) to visualize amyloid plaques. Immunostained sections were captured using the Scanscope XT image scanner (Aperio Technologies, Vista, CA). Aβ plaque burden in the cortex and hippocampus was calculated using the Positive Pixel Count Program (Imagescope software, version 12.3; Aperio Technologies) in sections immunostained with pan-Aβ 33.1.1 or αAβ 1-40—specific mab 13.1.1 antibody. At least three sections per mouse brain (n = 5 to 8 mice per group) were used to calculate the average plaque burden in the hippocampus and cortex for each sample. All of the above analyses were performed in a blinded manner (C.V., and A.C.). Thio-S quantitation (mean area and integrated density in the cortex and hippocampus) was performed using ImageJ.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA from mice hippocampus was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA was dissolved in nuclease-free water and stored at −80°C. Reverse transcription was performed using Superscript III (Invitrogen) with the use of Mastercycler pro, and the reaction mix was subjected to quantitative RT-PCR with the use of iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) to detect the amplification products. Relative quantification of mRNA expression was calculated by the ΔΔCT method after adjusting the levels to the corresponding internal glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase control for each sample.

The sequences of primers used to amplify target genes by quantitative RT-PCR were as follows: Gapdh [5′-AGGTCAAGGTGTGAACGGATATTG-3′ (forward) and 3′-TGTAGACCATGTA-GTTGAGTCA-3′ (reverse)], Aif1 [5′-CTTGAAGCAATGCTGGAAGA-3′ (forward) and 5′-GGCAGCTCGGATAGCTTTT-3′ (reverse)], Il1α [5′-GTTGAGAA-3′ (forward) and 5′-CCCAGGACATATTCAAGGCA-3′ (reverse)], Cx3cr1 [5′-ACCGGTACCTTGCATTGGCT-3′ (forward) and 5′-ACACCGGTACCTTGCATTGGCTC-3′ (reverse)], Trem2 [5′-GCTTTCTCTGAAAGAAGCCGGA-3′ (forward) and 5′-AGTGTAGGGTACCGGTTTACCAATC-3′ (reverse)], Hexb [5′-ACTCCAGATTATTGGCCTGCACG-3′ (forward) and 5′-GCTATTCCACCGGTACCATT-3′ (reverse)], and Gfap [5′-ACCACGTTCACGGCCACAAG-3′ (forward) and 5′-CCACCGATTTACCTTTCTC-3′ (reverse)].

Statistical Analysis

Two-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s multiple-comparison post hoc test or 2-tailed t-test was used for statistical comparison (GraphPad Prism 6 Software; GraphPad, San Diego, CA).

Results

Combining Aβ Suppression with Innate Immune Activation Significantly Attenuates Amyloid Deposition in Aged APP/tTA Mice

All treatments were started at 5 months of age to ensure that APP/tTA mice would already have developed a moderate amyloid load throughout the cortex before any intervention. A schematic for the experimental design, including all treatment groups, is provided in Figure 1A. Mice used for combination treatment were fed with dox (50 mg/kg in chow) to suppress further expression of transgenic APP/αβ by approximately 50% versus untreated (no dox) mice (Figure 1, B and C). At the same age, combination treatment
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mice were administered recombinant AAV1-mIL-6 by stereotaxic intracranial injection to target the hippocampus to promote innate immune activation. When AAV1-IL-6 vector is injected into the mouse brain at postnatal day 0, this approach produces widespread expression of mIL-6 in the mouse brain.16 Here, a control AAV1 was used to deliver EGFP by stereotaxic injection into the adult hippocampus to demonstrate that this approach expresses the gene of interest in the hippocampus and overlying cortical layers (Figure 1D). That the stereotaxic hippocampal injection of AAV1-mIL-6 results were confirmed in more than threefold elevation of mIL-6 protein in the brain as measured by ELISA from TBS-solubilized forebrain lysates (cortex + hippocampus combined): 3435.8 ± 812 mg/mL in the AAV-IL-6—infected mice versus 1105.4 ± 213 mg/mL in untreated controls.

Animals were harvested at 8 months of age after 3 months of differential treatment. We first evaluated the impact of combining Aβ suppression (dox treatment) with AAV1-mIL-6 on amyloid deposition in the brains of APP/tTA mice. Mice treated with AAV1-mIL-6 + dox showed significant attenuation of amyloid deposition in the cortex (11.7% surface area) (Figure 2, B and H) versus untreated controls (29.9% surface area) (Figure 2, A). APP/tTA mice treated only with dox for the same length of time had a smaller reduction in amyloid deposition (23.1% surface area) (Figure 2, A), whereas treatment with AAV1-mIL-6 alone (mIL6-only group) had minimal effect on amyloid deposition (27.4% surface area) (Figure 2, F and H). Because AAV1-mIL-6 was targeted to the hippocampus, we also assessed Aβ plaque burden in the hippocampus. Once again, the combination of AAV1-mIL-6 significantly reduced amyloid deposition (11.5% surface area) (Figure 2, E and I) versus untreated controls (34.7% surface area) (Figure 2, B and I). Hippocampal amyloid burden in the other experimental groups were as follows (Figure 2, A–I): dox only (24.0% surface area), AAV1-mIL6 only (40.0% surface area), AAV1-EGFP + dox (18.4% surface area), and AAV1-EGFP only (37.1% surface area). ELISA Aβ measurement from guanidine-solubilized forebrain lysates (cortex + hippocampus combined) showed similar results: the concentration of Aβ42 was significantly reduced relative to untreated controls only in mice receiving AAV1-mIL-6 + dox (Table 1). The
Table 1  Aβ ELISA Showed a Significant Decrease of Guanidine-Extracted Aβ42 in the Combination AAV1-mIL-6 + Dox Group versus Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aβ species</th>
<th>Pretreated</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th>mIL-6 only</th>
<th>Dox only</th>
<th>mIL-6 + dox</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aβ42, pmol/g</td>
<td>2301 ± 302</td>
<td>4973 ± 402</td>
<td>4327 ± 394</td>
<td>3947 ± 280</td>
<td>2764 ± 377*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aβ40, pmol/g</td>
<td>2094 ± 285</td>
<td>3773 ± 362</td>
<td>3628 ± 275</td>
<td>2668 ± 257</td>
<td>2325 ± 299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SEM.

*P < 0.05.

AAV1-mIL-6, adeno-associated virus 1 expressing murine IL-6; Dox, doxycycline; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

Combination Treatment Is More Effective Than Aβ Suppression or AAV1-mIL-6 Treatment Alone

To further assess the efficacy of combination treatment, we compared amyloid plaque burden in the brains of untreated controls with either single modality (dox only and AAV1-mIL-6 only) versus combination treatment (AAV1-mIL-6 + dox) (Figure 2J). Immunohistologic analysis of pan-Aβ staining showed that at the start of the experiment, 5-month-old APP/tTA mice harbored a moderate degree of preexisting amyloid in the cortex (9.1% surface area). Left untreated, the area of cortical Aβ staining in APP/tTA mice harvested at 8 months of age increased by approximately threefold (29.9% surface area). Relative to the approximately 30% burden in untreated mice, administration of AAV1-mIL-6 produced only a small approximately 8% decrease in amyloid plaque area. Similarly, in the dox-only group, amyloid plaque burden decreased by just approximately 21%. In contrast, treatment with AAV1-mIL-6 + dox decreased plaque area by approximately 60% versus untreated controls, showing that combination treatment was significantly more effective in attenuating amyloid plaque deposition than either Aβ suppression or AAV1-mIL-6 treatment alone.

We then evaluated whether combination treatment affected dense-core amyloid plaques in the APP/tTA mice with the use of Thio-S histological examination and Aβ40 immunostaining to detect this subset of Aβ deposits.22 APP/tTA mice treated with AAV1-mIL-6 + dox showed significant reductions in both measures of dense-core plaque burden (Figure 3) versus untreated controls. Comparable reductions in dense-core plaque load were also seen in the dox-only group and, to a lesser extent, in the mIL-6-only group (Figure 3), suggesting that combination therapy did not promote the clearance of fibrillar plaques beyond the effect attained by Aβ suppression alone.

Microglial Activation by mIL-6 as a Mediator of Aβ Clearance

We previously demonstrated that mIL-6 activates both astrocytes and microglia in the cortex and hippocampus of AAV1-mIL-6–injected APP mice.16 mIL-6 overexpression promotes microglial up-regulation of phagocytic markers such as CD11b, suggesting a role for activated microglia in Aβ clearance.16 Consistent with this earlier finding, treatment with AAV1-mIL-6 in the combination group significantly increased the density of Iba-1–positive microglia and GFAP-positive astrocytes. Throughout the hippocampus and cortex, both cell types displayed morphologic changes such as hypertrophy consistent with immune activation in mice given AAV1-mIL6 + dox (Figure 4, A and B). Whereas in dox only and AAV1-EGFP + dox control groups, Iba-1–positive microglia and GFAP-positive astrocytes were predominantly clustered around plaques (Figure 4, A and B). Quantitative real-time PCR confirmed that Aif1 mRNA encoding Iba1 was increased approximately threefold in the mIL-6 + dox group versus dox only and AAV1-EGFP + dox control mice (Figure 4C). Moreover, Itgam mRNA encoding the microglial pattern recognition and professional phagocytic receptor CD11b23 was increased >20-fold, whereas the fractalkine receptor Cx3cr1 mRNA was increased >10-fold in the mIL-6 + dox group versus the dox-only and AAV1-EGFP + dox control mice (Figure 4C), mRNA for the lysosomal β-hexosaminidase Hexb and the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 Trem224,25 were not significantly altered (Figure 4C).

Discussion

The failure of multiple recent anti-Aβ clinical trials in patients with mild-to-moderate AD has prompted discussion of future trials to test preventative treatments. Despite the disappointing results for Aβ-lowering therapies when started after the onset of cognitive symptoms, the same approaches remain viable options for AD prevention, particularly in at-risk patients who harbor amyloid pathologic disorder but are still cognitively normal. This unmet need led us to investigate whether combining two complementary approaches for Aβ reduction might improve efficacy at this stage of preclinical disease. We used a mouse model to test whether lowering Aβ production by suppressing expression of transgenic APP and concurrently enhancing Aβ clearance by activation of the innate immune system in the brain would attain better plaque control than either approach alone. We show that in mice that harbor a moderate plaque burden at the outset of treatment, the
combination strategy was significantly more effective at preventing further plaque deposition than either treatment alone.

Our present findings support previous work showing that substantial plaque clearance could be attained by combining APP/Aβ suppression with anti-Aβ immunotherapy.26 One important difference between the present and previous experiments is the extent to which transgenic APP was suppressed. In our earlier study, we maximally suppressed transgenic APP by >95% before adding passive immunotherapy as an adjuvant treatment.26 Here, we opted for partial APP reduction by using a lower dosage of doxycycline to attain approximately 50% transgene suppression. We think that partial transgene reduction more accurately mimicked the effect of Aβ-lowering drugs such as BACE1 inhibitors that may someday be used in the clinic. Lowering the amount of dox used for transgene suppression had the added benefit of avoiding any potential interference between the antibiotic and mIL-6-mediated immune activation in the brain.

We16–18 and others27 have previously reported that viral-mediated cytokine expression in the brain (eg, IL-6, IL-1β, tumor necrosis factor α, interferon-γ) from birth significantly attenuates amyloid formation in adult APP transgenic mice. Expression of innate immune cytokines provoked microglial activation to enhance microglial uptake and clearance of Aβ. In the present study, we show that mIL-6 expression also prompts microglial activation and increased expression of phagocytic receptors, lending further support for the idea that microglial phagocytosis plays a key role in the cytokine-stimulated clearance of Aβ aggregates.

**Figure 3** Thioflavine-S—positive amyloid plaques were less responsive to combination therapy than diffuse Aβ deposits. A and B: Representative brain sections stained with (A) Thioflavine-S or (B) anti-Aβ 13.1.1 antibody. C and D: Quantification of Thioflavine-S (C) or anti-Aβ 13.1.1—stained plaque load (D) shows a significant decrease in dense-cored plaque load in murine (m) IL-6 + doxycycline (dox) and dox-only groups versus untreated mice. ***P < 0.001. Scale bars: 500 μm (A and B, left column); 100 μm (A and B, right column).
In our previous study, lifelong overexpression of IL-6 in APP mice was highly effective in preventing amyloid plaque deposition. When IL-6 expression was introduced into adult APP mice with existing disease, however, this intervention had only a small effect on subsequent plaque load. This difference suggests that once plaques are formed, mIL-6 activation becomes less effective for Aβ clearance because plaque-associated Aβ peptide may be less amenable to microglial uptake or by other clearance mechanisms. Alternatively, past a certain age the rate of deposition in this model may exceed the rate of clearance even after innate immune activation. By diminishing the rate of Aβ production with the use of dox, we have rebalanced this equation by slowing plaque formation while simultaneously accelerating Aβ clearance through local mIL-6 immune activation. Compared with dox or AAV1-mIL-6 expression alone, the combination of AAV1-mIL-6 and Aβ suppression was significantly more effective in attenuating amyloid deposition and removing diffuse amyloid plaques. Of note, however, even combination treatment was unable to remove fibrillar Thio-S–positive plaques, leaving the area of Thio-S staining unchanged from pretreatment levels. This finding suggests that once Thio-S plaques are formed, they are resistant to removal even by cytokine-activated microglial activation.

Figure 4  Adeno-associated virus 1 expressing murine IL-6 (AAV1-mIL-6)—injected mice show enhanced reactive gliosis. A and B: Representative images of Congo red-stained amyloid plaques decorated with (A) anti-Iba-1 immunostained microglia or (B) anti-enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFAP)-immunostained astrocytes in each treatment group. Mice receiving mIL-6 + doxycycline (dox) show more widespread microglial and astrocytic activation in the parenchyma. C: Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of microglial markers showed increased mRNA expression of several microglial gene products and the astrocyte marker Gfap in the mIL-6 + dox group versus controls. Data are expressed as fold change from untreated control mice. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 versus untreated controls. Scale bars: 500 μm (A and B, left column); 100 μm (A and B, right column).
cells. Finally, in all treatment paradigms, total Aβ plaque burden (diffuse + fibrillar) was higher at the end of the experiment than at the outset of treatment even in mice receiving combination treatment. We conclude that the main effect of our combination strategy was robust attenuation of ongoing amyloid deposition rather than removal of preexisting plaques.

Microglial cells are important regulators of central nervous system health. Recent genetic studies have identified heterozygous missense mutations in the microglial TREM2 receptor as risk factors for AD and other neurodegenerative disorders, highlighting a role for microglial dysfunction in pathogenesis. Microglial cells may also become senescent with aging, rendering them less able to remove toxic protein aggregates or apoptotic neurons from the brain. Modulating the microglial activation state may therefore be an attractive therapeutic approach for AD and other age-related neurodegenerative diseases. Before this treatment strategy is considered in the clinic, potential side effects associated with increased proinflammatory cytokine levels in the central nervous system, such as sickness behavior, altered synaptic function, and/or transient cognitive impairment, should be carefully evaluated. Although we do not see overt toxicity with mLIL-6 expression in our studies, monitoring of these possible altered behavioral phenotypes and ways to alleviate these symptoms during treatment should be considered before the start of a clinical trial. Although direct AAV-mediated expression of cytokines in the brain may not be feasible in the clinic, the central neuroimmune axis might also be accessed by targeting peripheral immune cells. Several recent studies suggest that manipulating the peripheral immune system can alter central nervous system microglial responses in mouse models of AD. Systemic injections of the lipopolysaccharide-derived Toll-like receptor 4 agonist monophosphoryl lipid A elicited a phagocytic microglial response in the brain that attenuated plaque deposition and improved cognitive function in APP/PS1 mice. Similarly, peripheral stimulation with a Toll-like receptor 9 agonist, class B CpG (cytosine–phosphate–guanine) oligodeoxynucleotides, reduced both amyloid and tau pathologic disorder in Tg2576 and 3x-TgAD mice and lowered cerebral amyloid angiopathy pathologic disorder in Tg-SwDI mice. Blocking the immune checkpoint programed death-1 pathway with peripheral injection of anti–programed death-1 antibody promoted the recruitment of monocyte-derived macrophages to the brain, enhanced amyloid-β plaque clearance, and improved cognitive outcomes in 5XFAD mice. Finally, transient depletion of Foxp3-positive regulatory T cells (Tregs) stimulated Aβ plaque clearance and improved behavioral deficits in 5XFAD mice. Collectively, these studies strongly support the potential benefit of central neuroimmune activation in AD.

Although we were unable to directly compare our genetic APP reduction with treatment combinations based on pharmacologic Aβ inhibitors such as BACE1 blockers, our findings nevertheless support further study using such combination strategies. Our results also reveal limitations to this approach. However, although our study does show significant attenuation of ongoing plaque formation, even combination treatment was unable to remove preexisting Thio-S–positive fibrillar plaques. Anti-Aβ antibodies capable of directly binding Thio-S plaques may be needed to stimulate microglial removal of these fibrillar aggregates. Thus, an alternative approach might combine anti-Aβ immunotherapy with innate immune activation of microglial cells to maximize breakdown of deposited plaques. Additional study is clearly warranted. Our work advances the broader discussion of combination treatments by demonstrating that a dual-line approach allowed us to control plaque deposition in adult APP mice at an age when neither strategy alone was able. These results suggest that in the emerging clinical setting of secondary prevention for AD, combination approaches should be given strong consideration for future trials because they may better curtail Aβ pathologic disorder and do so at lower doses for each line of treatment.
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