Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is one of the most aggressive malignancies, with <9% patient survival after 5 years. Current therapeutic approaches include surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy. Surgery is the most common treatment for early-stage pancreatic cancer, but it can be performed in <20% of patients. The main chemotherapeutic drug used to treat pancreatic cancer, gemcitabine, has only a limited efficacy; to date, there are no targeted therapies for pancreatic cancer.

Sortilin, also known as neurotensin receptor-3, is a member of the Vps10p-domain receptor family, which was originally identified in neuronal cells. Sortilin was named after an intracellular sorting protein in yeast and has progressively emerged as a key player in the regulation of neuronal viability and function, acting through the regulation of intracellular protein trafficking. It has also been shown to directly associate and regulate the activity of various receptors for neurotrophic factors and neuropeptides, cytokine receptors, tyrosine receptor kinases, G protein–coupled receptors, and ion channels. In addition to its involvement in the nervous system, sortilin is involved in several non-neuronal tissues and pathologic processes. For instance, it is expressed in adipocytes and myotubes, where it acts as a modulator of insulin signaling that regulates glucose transport into the cells. In hepatocytes, sortilin facilitates the export of very-low-density lipoproteins and thereby increases low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in plasma through binding to apolipoprotein B100. Moreover, sortilin is involved in lipid homeostasis by suppressing intestinal cholesterol absorption, and it has also been studied in atherosclerosis and where the genetic deletion of sortilin reduces atherosclerotic plaque size.

In cancers, there is increasing indication that sortilin participates in the deregulation of cancer cell viability. Sortilin is overexpressed in breast, lung, and thyroid cancers. In glioblastoma, sortilin can promote cancer cell invasion through GSK-3β/β-catenin/twist-induced
mesenchymal transition.20 In colorectal cancer, sortilin participates in cancer cell adhesion and metastasis by stimulating Akt and focal adhesion kinase (FAK)-Src signaling.21 Sortilin also promotes tumorigenesis of neuro-endocrine tumors in the small intestine, lung, and thymus through FAK and Src.22 Together, these data point to a potential role for sortilin in tumor progression: to date, however, the expression and potential impact of sortilin in pancreatic cancer have not been reported.

The current study investigated the expression and function of sortilin in pancreatic cancer. Our results show that sortilin is increased in pancreatic cancers and contributes to pancreatic cancer cell invasion.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Reagents

Pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell lines PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, PaCa-44, and BxPC-3 were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA). All cancer cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (11960-044, Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (v/v) Gibco GlutaMAX Supplement (35050061; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% (v/v) carbon dioxide. Normal human pancreatic ductal epithelial (HPDE) cells were obtained from ATCC (CRL-4023) and cultured in Keratinocyte SFM containing 5 ng/mL and 50 μg/mL bovine pituitary extract (17005042; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Estradiol (E8875) and tamoxifen (T5648) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). AF38469 is a pharmacologic inhibitor against sortilin purchased from MCE MedChemExpress (HY-12802; Monmouth Junction, NJ). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (T5648) and tamoxifen (T5648) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). AF38469 is a pharmacologic inhibitor against sortilin purchased from MCE MedChemExpress (HY-12802; Monmouth Junction, NJ). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (T5648). DMSO was used to dissolve reagents (estradiol, tamoxifen, and AF38469). The same v/v DMSO concentration was used as no treatment (NT) control.

Mass Spectrometry

Sample preparation for mass spectrometry was performed by using standard protocols previously described.23 Q-Exactive Plus High Resolution Quadrupole-Orbitrap (Thermo Fisher Scientific) tandem mass spectrometry was used for assays. All tryptic endogenous sortilin peptides at specific charge states (precursor ion m/z) were predicted and analyzed by using Skyline-daily software 64-bit, version 4.1.1. 18151 (MacCoss Lab, University of Washington, Seattle, WA).

Transfection with siRNA

Cells were transfected with 10 nmol/L of siRNA using lipofectamine RNAiMAX (13778150; Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates and transfected 24 hours later with siRNA against sortilin (siSORT CUCUGCU-GUUAAACCACC[dT][dT]; Sigma Aldrich), and a second siRNA against sortilin has been used (siSORT# UUUACAAACCACAAAAUG; Sigma Aldrich). A control siRNA sequence commercially available from Sigma (MISSION siRNA Universal Negative Control #1) was used as siCTRL. The efficiency of sortilin knockdown was assessed by using Western blot analysis.

Western Blot Analysis

Western blot analyses were performed, as previously described,17 with anti-sortilin (1:500 dilution, ANT-009; Alomone Labs, Jerusalem, Israel) and mouse anti-β-actin (1:5000 dilution, A2228; Sigma Aldrich). Antibodies from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA) were also used in a dilution of 1:1000 for SRC proto-oncogene, non-receptor tyrosine kinase (Src) (2100), phospho-Src (Tyr416; 2101), FAK (1009), phospho-FAK (Tyr576/577; 3281), extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (Erk1/2; 9107), phospho-Erk1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204; 4370), AKT serine/threonine kinase 1 (Akt) (9272), phospho-Akt (Ser473) (9271), glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK-3β) (9832), and phospho-GSK-3β (Ser9; 5558).

Cell Viability Assay

Cells were collected from 72 hours’ post-transfection (siSORT or siCTRL) or 24 hours of treatment with 10 μmol/L AF38469. Transfected cells or treated cells were seeded in a 96-well culture plate at 5 × 10³ per well and subsequently incubated with CellTiter-Blue (G8081; Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) at 37°C for 4 hours; fluorescence was then recorded at 560/590 nm.

Apoptosis Assay

To assess cell apoptosis, 1 × 10⁶ cells with sortilin-inhibited treatments were incubated with 100 μL of Muse Annexin V and Dead Cell Reagent (MCH100105; Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) for 20 minutes at room temperature per the manufacturer’s instructions. Analysis was performed by using the Becton Dickinson FACScan flow cytometer (Franklin Lakes, NJ), and the statistics obtained revealed the percentage of the cells represented by alive, apoptotic, and dead populations.

Adhesion Assay

Pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells were transfected with siSORT or siCTRL as indicated above (Cell Viability Assay). Cancer cells were treated with the sortilin inhibitor AF38469 as indicated above. Cells were detached after the treatments by using trypsin free TrypLE Express solution (12604-013; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and seeded at a concentration of
10^5 cells/mL in 12-well Corning cell culture plates (Corning, NY). After 2, 4, and 6 hours, adherent cells were counted under a phase contrast microscope.

**Migration Assay**

Pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells were seeded in 6-well plates (5 × 10^5 cells per well), and after 24 hours, the cell monolayer was scratched with a 200 μL pipette tip, gently rinsed three times with phosphate-buffered saline, and replaced with media containing 0.1% (v/v) fetal bovine serum. Cells were transfected with siSORT or siCTRL as transfection control. Cells were treated with sortilin inhibitor AF38469. After 6, 12, and 24 hours, the gap area was monitored by taking images of three random areas using a phase contrast microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). The reduced gap areas were measured between 0 and 24 hours by using ImageJ software version 1.0 (NIH, Bethesda, MD; https://imagej.nih.gov/ij), and the percentage of reduction areas was calculated relative to control as 100%.

**Invasion Assay**

Pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells posttransfected with siRNA or posttreated with AF38469 were seeded in 24-well plates in triplicate by using a QCM ECMatrix Cell Invasion Assay kit (ECM550; Merck Millipore). After 48 hours' incubation at 37°C, cells were detached from the bottom side of the inserts and dyed, then read with the fluorescence plate reader (BMG Lab-tech, Durham, NC) using a 480/520 nm filter set. In addition, cells invaded on the bottom side were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and stained with 0.01% crystal violet (Sigma Aldrich) for microscopic observation.

**Pancreatic Tissue Samples and Immunohistochemistry**

High-density tumor microarrays (TMAs) were obtained from US Biomax Inc. (Rockville, MD). The TMAs used (HPan-Ade170Sur-01) included a total of 99 pancreatic adenocarcinoma and 48 normal adjacent pancreatic tissues. The diameter of each core on the TMA was 1.5 mm, and the thickness was 4 μm. Immunohistochemistry analysis of TMAs was performed as previously described. Negative controls, using a rabbit DA1E monoclonal antibody IgG XP isotype control antibody (3900; Cell Signaling Technology), are shown in Supplemental Figure S1. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of both pancreatic cancer and normal tissues are also presented (Supplemental Figure S1). The following clinicopathologic information was available: patient sex and age, histologic type, grade, stage, lymph node status, and patient survival. US Biomax Inc. quality controls are described as follows. Each single tissue spot on every array slide was individually examined by pathologists according to World Health Organization published standardizations of...
diagnosis, classification, and pathologic grade. For each specimen collected, informed consent was obtained from both hospital and individual. Discrete legal consent was obtained, and the rights to hold research uses for any purpose or further commercialized uses were waived. In addition, the study was approved by the Human Research Ethic Committee of the University of Newcastle (H-2012-0063), and all experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Digital Quantification of Immunohistochemistry Staining Intensities

Quantification of staining intensities was performed as previously described by using the Aperio AT2 scanner (Leica Biosystems, Mount Waverley, VIC, Australia) and the Halo version 2.0 image analysis platform (Indica Labs, Albuquerque, NM) under the supervision of a pathologist (S.J.K.). Pixel intensity values were used to determine the h-scores for each core (index calculated as the sum of $3 \times$ percentage of pixels with strong staining + $2 \times$ percentage of pixels with intermediate staining + $1 \times$ percentage of pixels with weak staining). Staining intensities were categorized as low (h-score $\leq 50$) and high (h-score $>50$). To assess the association of clinical characteristics and sortilin intensity, simple linear quantile regression on the median was conducted for each of the independent variables and outcomes. Multivariable quantile regressions were conducted on the outcome sortilin. All of the regressions included only those observations that had no missing data for any of the variables involved. Statistical analyses were programed by using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Each core of the TMAs was investigated, and the data were then submitted to statistical analysis (supported by Hunter Medical Research Institute, Clinical Research Design, IT, and Statistical Support unit, New Lambton, NSW, Australia).

The Cancer Genome Atlas and R2 Database Mining

The data of sortilin protein expression in pancreatic cancer were analyzed by using The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. The correlation of gene expression with $SORT1$ in pancreatic cancer was analyzed with the R2 Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform (http://r2.amc.nl, last accessed May 27, 2020).

Statistical Analysis

Associations between clinicopathologic factors with sortilin were analyzed. Sortilin levels (h-scores) were regressed on clinical, demographic, and disease factors in separate univariable normal linear regressions. Participant characteristics stratified by using the h-score indicator ($\leq 50$ vs $>50$) were displayed. The $\chi^2$ P values were used for categorical variables ($\chi^2$ test of association) and analysis of variance $P$ values for continuous variables (test for equality of means). Multivariable quantile regression model was conducted on the outcome h-score of sortilin. The independent variables with $P < 0.5$ in the simple quantile regressions were included in the multivariable regressions for that same outcome. Parameter estimates with 95% CIs computed by using the resampling method are provided. $P$ values given are from the null hypothesis that all of the parameters associated with that variable are zero. The association between survival time and h-score was investigated by using Cox proportional hazards modeling. Statistical analyses were programed by using SAS version 9.4.

For cell viability, apoptosis, adhesion, migration and invasion assays, each condition was performed in triplicate, and statistical analysis was conducted by using Prism.
The results of cell viability, migration, and invasion assays were compared by using a t-test, and cell adhesion over time was compared by using repeated measure two-way analysis of variance.

Results

Sortilin Is Overexpressed in Pancreatic Cancer Cells

Sortilin expression was initially analyzed by using Western blot analysis in pancreatic cancer cell lines (PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44), as well as normal HPDE cells. In all cancer cell lines, a major immunoreactive band at \( \sim 100 \) kDa, the expected molecular weight of sortilin, was observed; a minor band at 50 kDa was also detected. This additional band has already been reported in the literature and may represent a degraded form of sortilin that warrants further characterization. Interestingly, no sortilin band could be found in the HPDE cells, indicating an increased protein expression of sortilin in the pancreatic cancer cell lines (Figure 1A). To further confirm the presence of sortilin in all cancer cell lines, mass spectrometry analysis was used. A total of 13 tryptic peptides of sortilin were detected in the pancreatic cancer cells by using mass spectrometry.

Two peptides (R.DPIYFTGLASEPGAR.S and R.FLFASVDK.D) (Supplemental Table S1) were specific for sortilin. As an illustration, for peptide R.DPIYFTGLASEPGAR.S, five naturally occurring isotopic precursor ions were accurately detected, and a major precursor ion was detected with a mass of 531.9351 m/z (Figure 1B). A total of six high-quality product ions (indicated by different colored peaks) were fragmented from the above precursor ions and detected with high mass accuracy (Figure 1B). In contrast, no sortilin peptides were detected in HPDE cells, confirming that sortilin is not expressed in normal epithelial pancreatic cells.

Sortilin Expression Is Increased in Human Pancreatic Cancer Tissues

To further investigate sortilin protein expression in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas and normal pancreatic tissues, immunohistochemistry was performed by using tissue microarrays. Digital quantification of sortilin, defined according to the h-score, was performed. To analyze the potential association between sortilin staining intensity and clinicopathologic parameters, h-scores were categorized in either low (h-score \( \leq 50 \)) or high (h-score \( > 50 \)) expression groups. In normal pancreatic tissues, sortilin was strongly expressed in Langerhans islets (Figure 2, A and B).

<p>| Table 1 Association between Sortilin Expression and Clinicopathologic Parameters in Pancreatic Cancer |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sortilin intensity</th>
<th>h-score ( \leq 50 )</th>
<th>h-score &gt;50</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal versus cancer</td>
<td>Low h-score ( \leq 50 )</td>
<td>37 (77)</td>
<td>11 (23)</td>
<td>0.0014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n = 48)</td>
<td>High h-score &gt;50</td>
<td>49 (49)</td>
<td>50 (51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer (n = 99)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male (n = 63)</td>
<td>41 (65)</td>
<td>22 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (n = 36)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
<td>28 (78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>( \leq 50 ) (n = 16)</td>
<td>8 (50)</td>
<td>8 (50)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50 (n = 82)</td>
<td>41 (50)</td>
<td>41 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>1 (n = 11)</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>7 (64)</td>
<td>0.3555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 + 3 (n = 88)</td>
<td>45 (51)</td>
<td>43 (49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T stage</td>
<td>T1 + T2 (n = 78)</td>
<td>39 (50)</td>
<td>39 (50)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T3 + T4 (n = 20)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node status</td>
<td>Negative (n = 50)</td>
<td>24 (48)</td>
<td>26 (52)</td>
<td>0.9357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive (n = 43)</td>
<td>21 (49)</td>
<td>22 (51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>0 + I (n = 40)</td>
<td>20 (50)</td>
<td>20 (50)</td>
<td>0.9340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II + IV (n = 59)</td>
<td>29 (49)</td>
<td>30 (51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as n (%) unless otherwise indicated. Immunohistochemical staining in each tissue sample was digitally quantified and categorized as low staining (h-score \( \leq 50 \)), or high staining (h-score >50). Because some cases occasionally missed clinicopathologic parameters, the total number of patients varies in each parameter. The \( \chi^2 \) test was used to test statistical association.

*\( P < 0.05.\)
Although sortilin was found in normal epithelial cells, the percentage of cases with high sortilin expression in epithelial cells was higher in cancer samples than in normal samples (51% vs 23%, respectively; \( P = 0.0014 \)) (Table 1). In pancreatic cancer, the expression of sortilin was mainly localized in cancer cells, as well as in some fibroblasts, a major type of cell in the stroma, which were also clearly positive for sortilin (Figure 2, C–F). Higher sortilin expression levels were observed in a large proportion of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells (Figure 2, C–F). Sortilin was expressed in the cytoplasm of cancer cells (Figure 2, C–F). Digital quantification indicated an increase in sortilin expression in cancer cells compared with epithelial cells in the normal pancreas (\( P = 0.0014 \)) (Table 1). Sortilin expression (h-score >50) was found in 50% of pancreatic cancer tissues, and high sortilin expression (h-score >70) was found in 21% of pancreatic cancer cases. Together, these data show that sortilin protein expression is increased in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

**Sortilin Expression in Pancreatic Cancer Is Higher in Female Cases**

Comparison of sortilin expression with clinicopathologic parameters revealed a significant association between sortilin expression and sex (\( P < 0.0001 \)) (Table 1), and multivariable quantile regression confirmed this association (\( P = 0.0468 \)) (Supplemental Table S2) with more sortilin expression in female cases compared with male cases. However, when female cases were analyzed separately, no statistically significant associations were observed with other clinicopathologic parameters (Supplemental Table S3). The mean h-score in female patients was 64 compared with 49 in male patients. High sortilin expression was detected in 77% of female cancers versus 34% of male cancers (Figure 3A). In addition, The Cancer Genome Atlas database\(^26\) for tumor pancreatic adenocarcinoma (TCGA-178-rsem-tcgars, \( n = 178 \); included 98 male and 80 female cases) was searched. The correlation analysis showed that there was a positive association between \( \text{SORT1} \) (sortilin gene) and \( \text{ESR1} \) (estrogen receptor gene) in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (\( R = 0.207, P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 3B). In Western blot analysis, the antiestrogenic drug tamoxifen was found to reduce sortilin expression in \( \text{PaCa-44} \) and \( \text{BxPC-3} \) cells (Figure 3C). The \( \text{BxPC-3} \) cell line was tested because it was originally derived from a female patient with pancreatic cancer, whereas the other three cell lines were derived from male patients. These results suggest that estrogen signaling may up-regulate sortilin expression. With regard to the other clinicopathologic parameters, no significant association was detected between sortilin expression and patient age, tumor size, stage, or lymph node invasion (Table 1). However, although no significant association was observed between sortilin expression and grade in univariable analysis (Table 1), a significant association was found in multivariable analysis (\( P = 0.0467 \)), with less sortilin expression in high-grade tumors (Supplemental Table S2). It is not unusual for multivariate analysis to yield results slightly different from those of univariate analysis, as the relative weighting of multiple variables is taken into account; however, this
discrepancy shows that further studies involving a larger number of samples are warranted to clarify a potential association between sortilin expression and grade.

Sortilin Is Not Involved in Pancreatic Cancer Cell Viability and Apoptosis

To investigate the functional impact of sortilin in pancreatic cancer cells, siRNA against sortilin (siSORT) and a pharmacologic inhibitor of sortilin (AF38469) were used. Western blot analysis was used to assess the efficacy of siSORT at 72 hours after transfection. In PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44 cell lines, the down-regulation of sortilin was complete at 72 hours (Figure 4A). Microscopic observations of posttreated with siRNA (siCTRL) or siSORT or posttreated with AF38469 are shown. A large population of cancer cells are detached and have morphologic changes compared with the control. C: The viability of pancreatic cancer cells is studied. Left panel: PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44 cell lines were transfected with siSORT versus siCTRL separately. The histograms represent relative mean percentage of alive cells. There is no significant difference between sortilin-inhibited and the siCTRL. Right panel: The viability of pancreatic cancer cell lines treated with AF38469 is measured. The histograms represent the relative mean percentage of alive cells. The changes between AF38469-treated and no treatment are analyzed as shown, with no significance. D: The impact of cell apoptosis is detected by using dual labels of Annexin V and 7-AAD and analyzed via flow cytometry. Representative dot plots display that Q3-1, Q4-1, Q2-1, and Q1-1, respectively, indicate the populations of the alive, early apoptotic, late apoptotic, and dead cells. The percentage of each cell population is calculated and marked at the upper-right corner. The percentages of dead cells in the sortilin-inhibited groups are low, with no statistical significance. Error bars represent SD. Original magnification, ×100 (B). FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; NT, no treatment.
negative control. The effects on cell viability and apoptosis were measured. There was no significant difference in cell numbers in AF38469-treated compared with NT in any of the three cancer cell lines (Figure 4C). These results indicate that sortilin is not involved in the control of pancreatic cancer cell viability.

The impact of sortilin targeting on pancreatic cancer cell survival and apoptosis was next detected. The proportion of dead cells was recorded as 0.2%, 0.1%, and 0.1% in sortilin-targeted samples in PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44 cells, respectively. When pancreatic cancer cells were treated with AF38469, the proportion of dead cells was 2.4%, 0.1%, and 0.1% in PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44 cells (Figure 4D). The proportion of early apoptosis (Q4-1) was increased in the siSORT and AF38469 groups compared with their corresponding control groups. This indicated the decrease tendency of cell viability (Figure 4C). The proportions of alive cells (Q3-1) were 16.9%, 5.7%, and 10.9% less in siSORT than in siCTRL in PANC-1, MIA PaCa2, and PaCa-44, whereas there was 10.5%, 10.6%, and 9.8% fewer alive cells in PANC-1, MIA PaCa2, and PaCa-44 in the presence of AF38469 compared with NT. This could explain the decrease of cell viability in sortilin-inhibited groups compared with their corresponding controls (Figure 4D). Forward scatter versus side scatter plots displayed no differences between siCTRL versus siSORT or

Figure 5 Impact of sortilin on adhesion, migration, and invasion of pancreatic cancer cell lines. siRNA against sortilin (siSORT) and the AF38469 sortilin pharmacologic inhibitor decrease the adhesion, migration, and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells. A: Impact of sortilin-inhibition on pancreatic cancer cell adhesion. Top row: Pancreatic cancer cells were transfected with siRNA (siSORT or siCTRL) and were seeded in culture dishes. Numbers of attached cells was counted at the indicated times (2, 4, and 6 hours) after seeding. Results are expressed as percentage of adherent cells. Bottom row: Impact of sortilin inhibitor (AF38469) treatment on pancreatic cancer cell adhesion. Results are expressed as percentage of adherent cells. A: Impact of sortilin-inhibition on pancreatic cancer cell adhesion. Results are expressed as percentage of adherent cells. B: Impact of sortilin inhibitor treatment (AF38469) on pancreatic cancer cell adhesion. Results are expressed as adherent cells. Top row: Pancreatic cancer cells (PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44) were transfected with siSORT or siCTRL. Left panel: The gaps of 0 and 24 hours were recorded, and relative migration areas were analyzed as shown in the histograms. There is a significant decrease in siSORT-transfected cells. Right column: Scratching of the cell layer was performed with inhibitor (AF38469) treatment, and reductions in gap areas were measured after 24 hours. The percentage of cell migrating areas is represented in black and light gray columns individually as no treatment (NT) versus AF38469. A significant decrease is shown in AF38469-treated cells. The dashed red lines indicate scratch boundaries. C: Impact of sortilin-targeted inhibition on cell invasion. Left panel: The entire inserts (bottom side) with invading cells was observed after crystal violet staining. It reveals a decreased invasion in sortilin-inhibited samples with siRNA or AF38469. Right panel: Invaded cell numbers are shown in the histogram representing a decrease of sortilin-reduced invasion PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44 cells. Error bars represent SD. 

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001 siCTRL versus 2 hours, $P < 0.05, \P < 0.01, \P < 0.001, \P < 0.0001.$
in NT versus AF38469 treatments in PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44 cell lines (Supplemental Figure S2). Overall, these data show that sortilin inhibition does not significantly affect pancreatic cancer cell survival.

Sortilin Is Necessary for Pancreatic Cancer Cell Adhesion, Migration, and Invasion

The impact of sortilin inhibition on the adhesion of pancreatic cancer cell lines was then investigated. Cell adhesion was tested in the presence of siSORT versus siCTRL and AF38469 versus NT. SiSORT induced a 68% inhibition of cell adhesion in PANC-1 (P = 0.0008), 78% in MIA PaCa-2 (P < 0.0001), and 71% in PaCa-44 (P = 0.0003) (Figure 5A). Also, the impact on cell adhesion was measured in the presence of AF38469, which produced a 73%, 74%, and 82% reduction of adhesion compared with NT in PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44 cells, respectively (P < 0.0001) (Figure 5A). It is likely that the reported change in cell shape induced by siSORT or AF38469 (Figure 4) reflects the decreased cell attachment induced by sortilin targeting. Together, these data show that sortilin targeting results in a decreased attachment of pancreatic cancer cell lines.

Wound healing assays were next performed to investigate the impact of sortilin on cell migration. Scratched areas were recorded 24 hours postinhibition of sortilin by siSORT or AF38469. Changes in the scratched surface areas were measured between 0 and 24 hours. The inhibition of sortilin by siSORT resulted in a 61% reduction of cell migration in PANC-1 (P < 0.001), 86% in MIA PaCa-2 (P < 0.001), and 69% in PaCa-44 (P < 0.001) compared with control (siCTRL) (Figure 5B). Treatment with AF38469 also impaired the migration capability at 78%, 76%, and 79% in PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44, respectively (P < 0.001) (Figure 5B). Overall, sortilin inhibition significantly reduced pancreatic cancer cell migration. In addition, invasion assays were performed. The crystal violet staining of invaded cancer cells showed a decreased proportion of invading cells in siSORT and AF38469 compared with controls (Figure 5C). There was a 66% reduction of cell invasion in PANC-1 (P = 0.0068), 61% in MIA PaCa-2 (P < 0.0001), and 57% in PaCa-44 (P = 0.0013) in siSORT. In AF38469-treated cells, there was a 63%, 56%, and 60% decrease in cell invasion (Figure 5C). These results, based on both RNA interference and pharmacologic inhibition, showed that sortilin is necessary to pancreatic cancer cell migration and invasion.

It should be noted that the effects of siSORT (Figures 4 and 5) were confirmed with a second siRNA sequence (Supplemental Figure S3).

Sortilin Inhibition Attenuates FAK Signaling

The impact of sortilin inhibition on the activation of a series of signaling proteins (FAK, GSK-3β, Akt, Src, and Erk) in PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44 cells was then evaluated. Sortilin inhibition did not alter the phosphorylation of pAkt (Ser473), pSrc (Tyr416), pGSK-3β (Ser9), or pErk1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) (Figure 6 and Supplemental Figure S4). In
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<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 Impact of sortilin inhibition on phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK). A: Phosphorylation of FAK (Tyr925), FAK (Tyr397), and FAK (Tyr576/577) in 72 hours' transfection with siCTRL versus siSORT is shown. Total FAK protein level is also shown. β-actin was used as loading control. The phosphorylation of residue Tyr925 of FAK is decreased in sortilin-inhibited samples compared with siCTRL. The phosphorylation of residues Tyr397 and Tyr576/577 of FAK are not affected by the inhibition of sortilin. B: Detection of phosphorylation of FAK (Tyr925), FAK (Tyr397), and FAK (Tyr576/577) via Western blot analysis after treatment with AF38469. Total FAK protein level is also shown. β-actin was used as loading control. The phosphorylation of residue Tyr925 of FAK decreases in sortilin-inhibited samples compared with no treatment (NT) control.
contrast, phospho-FAK (Tyr925) was reduced in siSORT condition (Figure 6A). In contrast, the other phosphorylated forms of FAK (Tyr397 and Tyr576/577) were not affected by siSORT (Figure 6A). The pharmacologic inhibitor AF38469 was used to inhibit sortilin in PANC-1, MIA PaCa-2, and PaCa-44. Phosphorylation of FAK was reduced at residue Tyr925 after treatment with AF38469 (Figure 6B), confirming the siRNA findings (Figure 6A). The phosphorylation of Src (Tyr416) was moderately reduced by the inhibition of sortilin in PANC-1 and PaCa-44 cells, although not in MIA PaCa-2. Together, these data show that targeting sortilin reduces the activation of phospho-FAK (Tyr925).

Discussion

The current study is the first to report sortilin expression and function in human pancreatic cancer. The results highlight an increased sortilin protein level in pancreatic cancer cells compared with normal pancreatic epithelial cells. Furthermore, sortilin was found to contribute to pancreatic cancer invasion in vitro, through potentially maintaining an FAK signaling pathway. However, there was no clearly significant association between sortilin expression and pancreatic cancer aggressiveness, indicating that although sortilin contributes to pancreatic cancer cell invasion, it is not the only factor involved.

In terms of gene expression, sortilin mRNA abundance is not reportedly associated with pancreatic cancer or related clinicopathologic parameters. Data mining, using cBioportal and The Cancer Genome Atlas database for pancreatic cancer, indicated that SORT1 is altered in 2% of 295 pancreatic cancer samples, with only one case of amplification, three homozygous deletions, and two mutations. Initial studies in yeast comparing mRNA versus protein levels have suggested a correlation of ~50% between mRNA and protein levels. In humans, transcriptomic and proteomic analyses have shown that only an estimated 30% to 60% of changes in protein levels can be explained by corresponding variations in mRNA. Translation efficiency is highly diverse, both quantitatively and qualitatively, and no linear relationship between mRNA abundance and individual protein synthesis rate can be assumed. In addition, a proteogenomic investigation in colorectal cancer showed that mRNA abundance does not reliably predict differences in protein abundance between tumors. This finding emphasizes the importance of analyzing protein levels because gene expression data may not necessarily reflect the abundance of the protein effectors in cancer.

High invasion is a hallmark of pancreatic cancer that is directly responsible for the high mortality rate of the disease. Locally advanced pancreatic cancer complicates surgical resection and predicts a poor prognosis. In breast cancer, sortilin was found to be related to tumor aggressiveness as well as cancer cell adhesion and invasion. The level of sortilin was also higher in non—small cell lung adenocarcinoma compared with small cell lung cancer. Sortilin has been reported in EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) mutant lung cancer, in which EGFR signaling is consistently stimulated, and sortilin limits cell viability by regulating EGFR internalization. Our analysis of cell signaling suggests that targeting sortilin, by using either siRNA or pharmacologic inhibition, decreases phosphorylation of FAK (Tyr925). Decreased phospho-FAK generally leads to less metastatic capability in cancers. Reports have shown that phosphorylation of FAK at the Tyr925 residue plays a critical role in the modulation of cell migration and adhesion through coordinating focal adhesion disassembly or modeling cell edge protrusion. The current study identified that sortilin depletion or pharmacologic inhibition diminished the activation of phospho-FAK925, and hence cell adhesion and invasion ability were reduced. In addition to acting as the neuronal membrane receptor, sortilin can be cleaved by the metalloproteinases ADAM10 and ADAM17 at a site close to the cellular membrane, resulting in the release of the soluble extracellular domain of sortilin. Therefore, we cannot exclude in the current study that the effect of sortilin inhibition on pancreatic cancer cell lines could involve, at least partly, inhibition of the soluble form of sortilin. AF38469 is a novel selective bioavailable pharmacologic inhibitor of sortilin that exhibits a specific interaction with sortilin, as shown in X-ray crystallography. Here, AF38469 inhibits pancreatic cancer cell adhesion and invasion, and also reduces the phosphorylation of FAK. The precise molecular involvement of sortilin in pancreatic cancer cell signaling and the potential for targeting it in therapy warrant further investigations.

Intriguingly, it was also found that sortilin levels were higher in female pancreatic cancer patients compared with male patients. It has been suggested that sortilin has a role in energy regulation and lipid homeostasis in female mice, not in male mice, and thus it may eventually be a sex-specific potential therapeutic target for obesity and cardiovascular disease. In clinical therapies for female patients with pancreatic cancer, the combination of gemcitabine with tamoxifen reportedly improves the efficacy of the chemotherapies. Our finding of higher sortilin expression in female patients suggests a possible regulation of sortilin gene expression by estrogen receptors, but further functional analyses are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

In conclusion, our study reveals sortilin as a potential therapeutic target in pancreatic cancer, and the clinical value of targeting sortilin should be further investigated. Interestingly, sortilin is also a nociceptor involved in the transmission of pain by sensory neurons, and AF38469 can impair neuropathic pain. Therefore, targeting sortilin in pancreatic cancer could also potentially address the issue of tumor-associated pain. Using a broader perspective, it should be emphasized that sortilin was originally identified and is predominantly expressed in neuronal cells.
Neuroproteins are increasingly described in cancer, and neurosignaling participates in cancer progression. In pancreatic cancer, sensory and adrenergic neurosignaling stimulates cancer progression, whereas cholinergic neurosignaling is inhibitory. In this context, our study provides a further indication that neuroproteins such as sortilin are involved in pancreatic cancer and should be further considered as potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets.
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